

NR_key_name: B816FF94CD5EAB9C852563A70048B34A
SendTo: CN=Tim Wray/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo: CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Christopher Barger/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 09/16/1996
DisplayDate_Time: 9:28:07 AM
ComposedDate: 09/16/1996
ComposedDate_Time: 9:14:05 AM
Subject: Re: Possible newspaper request?

I never said that Prouty is right. I expect that we will find that he is wrong, to tell the truth. Nor do I mean to cast aspersions (at least at this time) on Posner's conclusions about the Kennedy assassination. All I am saying, and I think that any legitimate historian would agree with me, is this: the local time of the shooting is crucial to this allegation. The local time was easily found by conducting basic research techniques; i.e., simply going to a library, getting a copy of the paper, and checking the bold type on the front page. Since Posner obviously did not take this most basic of steps, it leads me to conclude that, on this subject, Posner was less concerned with doing scholarly historical research than he was with disproving and discrediting Prouty. Understanding this (i.e., that Posner's research is not scholarly, is flawed, and was undertaken not to go where the facts lead him but to discredit another theory), it would be unadvisable for us to rely on his work to determine the facts in this case. Prouty may very well be wrong, mislead, or both... but it remains for us to find that out for ourselves.

To: Christopher Barger/ARRBcc: From: Tim Wray/ARRBDate: 09/16/96 08:32:46 AMSubject: Re:

Possible newspaper request?Whoa! The fact that he was off by an hour -- an EXTRA hour, since he set it for an hour later -- does not make Posner wrong about anything else. More to the point, none of this makes Prouty right -- if anything, it makes him more wrong, doesn't it?

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: