NR_key_name:	6EDE8F4E5A644352852565D9006AF226
SendTo:	CN=Laura Denk/O=ARRB @ ARRB
СоруТо:	
DisplayBlindCopyTo:	
BlindCopyTo:	CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From:	CN=Kevin Tiernan/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:	
DisplayDate:	04/01/1998
DisplayDate_Time:	2:28:38 PM
ComposedDate:	04/01/1998
ComposedDate_Time:	2:28:08 PM
Subject:	Re: Hi there.
	To:Kevin Tiernan/ARRBcc: From:Laura Denk/ARRB Date:04/01/98 12:48:42 PMSubject:Hi there.Okay.
	TO REVIT TETTATI/ARREC. FIOTILIAUTA DETR/ARRE Date.04/01/36 12.46.42 FWSubject.11 there.0kay.
	I'm going to float an idea and then you can tell me whether it is a cockamamie (sp?) idea or not. I was thinking
	I'm going to float an idea and then you can tell me whether it is a cockamamie (sp?) idea or not. I was thinking
	I'm going to float an idea and then you can tell me whether it is a cockamamie (sp?) idea or not. I was thinking of including in the chapter some numbers about how often the FBI used particular postponements so
	I'm going to float an idea and then you can tell me whether it is a cockamamie (sp?) idea or not. I was thinking of including in the chapter some numbers about how often the FBI used particular postponements so something like, "in a sampling of 150,000 records, the FBI used the "2 &4" postponement [number] of
	I'm going to float an idea and then you can tell me whether it is a cockamamie (sp?) idea or not. I was thinking of including in the chapter some numbers about how often the FBI used particular postponements so something like, "in a sampling of 150,000 records, the FBI used the "2 &4" postponement [number] of times." That way, we could give the public an overall sense of the percentage of records that we saw that had any one type of postponement. Do you think it even makes sense to do this? If we did it, should we limit our
Body:	I'm going to float an idea and then you can tell me whether it is a cockamamie (sp?) idea or not. I was thinking of including in the chapter some numbers about how often the FBI used particular postponements so something like, "in a sampling of 150,000 records, the FBI used the "2 &4" postponement [number] of times." That way, we could give the public an overall sense of the percentage of records that we saw that had
Body: recstat:	I'm going to float an idea and then you can tell me whether it is a cockamamie (sp?) idea or not. I was thinking of including in the chapter some numbers about how often the FBI used particular postponements so something like, "in a sampling of 150,000 records, the FBI used the "2 &4" postponement [number] of times." That way, we could give the public an overall sense of the percentage of records that we saw that had any one type of postponement. Do you think it even makes sense to do this? If we did it, should we limit our count to the "Core and Related?" Do we even have those types of statistics for any of the HSCA
recstat:	I'm going to float an idea and then you can tell me whether it is a cockamamie (sp?) idea or not. I was thinking of including in the chapter some numbers about how often the FBI used particular postponements so something like, "in a sampling of 150,000 records, the FBI used the "2 &4" postponement [number] of times." That way, we could give the public an overall sense of the percentage of records that we saw that had any one type of postponement. Do you think it even makes sense to do this? If we did it, should we limit our count to the "Core and Related?" Do we even have those types of statistics for any of the HSCA subjects?Cockamamie idea? (please check one.)YES NO X
recstat: DeliveryPriority:	I'm going to float an idea and then you can tell me whether it is a cockamamie (sp?) idea or not. I was thinking of including in the chapter some numbers about how often the FBI used particular postponements so something like, "in a sampling of 150,000 records, the FBI used the "2 &4" postponement [number] of times." That way, we could give the public an overall sense of the percentage of records that we saw that had any one type of postponement. Do you think it even makes sense to do this? If we did it, should we limit our count to the "Core and Related?" Do we even have those types of statistics for any of the HSCA subjects?Cockamamie idea? (please check one.)YES NO X Record
recstat: DeliveryPriority: DeliveryReport:	I'm going to float an idea and then you can tell me whether it is a cockamamie (sp?) idea or not. I was thinking of including in the chapter some numbers about how often the FBI used particular postponements so something like, "in a sampling of 150,000 records, the FBI used the "2 &4" postponement [number] of times." That way, we could give the public an overall sense of the percentage of records that we saw that had any one type of postponement. Do you think it even makes sense to do this? If we did it, should we limit our count to the "Core and Related?" Do we even have those types of statistics for any of the HSCA subjects?Cockamamie idea? (please check one.)YES NO X Record N
recstat: DeliveryPriority:	I'm going to float an idea and then you can tell me whether it is a cockamamie (sp?) idea or not. I was thinking of including in the chapter some numbers about how often the FBI used particular postponements so something like, "in a sampling of 150,000 records, the FBI used the "2 &4" postponement [number] of times." That way, we could give the public an overall sense of the percentage of records that we saw that had any one type of postponement. Do you think it even makes sense to do this? If we did it, should we limit our count to the "Core and Related?" Do we even have those types of statistics for any of the HSCA subjects?Cockamamie idea? (please check one.)YES NO X Record N