B79F9A28469BDFDE8525650E0081A581 NR key name: SendTo: DLifton @ compuserve.com @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT CopyTo: **DisplayBlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB BlindCopyTo: CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB From: **DisplayFromDomain: DisplayDate:** 09/10/1997 DisplayDate\_Time: 7:36:43 PM 09/10/1997 **ComposedDate:** ComposedDate\_Time: 7:36:05 PM Subject: Re: FXO Materials en route RECEIVEN YOUL FLUEA OILFAO LOUAY--WILLEAU LOUISILLAL HOLLE/.IO.AOUSIAS\_HOLLE @ JIK-ALD.SOVEC. LOCE. Douglas Horne/ARRB)From:DLifton @ compuserve.com @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT Date:09/09/97 07:13:12 PM ASTSubject:FXO Materials en routeDear Mr. Horne:Transmitted below is an email version of a letter I've sent thisafternoon, Fed Ex (standard overnight) trasnmitting certain FXO materials.Sincerely,David LiftonLETTER TO ARRB (Attn: Douglas Horne), dated Sept 9, 1997:Sept. 9, 1997Mr. Doug HorneAssassination Records Review Board (ARRB)600 E. St. NW (2nd Floor)Washington D.C. 20530Dear Mr. Horne: This is by way of follow-up to our conversations last week re tapes of James Sibert. In reviewing my records, I find that while I neverpersonally interviewed Frances X. O'Neill, I do have extensive notes of conversations with two people who did interview him:1. Wayne Cooke, a businessman who was in Mr. FXO's district and owns anapple orchard business2. Mike Castrucio, a law studentBoth were in touch with O'Neill during the same Spring/early Summer of 1992, both in connection with an appearance on Connecticut public accessTV. (The producer was Jack Gambradella See PS to this letter for name, address, phone number, etc.). In particular, I call your attention to the Wayne Cooke conversations inwhich O'Neill said "Wayne, there was no brain"; and to the document titled"Telcn,W. Cooke,7/15/92" which sets forth an insightful reconstructionwhich explains the alterations in the S and O report on the question of which plane carried the body---AF-1 or AF-2. Also note: it is my understanding that FXO admitted ("off the record", toEvica) that there was a decoy ambulance, but later denied the same thing in the public appearance at Franklin Pierce Law School in New Hamphshire. With regard to M. Castrucio notes: please note that he states that O'Neillwas interviewed by reporter Elizabeth Young of the Shoreline (Conn.)Times, and I typed in the relevant paragraphs (see 3/30/92). Also note thatin my original conversation of 2/27/92 with Castrucio, he told me that Elizabeth Young told him that (during her interview with him) O'Neill hadexplained the "surgery of the head area" quote by stating that he was "referring to a haircut". In later conversation(s) with Castrucio, he tooka different tack, getting into a semantic discussion of what "surgery"meant, and what "removed" meant (i.e., re the sentence, that the doctorshad been "instructed" that a certain piece of bone "had been removed.")Attached also find a page from my disk directory, listing these variousnotes. When we spoke you explained that only a tape would be truly important in the sense that --- if duly authenticated --- it would be a valid record of aprior statement; and I understand that. Neverthless, I'm submitting thesenotes not as direct evidence of what FXO said, but rather as a generalguide.Sincerely,David LiftonRE Gambradella, Castruccio, and Cooke: from my Rolodex, circa 1992:Jack Gambardella44 Briarwood Lane; #17EBranford CT 06405203 488 6221 (home & business) AB+Mike Body: recstat: Record **DeliveryPriority:** Ν **DeliveryReport:** В

ReturnReceipt: Categories: