

**NR\_key\_name:** 647F6C94911E4E0B852564EB00483AC6

**SendTo:** CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB @ ARRB

**CopyTo:** CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB @ ARRB

**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**

**BlindCopyTo:** CN=Record/O=ARRB

**From:** CN=Laura Denk/O=ARRB

**DisplayFromDomain:**

**DisplayDate:** 08/06/1997

**DisplayDate\_Time:** 9:22:14 AM

**ComposedDate:** 08/06/1997

**ComposedDate\_Time:** 9:08:56 AM

**Subject:** Re: Deed of Gift From Jack Sawyer for Clay Shaw Papers

Tom--In response to your e-mail, I am not sure. I don't have anything in my files except your e-mail and the draft that you did that you based on the Snyder deed. At the time of your e-mail, I believed that the Snyder deed would be accepted by the Archives, so I would have thought that the Sawyer deed would also be accepted by the Archives, but I don't remember whether I communicated that to you or not. Eileen and I looked in the files yesterday and we did not find a copy signed by David or a cover letter to Sawyer, so it certainly looks like we never sent it to Sawyer. Of course, even if we HAD sent it to Sawyer and then to Archives, Kevin Jessar would have called me about it at the end of June before I left and said, "this won't work because of paragraph 8" just as he did with the Snyder deed and we would now be in the same place as we are with the Snyder deed. In light of the above, I gave David copies for his signature last night before I left, which I should get back today. I will give the copies to Eileen so that you both can send out the letter. I apologize for the confusion.

Thanks, Laura

To: Laura Denk/ARRBcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRBFrom: Tom Samoluk/ARRBDate: 08/05/97 07:20:31 PMSubject: Re: Deed of Gift From Jack Sawyer for Clay Shaw Papers

Laura: As I understand your response, we never sent a Deed of Gift to Sawyer for his signature, but the new one attached below is ready to go to him for him to sign. The language looks fine to me. I would like to send a letter to Sawyer (with a little explanation about the delay), signed by me, with the appropriate copies for his signature, etc. Please advise me if this all sounds okay with you. If so, I will coordinate getting the letter out with Eileen.

Thanks, Tom

To: Tom Samoluk/ARRBcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRBFrom: Laura Denk/ARRBDate: 08/05/97 05:21:44 PMSubject: Re: Deed of Gift From Jack Sawyer for Clay Shaw Papers

Tom--The short answer to your question is no. Based on my June 27, 1997, conversation with Kevin Jessar of the NARA GC's office regarding the Snyder deed, it seems that the Sawyer Deed of Gift is going to need to be changed. If you recall, as a condition of Sawyer's donation, he wanted to keep a copy of his materials, just as David Snyder did. When we sent Snyder's deed to the Archives, Kevin Jessar said that paragraph 8 of the Snyder deed varied slightly from NARA's standard language -- the standard language is more protective of the Donor's rights than the language we used. We had replaced the part that says that the Donor has the right to obtain reproductions from time to time at the discretion of the Archivist with language that said that the Donor would receive a single copy at the time of the donation, period. Jessar said that it would be fine to provide the Donor with a copy at the time of donation, but the Donor should still retain his right to obtain reproductions from time to time thereafter. I changed the language in the draft of Snyder's gift and I will now change the language in Sawyer's draft to read: (paragraph 8): "The Donor may, upon request

**Body:** Record

**recstat:**

**DeliveryPriority:** N

**DeliveryReport:** B

**ReturnReceipt:**

**Categories:**