NR key name: 0F937787B1F3E9F7852564A6006BE644

SendTo: roberth @ cyberg8t.com @ INTERNET @ WORLDCOM

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate:05/29/1997DisplayDate_Time:3:41:05 PMComposedDate:05/29/1997ComposedDate_Time:3:38:33 PM

Subject:

Re: ARRB Transcripts & Corrections

with our transcripts. This clears things up.Thanks again, Eileen SullivanTo: Eileen_Sullivan @ jfk-arrb.govcc:mshack @ concentric.net, JKELIN @ RMII.COM, JoeBackes @ aol.com (bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB)From:roberth @ cyberg8t.com @ INTERNET@WORLDCOM Date:05/29/97 09:25:05 AM

GMTSubject:Re: ARRB Transcripts & CorrectionsAssassination Records Review BoardEileen A.

SullivanAssistant Press and Public Affairs OfficerDear Eileen Sullivan:Martin Shackelford has posted corrections

to the official ARRB transcriptsat the following gopher site:gopher://freenet.akron.oh.us:70/11/SIGS/JFK/Only/ (Martin Shackelford JFKAssassination Archive)Joe

Backes has transcribed two of the Zapruder film meetings, which havebeen posted at the following web site:http://shell.rmi.net/~jkelin/fp.html (Fair Play)I have copied Mr. Shackelford, Mr. Backes, and Mr. Kelin (Fair Play) withthis email. Please let me know if if you need further information. Thankyou for writing Robert B. HemmingAt 05:17 PM 5/28/97 -0400, you wrote:>>>Dear Mr. Hemming:>>Thank you for your note. I am curious about these corrected transcripts>you mentioned. If you have a moment, could you respond with further>information? Are these transcripts on the Internet? Do they differ from>the ones I sent you? Any additional information would be helpful.>>I will send you a copy of the Board's authorizing legislation (Public Law>102-526.)>>Again, thank you for writing.>>Sincerely,>>Eileen A. Sullivan>Assistant Press and Public Eileen Sullivan @ jfk-arrb.gov>cc: (bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB)>From: roberth @ Affairs Officer>>To: cyberg8t.com @ INTERNET@WORLDCOM>Date: 05/27/97 04:06:56 PM GMT>Subject: ARRB Transcripts & Corrections>>>>Assassination Records Review Board>Eileen A. Sullivan>Assistant Press and Public Affairs Officer>>Dear Eileen Sullivan:>I apologize for the delay in responding, but, I received in good order, the>diskettes of the transcripts at an earlier date than, and the materials>from the open record, by, May 19, 1997. Thank you again for your prompt>response.>I have found that there are researchers who have made corrections to the>transcripts, by apparently correcting typographical errors, etc.. Another>makes his own version of the transcript by transcribing tapes of the>hearings. Apparently, the second researcher was concerned about the delay>between the hearing, its closing date for public comments, and the date of>release of the official transcript of the hearing.>I am curious as to whether the rules of procedure of the ARRB allow for>correction of the record after the date of closure of the hearing.>I am also requesting a reference to the rules of procedure under which the>ARRB operates. On an unrelated matter, I recently reviewed the FCC's web>site with regard to the comments posted there by various telephone>companies and

recstat: Record

DeliveryPriority: N **DeliveryReport:** B

ReturnReceipt: Categories:

Body: