

NR_key_name: AA6BF23B3B2ED51E85256563006481A1
SendTo: CN=Laura Denk/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 12/04/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 1:19:31 PM
ComposedDate: 12/04/1997
ComposedDate_Time: 1:17:48 PM
Subject: Re: FBI Records and Steve Tilley

1. Although I don't agree, I support the decision and think we should go along -- at least at this point. 2. Let's discuss the redaction and see if we can open it. If not, the ARRB can attach a memo explaining the situation. There is no need to go back to Hosty. To: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: From: Laura Denk/ARRB Date: 12/03/97 04:24:07 PM Subject: FBI Records and Steve Tilley I called Steve Tilley today to ask him about two lingering issues. (1) Originals vs. Copies of the "94-" file on John F. Kennedy from the Hoover O&C files. If you recall, at our meeting here with Tom, Steve, Carol, and LuAnn, Carol told Steve that the "94-" file on John F. Kennedy from the Hoover O&C files was ready to go to NARA, but she didn't know whether Steve wanted the original (in which case the original would NOT be with the remainder of the Hoover O&C files) or if Steve wanted a copy, thereby keeping the O&C files intact. I asked Steve today whether he had decided what to do. He said that NARA would like the FBI to send the ORIGINAL "94-" file, and place kick sheets in the O&C files that state that the originals are at NARA. If you approve, I will call Carol and advise her of Steve's decision and she can then send the "94-" file to the Collection. (2) Hosty Materials Hosty's "donation" included at least one FBI record that the Bureau believes may still contain classified information. Phil did not send that record out to Steve along with the other records that Hosty brought with him precisely because he was concerned that it might contain classified information. Carol is almost finished with her review of the Hosty interview materials, but she called to ask specifically whether this one record had already been made available to the public as part of the Hosty "donation." I told her that it had not. When I talked to Steve about it, he noted that the Deed of Gift states that Hosty was donating his papers to the Archives without restriction. If the Bureau does think that part of that document is still classified and if the Board agrees, Steve thought that we might need to supplement the Deed of Gift to explain why a part of the donation is redacted. I told him that I would need to talk to you about it, in your capacity as both Executive Director and General Counsel, so . . . what do you think?

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: