NR_key_name: B2DE88A3D4014DEC852564C700474788
SendTo: CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB @ ARRB

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate:07/01/1997DisplayDate_Time:8:58:42 AMComposedDate:07/01/1997ComposedDate_Time:8:58:34 AM

Subject: Re: Nagell File at Department of the Army I.G. Office

Let's discuss.To:Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: From:Douglas Horne/ARRB Date:06/23/97 02:23:38 PMSubject:Nagell File at Department of the Army I.G. Officel hereby wish to take you up on your offer to intercede in this matter. Please call DAIG "Legal Advisor" Michael B. Neveu (the author of a 5 May letter to ARRB) at a time of your convenience. The goal, as I see it, should be for ARRB to get DAIG to commit to a completion date for review of the Nagell file; that is, instead of us proposing dates which they find unrealistic, make them propose a date, and then ask them to commit necessary resources to comply with that goal. Here is a review of past events:-ARRB hand-delivered the majority of the Nagell file (the portion requiring DAIG review) to DAIG on March 7, 1997. All other IRR referrals went by letter from IRR; this one never did. Tim Wray agreed to be the intermediary on this one. The agreement he made with Elaine Rogic at IRR was that if she would copy the file, we would hand-deliver it and track progress, and she would not have to bug DAIG on status;-Masih sent letter dated April 9, 1997 which asked DAIG to complete declassification review prior to May 12, 1997 Board meeting;-Legal Advisor Michael B. Neveu of DAIG signed out letter dated May 5 which indicated it was doubtful they would meet the May 12 deadline in the Masih letter. His letter also indicated that there was a problem with classification markings on pages previously reviewed by IRR, and stated "we will require INSCOM (IRR) to review each page of the documents that you submitted for our release."-At Masih's urging, IRR (Elaine Rogic) sent a detailed fax to DAIG (copy to us) on May 6, 1997 which (according to IRR) should have cleared up any ambiguities re: classification markings on pages already reviewed by IRR. Masih confirmed by telephone that DAIG had received this fax. Masih was unable to get DAIG to answer our question---"can you complete review by May 30th?" He could not even get the supposed POC, LTCOL Stockel, to answer his phone calls, and was restricted to talking to lower level minions.-We have been patient enough; it's now June 23. It's time for our General Counsel to talk to the DAIG legal advisor. (The IRR excuse should no longer be a valid one, since May 6th). Request advise.

Body: recstat:

Record

DeliveryPriority: N

DeliveryReport: B ReturnReceipt:

Categories: