

NR_key_name: 5D949C80E1027BE4852563EE0068ADB8
SendTo: CN=Laura Denk/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Phil Golrick/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 11/26/1996
DisplayDate_Time: 2:04:06 PM
ComposedDate: 11/26/1996
ComposedDate_Time: 2:03:22 PM
Subject: Re: info that will aggravate you

Body: You're right, I'm aggravated . . . although not at you. Let's talk about this when you get back.
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories:

To: Phil Golrick/ARRB
Cc: Laura Denk/ARRB
Date: 11/26/96 11:10:29 AM
Subject: Info that will aggravate you

Sorry to be the bearer of bad news, but in trying to determine which # request we are now on, I discovered that there are two "FBI-12"s (4/8/6 -- Mark Lane and 9/10/96-- Records Under Seal), an FBI-13 (4/8/96 -- Elizabeth Catlett Mora), an FBI-14 (4/8/96 -- Norman Redlich), and an FBI-15 (4/8/96 -- Charles Small). So, having accounted for the "Records Under Seal" request from September, it seems that we actually are on FBI-16 (since 16 follows 15) but that this will be our 17th request (that is, if I have now seen all of the requests.) Should I label it "FBI-16" or "FBI-17?" I know -- it is such a weighty issue, but I thought I would ask.