

NR_key_name: 6BE00ECA3A51F6928525658500780CDE
SendTo: CN=Kevin Tiernan/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo: CN=Chet Rhodes/O=ARRB @ ARRB
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 01/07/1998
DisplayDate_Time: 4:51:25 PM
ComposedDate: 01/07/1998
ComposedDate_Time: 4:51:16 PM
Subject: Re: Memo on the use of the FastTrack review system

Let's go with it.To: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc:Chet Rhodes/ARRBFrom:Kevin Tiernan/ARRBDate:01/07/98
02:40:28 PMSubject: Re: Memo on the use of the FastTrack review systemI think its fine.To:Chet
Rhodes/ARRBcc:Kevin Tiernan/ARRBFrom:Jeremy Gunn/ARRBDate:01/07/98 01:59:25 PMSubject: Re:
Memo on the use of the FastTrack review systemKevin, this looks good to me. Do you have any
suggestions?To:Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc:Kevin Tiernan/ARRB From:Chet Rhodes/ARRB Date:01/07/98
12:51:44 PMSubject:Memo on the use of the FastTrack review systemThis memo outlines the appropriate
use of the FastTrack (FT) review system for documents as of Jan 15, 1998.The FT can now be used for any
agency documents that are basic green issues that do not need board discussion. Previously the FT had been
reserved for CIA and FBI documents only.More complex documents should still continue to be reviewed in the
traditional review track process or when possible issues can be brought to the board for discussion and if
resolved in a simple manner the document can go to FT. It is important to remember that FT is only for
documents that have at least 1 postponement. Documents open in full should go to the consent database and
do not require board action.Kevin Tiernan can assist you in deciding the course a document should take in the
review process and if you need any training in FastTrack please contact me.Thanks

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: