

**NR\_key\_name:** F7511B702113968A85256222006EA2A9

**SendTo:** CN=Phil Golrick/O=ARRB @ ARRB

**CopyTo:**

**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**

**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB

**From:** CN=Joseph Freeman/O=ARRB

**DisplayFromDomain:**

**DisplayDate:** 08/24/1995

**DisplayDate\_Time:** 4:12:34 PM

**ComposedDate:** 08/24/1995

**ComposedDate\_Time:** 4:08:26 PM

**Subject:** Re: Reeves Morgan and contact w/FBI

Again, this is clearly not a biggie, but it does reflect somewhat on the credibility of the Clinton, LA, witnesses, at least Reeves Morgan's (see Posner, p. 147, top paragraph). Since Garrison's people apparently got some kind of reply to their query of the FBI on this, it might be worth asking for if we don't find it in the Garrison files. Having said this, I will now butt out! I know you have far bigger fish to fry.

To: Joseph Freeman/ARRBcc: From: Phil Golrick/ARRBDate: 08/24/95 04:03:28 PMSubject: Re: Reeves Morgan and contact w/FBISorry, Joe, I'm not up to speed on this one.

To: Phil Golrick/ARRB, Kevin Tiernan/ARRBcc: From: Joseph Freeman/ARRB Date: 08/23/95 02:53:18 PMSubject: Reeves Morgan and contact w/FBI

Reeves Morgan, the State Rep. in Clinton, testified at the Shaw trial that he told the FBI about seeing Oswald in Clinton. Do you know if the FBI has ever confirmed/denied/acknowledged this alleged contact? Garrison's people tried to find out in 1968, for fear that what he told the FBI might be different than what he told Garrison, thereby leaving the prosecution open to devastating cross-examination at the trial (Garrison assumed the FBI would share whatever reports they had with the defense). Apparently, the FBI replied to Garrison's inquiry with a non-answer letter in March of '68. Not a biggie; just asking if you know off the top of your heads.

**Body:**  
**recstat:** Record

**DeliveryPriority:** N

**DeliveryReport:** B

**ReturnReceipt:**

**Categories:**