

NR_key_name: 1406534152E3495B852565F400536677
SendTo: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Laura Denk/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 04/28/1998
DisplayDate_Time: 11:12:23 AM
ComposedDate: 04/28/1998
ComposedDate_Time: 11:10:57 AM
Subject: Re: Takings II
This case will be magically arriving via the fax machine. According to my source, it says nothing more than Wagner Seed-- in other words -- it says that an interpretive reg. is treated the same as a legis. rule in the D.C. Circuit. When it arrives, I'll make a copy for you.
Body: To: Laura Denk/ARRBcc: From: Jeremy Gunn/ARRB
recstat: Date: 04/28/98 08:07:59 AMSubject: Takings II
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: See if you can get a slip op. for the 1998 DC Circuit case that cited Wagner Seed. DOJ main library should have it; you can also get one from the Courthouse; perhaps elsewhere.
Record