

NR_key_name: E2ACAF41E2C1AA428525645700681987
SendTo: CN=Tim Wray/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 03/11/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 1:58:35 PM
ComposedDate: 03/11/1997
ComposedDate_Time: 1:57:03 PM
Subject: Re: Weiss Letter--Draft

No--I told him we wanted to meet with him on the one matter in particular (documents sighted in 1982), and he indicated that he did not want to discuss ANYTHING about the assassination anymore--at least that's my recollection of his tone, attitude and remarks.
To: Douglas Horne/ARRB
Cc: Tim Wray/ARRB
Date: 03/11/97 01:04:53 PM
Subject: Re: Weiss Letter--Draft
Doug -- Excellent letter!!! I have only one puny comment: the letter can be construed as saying that Weiss has refused to discuss with us ANYTHING from the Montague call. However, if I understand his most recent stance correctly, it is that he doesn't want to talk about whatever-it-is that happened in 1982. I think that, as a matter separate from prying out any secrets he might have regarding the 1982 incident, we still want to pursue with him a fuller, more detailed account of his experiences on 11/22/63 and information about the 112th INTC Group. I think it would be advisable to make this distinction -- and maybe it's a way to make sure you do see him in Houston (i.e. if the other matter is still unresolved, use the Houston session to talk strictly about the assassination and his experiences, thus getting that information on the record AND presumably doing some confidence building at the same time to make him more amenable to opening up on the other topic. . .) What do you think?

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: