

NR_key_name: 3A6749A462D4BA16852565B70056F85A

SendTo: CN=Kevin Tiernan/O=ARRB @ ARRB

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB

From: CN=Laura Denk/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 02/26/1998

DisplayDate_Time: 10:53:24 AM

ComposedDate: 02/26/1998

ComposedDate_Time: 10:49:57 AM

Subject: Re: Publishing documents with Grand Jury Info.

I'm not sure I understand your amended message. The Board does not need to vote on grand jury redactions that the staff agrees with. The JFK Act grants the Board authority to petition courts to remove the seal from grand jury material that the Board thinks is worth it. So, it seems like the default position is that we will allow the seals to remain in place (without Board action) UNLESS we (the staff, the Board) deem it important enough to request removal of the seal. In my mind, the grand jury material is just like juvenile redactions or any other information that courts think is important enough and private enough to place under seal. I don't know whether and to what extent the Federal Register notice needs to show an awareness of this. To: Laura Denk/ARRBcc: From: Kevin Tiernan/ARRB Date: 02/26/98 10:07:44 AM Subject: Publishing documents with Grand Jury Info. As I am imagining it, there will be a separate list in the Notice for documents which have grand jury redactions. Does that make sense? Actually, since it would really require a Board vote, maybe they need not be published at all. I am not sure

Body:

recstat: Record

DeliveryPriority: N

DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt:

Categories: