

NR_key_name: 8E677277E331B5EF8525631F0050030B
SendTo: jsauer @ research.westlaw.com @ internet @ WORLDCOM
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 05/03/1996
DisplayDate_Time: 10:43:38 AM
ComposedDate: 05/03/1996
ComposedDate_Time: 10:33:57 AM
Subject: Re: Federal Register

Hi Jen:You're right--these numbers can be confusing. Yes, there are separate Federal Register notices for the Jan. 5 and Jan. 31 meetings. The Federal Register notice you recently received was for March 18-19 ONLY. The confusion lies here--although Jan 5 and 31 had separate Fed. Reg. notices, SOME of the materials from those two meetings was carried over to the March meeting (some documents had to be reconsidered.) For this reason alone, it is difficult to get those numbers to add up.Hope this helps clarify things.Eileen

To: Eileen_Sullivan @ jfk-arrb.gov @ internetcc: 1bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB)From: jsauer @ research.westlaw.com @ internet @ WORLDCOM Date: 05/03/96 08:29:45 AM CDTSubject: Federal Register

Hi Eileen,Thanks for sending me the Federal Register. I have a question that hopefully you can help me with-The register you just sent me says that the documents listed were released in mettings on March 18-19. The press release you sent me says there were three meetings; January 5, January 31 and March 19. Are the documents listed on the register only from the March 19 meeting, or does the register include all documents from all three of the meetings? Is there a separate register for the documents from January 5 and 31? The reason I'm asking is because I was trying to figure out the numbers, and they don't add up, so I thought I'd ask you. I hope this isn't too confusing.Thanks for your help,Jen

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: