

NR_key_name: 0F78C9DF903BDCB0852565BD004724A8
SendTo: CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 03/04/1998
DisplayDate_Time: 8:05:45 AM
ComposedDate: 03/04/1998
ComposedDate_Time: 7:57:04 AM
Subject: Re: Documents for investigation

Eileen. Pursuit of this matter, like so many others, comes down to a decision about resources, as I think Jeremy will agree. It sounds like tracking such records would be very difficult, with positive results unlikely. However, pursuit of any records that Henry Wade has, in general was one of the things that Hugh Aynesworth said that he could provide some guidance on. Unfortunately, for whatever reason, he has not been returning my calls. Wade is very old and not in good health. I will recommend in my wrap-up memo to Jeremy that we reach out to him or his family to see if he has any assassination records. Beyond that, finding witnesses and interviewing them is a time consuming task, particularly with so much else to do. I suggest that you reply to the writer that we appreciate his input, as with all suggestions, his will be carefully reviewed, etc. Perhaps Jeremy would like to weigh in before you respond. Thanks. Tom To: Tom Samoluk/ARRB, Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: From: Eileen Sullivan/ARRBDate: 03/02/98 10:52:37 AMSubject: Re: Documents for investigationFYI -- see belowTo: Eileen_Sullivan @ jfk-arrb.govcc: bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB)From: 74774.1276 @ compuserve.com @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT Date: 03/01/98 10:31:46 PMSubject: Documents for investigation Hello Ms. Sullivan, I was given your name as a potential contact for submitting information to the AARB. Hopefully that's correct and this won't be just an annoyance. I would like to bring the Board's attention (if you are not already aware of it) to a set of documents which were, in December of '63, in the possession of the Dallas Police Department. These records were confirmed by a number of Dallas deputy constables who had observed and reviewed them; the deputies went public in a story by Earl Golz in the Dallas Morning News in '76. The officers were Deputy Billy Preston, Constable Robie Love (deceased), Deputies Mike Callahan and Ben Cash. The documents were in a box turned over to DA Henry Wade who has apparently been cited as acknowledging them but feeling they were unimportant to the Ruby case and later as not recalling them at all. The reason for turning the papers over to Wade during Ruby's trial was that they created a firm paper trail tying Ruby and Oswald together. I have seen a copy of the Dallas Morning News article reproduced in Penn Jones newsletter, in addition, the gist of the documents, contents and implications is summarized by Jim Marrs on page 411 of his book "Crossfire". It seems that Earl Golz or any of the surviving deputies would be worthy of your questioning; obviously if the documents are as described, they would be immensely valuable and they were in legal custody of the DPD for some time in '63 so they seem to fall under your purview. If nothing else, taking statements from the surviving officers would seem a critical matter of documentation for the public's record of the assassination and its investigation. I hope you feel these documents are worth some inquiry (and would feel even better if you have already pursued them

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: