NR key name:	B010A4F37CB0ACE5852563D900670FDA
SendTo:	CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB @ ARRB
СоруТо:	CN=Manuel Legaspi/O=ARRB @ ARRB
DisplayBlindCopyTo:	
BlindCopyTo:	CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From:	CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:	
DisplayDate:	11/05/1996
DisplayDate_Time:	1:48:11 PM
ComposedDate:	11/05/1996
ComposedDate_Time:	1:45:43 PM
Subject:	Re: Documents to Fonzi
Body:	True, true. The vague "it" that I was inarticulately referring to was the Lopez Report. The Lopez Report should not be sent to anyone until it is at the Archives. We do not need to send Scelso to those who didn't ask for it. To:Jeremy Gunn/ARRB, Manuel Legaspi/ARRBcc:From:Eileen Sullivan/ARRBDate:11/05/96 11:33:56 AMSubject: Re: Documents to FonziThe Scelso Testimony went to the Archives on October 2, 1996. To:Manuel Legaspi/ARRBcc:Eileen Sullivan/ARRBFrom:Jeremy Gunn/ARRBDate:11/05/96 11:04:29 AMSubject: Re: Documents to FonziDon't send it until it is available at the Archives.To:Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: From:Manuel Legaspi/ARRB Date:11/05/96 10:20:53 AMSubject:Documents to FonziGaeton Fonzi called me yesterday and asked for copies of the Scelso testimony. I was going to send him this document along with the newest release of the Lopez Report. Additionally, I had promised Lopez and Hardway copies of the Lopez Report as well, however, if we send Fonzi a copy of Scelso, should we send the other two copies as well? PLease let me know if it is OK to go ahead with these mailings.Thanks
recstat:	Record
DeliveryPriority:	N
DeliveryReport:	В
ReturnReceipt:	
Categories:	
0	