

NR_key_name: 0FE95118C2E9D001852565D9006B6A44
SendTo: CN=Kevin Tiernan/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Laura Denk/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 04/01/1998
DisplayDate_Time: 2:33:43 PM
ComposedDate: 04/01/1998
ComposedDate_Time: 2:33:16 PM
Subject: Re: Hi there.
thank you. :-) how DO you spell cockamamie, anyway?To: Laura Denk/ARRBcc: Kevin
Tiernan/ARRBDate: 04/01/98 02:28:38 PMSubject: Re: Hi there.To: Kevin Tiernan/ARRBcc: From: Laura
Denk/ARRB Date: 04/01/98 12:48:42 PMSubject: Hi there.Okay. I'm going to float an idea and then you can
tell me whether it is a cockamamie (sp?) idea or not. I was thinking of including in the chapter some numbers
about how often the FBI used particular postponements -- so -- something like, "in a sampling of 150,000
records, the FBI used the "2 &4" postponement [number] of times." That way, we could give the public
an overall sense of the percentage of records that we saw that had any one type of postponement. Do you
think it even makes sense to do this? If we did it, should we limit our count to the "Core and Related?" Do
we even have those types of statistics for any of the HSCA subjects?Cockamamie idea? (please check one.)YES
Body: NO X
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: