NR key name: SendTo: CopyTo: **DisplayBlindCopyTo:** BlindCopyTo: From: **DisplayFromDomain: DisplayDate:** 09/19/1998 DisplayDate_Time: 1:30:58 PM 09/19/1998 **ComposedDate:** ComposedDate_Time: 1:30:54 PM Subject: LBJ Library Records

67AD264D41C2AEBE8525668400603664 CN=R ecord/O=ARRB @ ARRB

CN=R ecord/O=ARRB CN=Chet Rhodes/O=ARRB

To: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: (bcc: Non-Record/ARRB)From: Irene Marr/ARRB Date: 03/12/97 03:59:16 PMSubject: LBJ Library RecordsI reviewed the most batch of documents that the LBJ Library sent to Archives. There were essentially three groups of documents: 1. Outstanding Mandatory Review Cases (NLJ 93-467, 95-211,95-21, 95-261, 95-270, 95-378, 95-380) Most of these were outstanding because they are awaiting other agency concurrence. It's not clear what mechanism is in place to ensure that this happens. The requests for concurrence were also sent to the respective FOIA or Information and Privacy coordinator which may explain the lack of closure. However, few of these documents appear to be unique to the LBJ collection and are dupes of communications sent to other agencies.2. Mandatory Review Cases which contain exempted and/or Sanitized Documents(NLJ 92-228, 93-25, 93-26, 93-466, 94-97, 95-258, 95-259, 95-262, 95-382)They sent both the unredacted and sanitized versions of these documents. However, it appears that the sanitizations were done by each agency's Information and Privacy Coordinator. I also noticed that the sanitized portions of many of the CIA documents are no longer valid as most of the information being protected has since been released. There were a few USSS and OSD records that had big chunks redacted, but again it appears they were reviewed by the FOIA people. Other types of sanitizations just concerned sections of a document that weren't related to the assassination, e.g. reports covering several different issues. Aside from some minor sanitizations, most of these records appear to be open.3. JFK Review Board RecordsDesignated as Case NLJ 97-102, a folder containing 16 documents. These have not been reviewed and there was no accompanying paper work to indicate that these documents were forwarded to the originating agency. These records, I believe, represent those processed since we had our conference call with the Library archivists. I also suspect that they are now under the impression that they are supposed to send copies of the originals to us, via the Archives, rather than going through the originating agencies first. This is just a hunch based on the type of paperwork I saw. I need to ask Steve Tilley about this but he was out of the office today. There could be another explanation. I was also expecting to see some of the records, namely personal papers, that became available due to changes in the status of certain deeded documents, but they were not in the group I looked at. This will be another question for Steve. There was also another box of open documents which were slated to replace previously closed or sanitized documents in the collection. I started to look at those but didn't finish. What I did review looked to be dupes of other documents we've already seen (the Duran, Alvarado, and the Dorticos-Hernandes stories.) Record Ν

Body: recstat: **DeliveryPriority: DeliveryReport: ReturnReceipt: Categories:**

В