

NR_key_name: 22681F645958B372852563530048F6D0
SendTo: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 06/24/1996
DisplayDate_Time: 9:17:27 AM
ComposedDate: 06/24/1996
ComposedDate_Time: 9:16:58 AM
Subject: Re: Congressional Research Service (CRS)
Let's pursue it.To:David Marwell/ARRBcc:Joseph Freeman/ARRB From:Jeremy Gunn/ARRB
Date:06/23/96 12:27:38 PMSubject:Congressional Research Service (CRS)I think Joe's idea is a good one.
Unless you think otherwise, we will go ahead with it.To:Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc:From:Joseph
Freeman/ARRB Date:06/21/96 10:45:35 AMSubject:Congressional Research Service (CRS)As touched on in
my E-mail on the Abzug Committee, a CRS analyst authored an autopsy materials/chain of custody memo for
her subcommittee in preparation for their hearing. In the course of preparing the memo, the analyst
interviewed Evelyn Lincoln and Burke Marshall. Although it's a bit of a long shot, I recommend we write a
letter to CRS asking (first of all) them to check for any records associated with the preparation of this
memo.Thinking about this specific instance, however, leads me to wonder whether we ought not to ask CRS to
do a wider and more general search of their own records under the JFK Act. CRS is an incredible institution
which has no doubt gathered a lot of secondary and derivative information on the assassination over the years
(if only to answer repeated inquiries from Congressional offices seeking assistance in answering constituent
mail). In addition, CRS also functions -- as in the case of the Abzug Committee memo -- as a supplementary
investigative resource for Congressional committees. There's no telling what they've dug up over the years.
From a historical perspective, it would even be interesting to see what CRS was telling Congressional offices
over time in response to informational requests. CRS correspondence w/congressional Committees might also
provide new leads as to other Congressional records.The more I think about it, the more important I think it
might be to engage with CRS. Steve Tilley tells me that the Library of Congress (of which CRS is a part) never
responded to NARA's standard JFK Act letter (the Library has Earl Warren's papers, Steve tells me as a for
instance). I suppose we need to do something about that, too, but I think a letter (maybe even a request for a
meeting) with the Director of CRS (a Daniel Muhollan) might very well be in order, and more immediately
productive.Any thoughts?
Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: