NR key name: 6EADCDC931F324818525645700768CAD

SendTo: CN=Ron Haron/O=ARRB @ ARRB

COPYTO: CN=Tim Wray/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Tracy Shycoff/O=ARRB @ ARRB

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 03/11/1997
DisplayDate\_Time: 5:30:42 PM
ComposedDate: 03/11/1997
ComposedDate\_Time: 4:34:53 PM

Subject: Re: Review at PFIAB

I think your proposal bascially makes sense, although I would offer one amendment. I am not sure to what extent PFIAB may have been involved in targeting or resource allocation relating to Cuba -- or to what extent it may have been involved in or known about US activities directed at Castro in 1963. To the extent that 1963 PFIAB records are illuminating on this point (and they may not be), we should take a look at those records. To:Jeremy Gunn/ARRB, Tim Wray/ARRBcc:Tracy Shycoff/ARRB From:Ron Haron/ARRBDate:03/11/97 03:34:49 PMSubject:Review at PFIABI spoke with Gwen Schroeder re setting up our review of PFIAB minutes. In response to our inquiries, she has reviewed all of the agenda and minutes from November 1963 to October 1964, and intends to complete the review through November 1964. She has stated that she has seen no mention of the assassination other than for a PFIAB meeting that happened to be held on the day of the assassination and at which the PFIAB members were informed of the assassination. Given this, I recommend that we NOT review the minutes given PFIAB's representation that the minutes have been reviewed and there are no assassination-related discussions. I think we should simply review the inventory (or index) of their project files, which Tim rather than Jeremy can do. Once we review the inventory, we can summarize in a lettter to PFIAB what record searches have been done and what the results were. Do you agree? Let me know

**Body:** because I need to call Gwen back to finalize arrangements for our review.

recstat: Record

DeliveryPriority: N

DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt: Categories: