

**NR\_key\_name:** E52F703E436616F8852564280075F6D4  
**SendTo:** jwjfk @ flash.net (jack white) @ Internet @ WORLDCOM  
**CopyTo:**  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 01/23/1997  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 4:29:43 PM  
**ComposedDate:** 01/23/1997  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 4:28:29 PM  
**Subject:** Re: About That Truth Question...SUGGESTION FOR ARRB  
 Dear Mr. White, thank you for your e-mail message of 1/20/97. I have forwarded your message to the Research and Analysis staff. Sincerely, Eileen A. Sullivan  
**To:** Research @ weberman.com ("research@weberman.com") @ internetcc:Eileen\_Sullivan @ jfk-arrb.gov @ internet (bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB)  
**From:** jwjfk @ flash.net (jack white) @ Internet @ WORLDCOM  
**Date:** 01/06/97 04:43:22 PM CST  
**Subject:** Re: About That Truth Question...SUGGESTION FOR ARRB  
 John Kavanaugh wrote:> > Jack,> > The Crossfire account of the exhumation emphasizes the absence of signs of a> craniotomy on the skull exhumed, leading to the conclusion that the Oswald> shot in Dallas (Hidell) is not the Oswald in the grave. What do you make of> this craniotomy issue?> > John> > >SINCE THE PERSON IN THE GRAVE IS HIDEELL AND NOT OSWALD...UNFORTUNATELY, THE ISSUE IS NOT CLEAR. DESPITE PAUL GROODY\*S CLEAR REMEMBRANCE THAT THE EXHUMED SKULL WAS BARE BONE AND INTACT WITHOUT WITHOUT A CRANIOTOMY, I NOW HAVE IN MY POSSESSION VERY CLEAR PHOTOS OF THE SKULL FROM EACH SIDE (RIGHT AND LEFT). IT IS TRUE THAT NO CRANIOTOMY IS VISIBLE...HOWEVER, MUCH OF THE SKULL IS COVERED WITH A THIN LAYER OF \*\*MUMIFIED\*\* SKIN (VIRTUALLY NO HAIR), WHICH \*\*POSSIBLY\*\* COULD BE HOLDING THE SKULL CAP ON. HOWEVER, WE KNOW THAT DR. LINDA NORTON (CHIEF AUTOPSIST) LIED TO JIM MARRS ABOUT THIS. RIGHT AFTER THE AUTOPSY, BUT ABOUT A YEAR BEFORE SHE ISSUED HER REPORT, JIM ASKED DR. NORTON ABOUT THE CRANIOTOMY BY PHONE. AFTER A LONG STUNNED SILENCE, SHE TOLD HIM, \*\*OH, WE NOTED THAT FOR THE RECORD\*\*. GARY MACK CHECKED WITH THE MAN WHO AT THAT TIME HAD POSSESSION OF THE VIDEOTAPE OF THE ENTIRE AUTOPSY; HE (A DALLAS POLICEMAN WHO WAS A NEIGHBOR OF MARINA!!!) WATCHED (AND LISTENED) TO IT TWICE. NORTON WAS APPARENTLY UNAWARE THE VIDEO CAMERA WAS ALSO PICKING UP EVERYTHING WHICH WAS SAID. NOWHERE ON THE TAPE SOUND TRACK IS MENTION MADE OF A CRANIOTOMY. IT SEEMS HIGHLY INCOMPETENT THAT AN 5 EXPERIENCED AUTOPISTS WOULD HAVE FAILED TO NOTICE SUCH A PROMINENT PREVIOUS AUTOPSY PROCEDURE. IT IS MENTIONED IN THE WRITTEN REPORT (WHICH WAS NOT FORTHCOMING FOR MORE THAN A YEAR). DOCTORS I HAVE ASKED SAY THAT IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN VERY APPARENT TO THE AUTOPISTS. IT IS NOT APPARENT AT ALL ON THE PHOTOS. MARINA SUED TO GET CUSTODY OF THE VIDEO TAPES, AND WON THE LAWSUIT, BUT THE TAPE WAS NEVER RETURNED TO HER THE LAST I HEARD. THE TAPE COULD CLEAR UP MUCH ABOUT THE RE-AUTOPSY OF THE HIDEELL BODY. LAST I HEARD THE TAPE WAS STILL HELD BY THE PHOTOGRAPHER, THE SON OF A CONGRESSMAN WHO REPRESENTS MARINA\*S CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT. I BELIEVE THE CONGRESSMAN\*S NAME IS JOHNSON (LBJ KIN???) . I SUSPECT IT IS BEING DELIBERATELY WITHHELD.JACK WHITEPS TO EILEEN  
**Body:** Record  
**recstat:**  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**