

NR_key_name: 9A819E8D4A938C56852561A4006E5212

SendTo: CN=Sheryl Walter/O=ARRB @ ARRB

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB

From: CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 04/20/1995

DisplayDate_Time: 4:08:33 PM

ComposedDate: 04/20/1995

ComposedDate_Time: 4:05:00 PM

Subject: Re: Public testimony on proposed regs

In response to your questions:1. Lesar did not testify on December 14.2. There was nothing public in between December 14 and March 7 (except, recall we had a closed meeting on January 25).3. I agree, the Boston meeting was for testimony on records identification.To:Tom Samoluk/ARRBcc:From:Sheryl Walter/ARRB Date:04/20/95 02:21:01 PMSubject:Public testimony on proposed regsHelp, please! I'm drafting new supplemental information for the final version of the interpretive regs, and I'm trying to piece together the dates the board heard public testimony on aspects of the regs. At the December 14 meeting where Miriam Nisbet testified, did Lesar testify also? I know we had testimony at the March 7 meeting. Did we do anything public in between? My calendar notes are sketchy. Would you count the Boston meeting as testimony on the definition or as records identification testimony (I tend to think the latter). Anything else you may be able to add would be helpful. Thanks!

Body:

recstat: Record

DeliveryPriority: N

DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt:

Categories: