

NR_key_name: A68B21F9390863F28525654B00430DFE
SendTo: wljoyce @ phoenix.Princeton.EDU @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 11/10/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 7:30:08 AM
ComposedDate: 11/10/1997
ComposedDate_Time: 7:12:25 AM
Subject: Re: Conference Call

Bill, Thanks for your message. Jerrie did tell me about the equipment problem. I would like your advice on the Joan issue. It is not clear to me how much (or how little) information the Board would ideally like to have on the topic. In one way, it might be perceived by the Board as water under the bridge and we should now get on with other matters. However, I do not know whether some Board members might wonder whether the matter was handled properly and would like to have the issue discussed. I am very willing to explain the issue with as much detail as the Board would like. To the extent that Board members have concerns (either about Joan or about how I handled the matter), I would like to discuss the issue in as much detail and depth as is warranted. If Board members are not particularly concerned about the issue, I think that it would be better to leave the issue alone. I have no idea whether Board members are discussing this issue among themselves or whether there are any concerns about it. If there are discussions (or inuendos), I would vastly prefer that the whole issue be laid out in complete detail. What do you think? Should we talk about it? Best, Jeremy

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: H
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: