NR key name: SendTo: CopyTo: **DisplayBlindCopyTo:** BlindCopyTo: From: DisplayFromDomain: **DisplayDate:** 06/04/1998 DisplayDate_Time: 7:54:51 AM 06/04/1998 **ComposedDate:** ComposedDate_Time: 7:53:41 AM Subject: Body: Record recstat: **DeliveryPriority:** Ν

DeliveryReport: ReturnReceipt: Categories:

В

45F741DEF2CE2C2285256619004156E0 CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB @ ARRB CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB

CN=R ecord/O=ARRB CN=Tracy Shycoff/O=ARRB

Re: pending donation from David Lifton

I KNOW ADOUT THIS. WE SHOULD UISCUSS IT WITH JETETHY. WE OBLIGATED THE HIGHEY IT SEPTEMBER TO COME OUT OF last year's funds. Obviously, we can no longer use last year's money for this so Jeremy will need to authorize using this year's money. I have some paperwork and e-mails on this if that helps.To:Jeremy Gunn/ARRB, Tracy Shycoff/ARRBcc:From:Eileen Sullivan/ARRBDate:06/03/98 05:44:13 PMSubject: pending donation from David LiftonBelow is a note from David Lifton about the status of his donation to the JFK Collection. There is discussion of reimbursement to Pat Valentino by the Review Board (in the amount of \$1500) -- he is handling the audio work. Do either of you know about this? Mr. Lifton wants me to confirm that we still intend to reimburse Mr. Valentino.To:"Eileen Sullivan" <Eileen_Sullivan @ jfk-arrb.gov>cc: (bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB)From:David Lifton <DLifton @ compuserve.com> @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT Date:06/03/98 08:43:09 PM GMTSubject:Re: follow-upEileen:I am most sorry for the delay in replying to your email. As you mightimagine, I am deluged with tasks connected with the completion of mymanuscript on Oswald. That is the only reason for the delay and my silence. Yes, I very much intend to follow through on and complete the donation of the promised audio materials. Here's where that situation stands as of right now:1. I told Tom Samoluk that Pat Valentino, a very competent and professionalaudio person who I've known for years (he made some of the dupes used in he ARRB depositions, on short notice) would be doing the work-i.e., the converstion from tapes (which are years old, but ok to play, at leastagain, for this purpose of copying them to DAT (digital audio); and weagreed on a price of \$1500 as compensation for Valentino. (I believe Patsend in paperwork that was requested by Tom, describing his operation; and it Tom indicated everything was ok).2. Then a small glitch developed at my end. I told Tom there was a problemin getting a machine which would play back the recordings, many of whichwere made at 1-7/8 ips (inch per second). (My original machine---a SONY TC800 (the identical model used by Nixon to create the Watergate tapes)---wasnot functioning. At that point, I put in some time searching around LosAngeles for someone to repair/rehabilitate that machine.I accomplished this---it cost about \$120 as I recall---and it was shortly thereafter that Tom left ARRB.3. At that time, I was hit with numerous tasks and responsibilities inconnection with my work. Just to give you an idea: I am now renting 3 fullrooms up here at the City National Bank Building in Los Angels, plus 3large walk in storage areas, and I've got about 30 filing cabinets worth ofmaterial on which I'm riding herd. My problem has been that I'm supposedto stay totally focused on just writing, but my interviewing has continued, and life does go on. For example, when I did the Zapruder memo for theARRB, it cost me a week. And each task competes with an editor in New Yorkwho is demanding pages. But this donation of the audio