

**NR\_key\_name:** 6D65536D53EF7E888525638C007B71CE  
**SendTo:** CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:**  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 08/20/1996  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 6:31:15 PM  
**ComposedDate:** 08/20/1996  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 6:28:21 PM  
**Subject:** Re: FBI Appeals

I am inclined to go with your first option: mailing list advisory, etc... Do you have a recommendation? Strong feelings either way?

**Body:** To: David Marwell/ARRBcc: From: Tom Samoluk/ARRB Date: 08/19/96 02:16:45  
PMSubject: FBI AppealsAs you know, we have now received a letter from the FBI regarding the list of documents from the July meeting that they want lumped with documents from previous meetings for appeal (documents from March, April, May, June). We did a news release on the March appeal (which resulted in a relatively widely run AP story), another news release on the April appeal (which received no attention that I am aware of), a mailing list advisory (but no news release) for the May documents that included reference to both the May documents and the June documents being appealed. That brings us to the July documents being appealed. We can go two ways on handling the July meeting appeal. We could include reference to the July appeal in a mailing list advisory (with no news release) on the June documents being sent to the Archives (I do not know when those documents are going to be ready, probably not this week). As an alternative, we could do a separate news release on the July document appeal and reference the previous documents being appealed from four past meetings. Please advise me of your thoughts on which route to go.

**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**