NR key name: 971E1C74FAA06E71852564A500746F12

SendTo: roberth @ cyberg8t.com @ INTERNET @ WORLDCOM

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 05/28/1997
DisplayDate\_Time: 5:17:10 PM
ComposedDate: 05/28/1997
ComposedDate\_Time: 5:11:46 PM

**Subject:** Re: ARRB Transcripts & Corrections

Dear Mr. Hemming: Thank you for your note. I am curious about these corrected transcripts you mentioned. If you have a moment, could you respond with further information? Are these transcripts on the Internet? Do they differ from the ones I sent you? Any additional information would be helpful.I will send you a copy of the Board's authorizing legislation (Public Law 102-526.) Again, thank you for writing. Sincerely, Eileen A. SullivanAssistant Press and Public Affairs OfficerTo:Eileen\_Sullivan @ jfk-arrb.govcc: (bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB)From:roberth @ cyberg8t.com @ INTERNET@WORLDCOM Date:05/27/97 04:06:56 PM GMTSubject:ARRB Transcripts & CorrectionsAssassination Records Review BoardEileen A. SullivanAssistant Press and Public Affairs OfficerDear Eileen Sullivan: I apologize for the delay in responding, but, I received in good order, the diskettes of the transcripts at an earlier date than, and the materials from the open record, by, May 19, 1997. Thank you again for your promptresponse. I have found that there are researchers who have made corrections to thetranscripts, by apparently correcting typographical errors, etc.. Anothermakes his own version of the transcript by transcribing tapes of thehearings. Apparently, the second researcher was concerned about the delaybetween the hearing, its closing date for public comments, and the date ofrelease of the official transcript of the hearing. I am curious as to whether the rules of procedure of the ARRB allow forcorrection of the record after the date of closure of the hearing. I am also requesting a reference to the rules of procedure under which the ARRB operates. On an unrelated matter, I recently reviewed the FCC's website with regard to the comments posted there by various telephonecompanies and consumer groups with regard to the proposal to charge by theminute a surcharge for Internet Service Provider usage. Never having practiced before a Federal Commission like the FCC, only in state and federal courts, I was quite impressed by the formality of the "comments" submitted to the FCC. If there is a copy of the rules of practice and procedure of the ARRB, Iwould appreciate a copy of the same or a reference to them. I appreciateyour cooperation in this matter. Thank you again. Robert B. Hemming>X-Lotus-FromDomain: ARRB@WORLDCOM@INTERLIANT @ OUTBOUND From: "EileenSullivan"<Eileen\_Sullivan@jfk-arrb.gov> To: roberth@cyberg8t.com>Date: Thu, 15 May 1997 16:35:15 -0400>Subject: Re: ARRB Official Transcripts>>>Dear Mr. Hemming:>>Thank you for your kind words.>>The diskette is in the mail, and the

public comments regarding thedefinition of an "assassination record" and the Zapruder film will be inthe mail

**Body:** tomorrow.>>Sincerely,>>Eileen Sullivan> recstat: Record

recstat: Reco
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt: Categories: