CDD1B4881DC945458525651E006180E6 NR key name: SendTo: CN=Manuel Legaspi/O=ARRB @ ARRB

CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Jim Goslee/O=ARRB @ ARRB CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

CN=R ecord/O=ARRB BlindCopyTo: CN=Ron Haron/O=ARRB From:

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 09/26/1997 DisplayDate_Time: 1:49:41 PM 09/26/1997 ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time: 1:45:00 PM Subject: Re: DIA Letter

> Manuel -- I thought your suggestion was good. I would modify it slightly so it reads: "Have you included all DIA record repositories, including those which might be goverened by regulations which differ from normal archival procedures? For example, did your search cover all SCIF or vault facilities utilized by DIA,, or any other special storage facilities for compartmentalized materials that may exist at DIA?"Please finalize and send out the letter with Doug.To:Ron Haron/ARRBcc:Jim Goslee/ARRB, Douglas Horne/ARRBFrom:Manuel Legaspi/ARRBDate:09/26/97 10:26:50 AMSubject: Re: DIA LetterOne suggestion: in paragraph 3 of the second page, question #4, you state "Have you included DIA's vault or SCIF facilities, or any other repository for classified documents..."Be advised that the terms "vault" and "SCIF facilities" are technical security terms more strictly utilized by agencies like DIA. If the intent is for DIA to state that they have conducted a thorough search of all of their record repositories, including records which may have been segregated from "normal" files due to extreme sensitivity or compartmentalization, I would suggest that you adjust the language used. A suggestion below: "Have you included all DIA record repositories, including those which might be goverened by regulations which differ from normal archival procedures? For example, did your

Body: search cover any special storage facilities for compartmentalized materials that may exist at DIA?"

Record recstat: **DeliveryPriority:** Ν

В DeliveryReport:

ReturnReceipt: Categories: