D7591CDE7739F6378525646C004EA439 NR key name:

CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Tracy Shycoff/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Thom Wilborn/O=ARRB @

ARRB; CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB @ ARRB; CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB

SendTo: CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB From: CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 04/01/1997 9:20:16 AM DisplayDate Time: 04/01/1997 ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time: 9:18:58 AM

Subject:

Re: David Lifton <DLifton@compuserve.com>: Z Film hearing on 4/2/97

TO Elleen_Sumvan @ Jik-airb.gov @ internette.Dunton @ compuserve.com @ internet (btc. Elleen Sullivan/ARRB)From:mshack @ juno.com (Martin R Shackelford) @ INTERNET @ WORLDCOM Date:03/31/97 05:41:44 PM CSTSubject:David Lifton <DLifton@compuserve.com>: Z Film hearing on 4/2/97Dear Ms. Sullivan: Although I remain unconvinced that the Zapruder film has beenaltered, aside from the two obvious splices, there remain matters of controversy in that regard which still need to be For that reason, I am in agreement with the attached communication written by David Lifton, and hope that the Board will makecertain the government retains possession of the original Zapruder film, as well as the two Secret Service copies of the film, and any othercopies which may be in possession of the Given the restrictions placed by the Zapruder family on access tocopies of the film and frames, I have questions about leaving them socompletely in charge of the film in a commercial sense (for example, toobtain materials from them, one has to agree not to mention that TimeInc. ever owned the film, which is an outrageous attempt to control the content of the recipient's writings). If they were simply offering video, film or frame slide copies at a flat rate, and a reasonable rate, therewould be no real objection. Please include this among the materials to be considered by the Board in connection with its April 2 hearing on the Zapruder film.Regards, Martin Shackelford------ Begin forwarded message ------From: David Lifton <DLifton@compuserve.com>To: Jeff Morley <morleyj@washpost.com>Subject: Z Film hearing on 4/2/97Date: Mon, 31 Mar 1997 13:13:51 -0500Message-ID: <199703311313 MC2-1383-B51E@compuserve.com>Jeff:I'm glad to see you'll be covering the ARRB Zapruder hearing for the Washington Post. I'm sending you the attached draft of a memo which I amfaxing to four of the five ARRB members; and will send to the ARRB itselflater today or tomorrow. As I mentioned, this hearing seemed to come outof the blue; was revealed (perhaps accidentally) by an email sent lastFriday (3/28) but dated 4/1; which ever so briefly announces a hearingtobe held on Wed. 4/2.As I mentioned, the key here is authenticity, but the witness listassembled by ARRB (which Debra Conway learned by calling the pressofficer)includes Richard Trask and Josiah Thompson certainly doesn't containanyonewho will address the issue of authenticity. I hope that this memo puts "authenticity" and all its implications andramifications on the table.Best,David S. Lifton(310) 445 2300 (office)(310) 826 1610 (residence)DAVID S. LIFTON11500 W. Olympic Blvd., Ste 400Los Angeles, CA 90064Tel: (310) 445 2300Fax: (310) 445 2301MEMORANDUM (Draft; 3/31/97; 7 a.m. PDT)TO: Assassination Records and Review Board (ARRB)FROM: David S. LiftonDate: 3/31/97RE: ARRB Public Hearing of 4/2/97 The Zapruder Film: "What is to be Done?"SYNOPSIS: A Suggested Solution to the Z film dilemma: (1) The U.S.

Record recstat:

DeliveryPriority: Ν DeliveryReport: В

ReturnReceipt: **Categories:**

Body: