

NR_key_name: 21EA2A93C913F4E68525619700458378

SendTo: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB

From: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 04/07/1995

DisplayDate_Time: 8:44:12 AM

ComposedDate: 04/07/1995

ComposedDate_Time: 8:39:16 AM

Subject: Re: Miscellaneous

1. The telephone number is not working. Do you have any other number?2. "Interfere" seems to be a term easier to satisfy than "threat[en]" -- hence it seems somewhat redundant. I can easily add it if you would like.To: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: From: David Marwell/ARRBDate: 04/07/95 08:29:17 AMSubject: Re: Miscellaneous1. 717-245-41342. Fair enough. How do you see the language in Section 6. (1)(B), "the disclosure of which would interfere with the conduct of intelligence activities," fitting in? If the disclosure would threaten intelligence operations would it not interfere with the conduct of intelligence activities...To: David Marwell/ARRBcc: From: Jeremy Gunn/ARRB Date: 04/06/95 05:23:35 PMSubject: Miscellaneous1. Do you have James McLean's phone number? I can't seem to find it.2. The statute does use the term "threat" in Section 6: "(1) the threat to the military defense, intelligence operations, or conduct of foreign relations . . . is of such gravity that . . . "

Body:

recstat: Record

DeliveryPriority: N

DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt:

Categories: