NR_key_name: A8AF6D534589038D852562810063D140
SendTo: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB

From: CN=Joseph Freeman/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate:11/27/1995DisplayDate_Time:1:13:42 PMComposedDate:11/27/1995ComposedDate_Time:1:10:16 PM

Subject: Re: FAA Ferrie document, 180-10117-10177

Attached is a draft letter to FAA. Neither Steve nor I have any contact name for the agency...they told NARA they had no JFK Act documents. Thus the letter is addressed to the FAA Administrator.Please review/revise. The text parallels the already-approved letter to VA contact Berger for that agency's documents.To:Joseph

Freeman/ARRBcc:From:Jeremy Gunn/ARRBDate:11/20/95 03:29:02 PMSubject: Re: FAA Ferrie document, 180-10117-10177See below.To:Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: From:Joseph Freeman/ARRB

Date:11/20/95 03:15:18 PMSubject:FAA Ferrie document, 180-10117-10177I have found one record among the Ferrie documents which is actually a FAA-originated document (as opposed to a ALPA/Eastern Airlines proceeding which happens to have come from an FAA file). It's a FAA memo and case summary with assorted

attachments. First question: should the FAA get a letter like the one we sent to Mike Berger at VA?

YES.Second question: is it too late to reference the Board's December meeting in such a letter?YESThis same record contains some FBI material on Ferrie (Kevin is checking to see whether or not it's already been released, and I suspect he'll find it has been) and a two-page memorandum of 9/29/61 which Kevin says is DEA (Bureau of Narcotics). Should they get a letter, too? YES.Or is the document too complicated to be dealt with now?Too complicated for next Board meeting, but let's schedule it for January meeting.PS - this record is

not in the review track as of yet.

Body: not in t
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt: Categories: