

NR_key_name: 7653F9424CEA6689852563F1005CBED6
SendTo: CN=Chet Rhodes/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Kevin Tiernan/O=ARRB @ ARRB
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Phil Golrick/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 11/29/1996
DisplayDate_Time: 11:57:05 AM
ComposedDate: 11/29/1996
ComposedDate_Time: 11:53:02 AM
Subject: Re: NBR Board Reasons for final determination forms

I think, in most cases, we will not need new "NBR" substitute language. Because (within a record that contains some assassination-related information), we will use the NBR grounds along with some provision of Section 6, the substitute language we already have (e.g., "source-identifying information") should be sufficient. I'll let you know if experience suggests we should add one or more recurring "substitute language" terms to the picklist. To: Phil Golrick/ARRBcc: Jeremy Gunn/ARRB, Kevin Tiernan/ARRBFrom: Chet Rhodes/ARRBDate: 11/29/96 11:26:34 AMSubject: Re: NBR Board Reasons for final determination formsPhil, would we also need a "NBR" Substitute Lang. in the postponement detail?

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: