

NR_key_name: A77CD334F88F7AB68525638D004268C2
SendTo: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 08/21/1996
DisplayDate_Time: 8:06:04 AM
ComposedDate: 08/21/1996
ComposedDate_Time: 8:05:22 AM
Subject: Re: FBI Appeals

I'm okay with going the mailing list advisory route.
To: Tom Samoluk/ARRB
Cc: From: David Marwell/ARRB
Date: 08/20/96 06:31:15 PM
Subject: Re: FBI Appeals
I am inclined to go with your first option: mailing list advisory, etc... Do you have a recommendation? Strong feelings either way?
To: David Marwell/ARRB
Cc: From: Tom Samoluk/ARRB
Date: 08/19/96 02:16:45 PM
Subject: FBI Appeals
As you know, we have now received a letter from the FBI regarding the list of documents from the July meeting that they want lumped with documents from previous meetings for appeal (documents from March, April, May, June). We did a news release on the March appeal (which resulted in a relatively widely run AP story), another news release on the April appeal (which received no attention that I am aware of), a mailing list advisory (but no news release) for the May documents that included reference to both the May documents and the June documents being appealed. That brings us to the July documents being appealed. We can go two ways on handling the July meeting appeal. We could include reference to the July appeal in a mailing list advisory (with no news release) on the June documents being sent to the Archives (I do not know when those documents are going to be ready, probably not this week). As an alternative, we could do a separate news release on the July document appeal and reference the previous documents being appealed from four past meetings. Please advise me of your thoughts on which route to go.

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: