SendTo:   CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB     CopyTo:   DisplayBindCopyTo:     BindCopyTo:   CN=R ecord/O=ARRB     From:   CN=R ecord/O=ARRB     DisplayDate:   06/05/1998     DisplayDate:   06/05/1998     ComposedDate:   06/05/1998     ComposedDate:   06/05/1998     ComposedDate:   06/05/1998     ComposedDate:   06/05/1998     ComposedDate:   06/05/1998     ComposedDate:   06/05/1998     Cour Conversation of August Last on Oswald LeWinter   Subject:     Re: Our Conversation of August Last on Oswald LeWinter   Subject:     Bidded 3 May 1998) reached me yester-day. David Mantik and I are a bit puzzlet. When I met with you last     Aug-ust and advised you that David had had an encounter with Oswald LeWinter- or DeWinter, about which was unsurewho had toid David that the CIA hadinded reprocessed the Zapruder film under the auspices of the NSA at FortMeade, you toid me that you would check tout. Later you advised me thatthe CIA hadinded you that there was no such person or that he was a phonyn. Ingito this new development, we are wonderir whether you were beingmisled by the CIA at that time (deliberately or otherwise) or if these newdevelopments are intended to discredit him for having "taiked shop" when Itwould have bee my date the keep this mouth shut. If the CIA was lying aboutLeWinter the, could thave the lyou shot having was lying about him mov?	NR_key_name:	B0EECFF42FF1A2868525661A00581695
DisplayBindCopyTo:     CN+Eleen Sulliva/O=AR8       BindCopyTo:     CN+Eleen Sulliva/O=AR8       DisplayFromDomain:     DisplayDate:       DisplayDate_Time:     12:03:09 PM       ComposedDate_Time:     12:03:09 PM       ComposedDate_Time:     12:03:09 PM       Subject:Time:     12:03:09 PM       Subject:Time:     12:03:09 PM       Subject:Time:     Re: Our Conversation of August Last on Oxadi LeWinter       arrb.govccijames fetzer      Fetzer @ d.umn.edu>, drmantik @ aol.com (bcc: Elleen Sullivar)/AR8B)Fromijames fetzer        fetzer      Fetzer @ d.umn.edu> @ INTENLET @ INTERLANT Date:06/04/98 05:34:53 PM GMTSubject:Re:       Our Conversation of August Last on Oxadi LeWinter     August and advised you that David had had an encounter with Osavid LeWinter-or DeWinter, about which was usurewho had told David that the CA hadindeed reprocessed the Zapruder film under the auspices of the NSA at FortMeade, you told me that you would check it out. Later you advised me thatthe CIA had told you that there was no such person or that he was a phony.In light of this new development, we are wonderir whether you were beignasided by the CIA at that time (deliberately or otherwise) or if these newdevelopments are intended to discredit him for having "talked shop" when itwould have been prudent to keep in mouth shut. If the CIA was lying about thim now? And it they were lying to you as the Executive Director of the AR8, what recourse may exist?We look forward to hearing from you.linJames H. FetzerMcKnight ProfessortInviersity		CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB
BlindCopyTo:   CN=R ecord/O=ARRB     Prom:   CN=Elleen Sullivan/O=ARRB     DisplayTomDomain:   DisplayDate:   06/05/1998     ComposedDate:   06/05/1998     ComposedDate::   Cur Conversation of August Last on Oswald LeWinter/enremy Gunn,This message (a copy of a news article dated 3 May 1998) reached me yester-day. David Mantik and I are a bit puzzled. When I met with you last Aug-ust and advised you that David had nad a necounter with Oswald LeWinter-or DeWinter, about which was unsure-who had told David that the CIA hadindeed reprocessed the Zapruder film under the auspices of the NSA at FortMeade, you told me that you would check it out. Later you advised me thattee CIA hadindeed reprocessed the Zapruder film under the auspices of the NSA at FortMeade, you told me that sa period, Nilkit of this new development, we are wonderir whether you were beingmisled by the CIA at that time (deliberately or othewasit) would have been prudent to keep his mouth shut. If the CIA was lying aboutEWinter	СоруТо:	
From:   CN=Elleen Sullivan/O=ARRB     DisplayTomDomain:   DisplayDate:     DisplayDate:   06/05/1998     OpposedDate:   06/05/1998     ComposedDate:   06/05/1998     ComposedDate:   06/05/1998     Subject:   Re: Our Conversation of August Last on Oswald LeWinter frame into Waining use Intessage Survive IV you = 11eceiveu II yesertuay. INCLIEEIL_SURVIVE W_JIKE     Our Conversation of August Last on Oswald LeWinter/remediant Weath and use into Waining University of August Last on Oswald LeWinter/remediant Weath Weath Conversation of August Last on Oswald LeWinter/remediant Weath Weath Weath Weath Conversation of August Last on Oswald LeWinter/remediant Weath	DisplayBlindCopyTo:	
DisplayDate: </th <th>BlindCopyTo:</th> <th>CN=R ecord/O=ARRB</th>	BlindCopyTo:	CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
DisplayDate:06/05/1998DisplayDate:06/05/1998ComposedDate:06/05/1998ComposedDate:06/05/1998ComposedDate:R::Our Conversion of August Last on Oswald LeWinter rarb govecijames fetzer cjletzer @ d.umn.edu>, drmantk @ aol.com (bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB)From:james fetzer cjletzer @ d.umn.edu>, drmantk @ aol.com (bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB)From:james fetzer cjletzer @ d.umn.edu>, drmantk @ aol.com (bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB)From:james fetzer cjletzer @ d.umn.edu>, drmantk @ aol.com (bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB)From:james fetzer cjletzer @ d.umn.edu>, drmantk @ aol.com (bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB)From:james fetzer cjletzer @ d.umn.edu>, drmantk @ aol.com (bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB)From:james fetzer cjletzer @ d.umn.edu>, drmantk @ aol.com (bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB)From:james fetzer cjletzer @ d.umn.edu> @ NTERNLF @ NTERNLFM Date:06/04/98 05:34:53 PM GMTsubject:Re: Our Conversation of August Last on Oswald LeWinter-remy Gunn,This message (a copy of a news article dated 3 May 1998) reached me yester-day. David Mantik and I are a bit puzzled. When I met with you last Aug-ust and advised you that David had had an encounter with Oswald LeWinter- or DeWinter, about which was unsure-who had toid David that the CIA hadindeed reprocessed the Zapruder film under the auspices of the NSA at FortMeade, you told me that you would check it out. Later you advised me thatthe CIA had told you that there was no such person or that he was a phony.In light of this new development, we are wonderin whether you were beingmisled by the CIA at that time (deliberate) or otherwise) or if these newdevelopments are intended to discredit thim for having "talked short" MonsotaDuluth, MM S5821jfetzer@d.umn.eduForwarded message(London) Independent(c) 1998 Newspaper Pub PLC. All rts. reserv.09623128 AI Fayed and the CIA conman Independent (M)	From:	CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB
DisplayDate_Time:12:03:09 PMComposedDate:06/05/1998ComposedDate_Time:12:02:09 PMSubject:Re: Our Conversation of August Last on Oswald LeWinter ramb warding the message becaw to you in received it yesteriory. Occineer_Joinward By JPK arrb.govcc:james fetzer Subject:Re: Our Conversation of August Last on Oswald LeWinter ramb warding the message becaw to you in received it yesteriory. Occineer_Joinward By JPK arrb.govcc:james fetzer Subject:Re: Our Conversition of August Last on Oswald LeWinter/erem (Gun, This message (a copy of a news article dated 3 May 1998) reached me yester-day. David Mantik and I are a bit puzzled. When I met with you last Aug-ust and advised you that David had had an encounter with Oswald LeWinter-or DeWinter, about which was unsure-who had told David that the CIA hadindeed reprocessed the Zapruder film under the avspices of the NSA at FortMeade, you told me that you would check it out. Later you advised me thatthe CIA had told you that there was no such person or that he was a phony. In light of this new development, we are wonderin whether you were beingmisted by the CIA at that time (deliberately or otherwise) or if these newdevelopments are intended to discredit him for having "talked shop" when itwould have been prudent to keep his mouth shut. If the CIA was lying aboutLeWinter then, could they not be lying about him now? And i they were ly-ing to you as the Executive Director of the ARRB, what recourse may exist?We look forward to hearing from you.jimJames H. FetzerMcKnight ProfessorUniversity of MinnesotaDuluth, MN 55812/fetzer@d.umn.edu	DisplayFromDomain:	
ComposedDate:   06/05/1998     ComposedDate_Time:   12:02:09 PM     Subject:   Re: Our Conversation of August Last on Oswald LeWinter     Print I/W writing the incessage below to you - I received it yesteruay.ior.Lineer_solitivan/ARB)From:james     fetter    fetter @ d.umn.edu> @ INTERNET @ INTERLANT     Data   fetter      dated 3 May 1998) reached mey seter-day. David Mantik and Lare abit puzzled. When I met with you last     Aug-ust and advised you that David had had an encounter with Oswald LeWinter-or DeWinter, about which     was unsure—who had told David that the CIA hadindeed reprocessed the Zapruder film under the auspices of     the NSA at FortMeade, you told me that you would check it out. Later you advised me thatthe CIA had told     you that there was no such person or that he was a phony. In light of this new development, we are wonderir     whether you were beingmisled by the CIA at that time (deliberately or otherwise) or if these     newdevelopments are intended to discredit him for having "talked shop" when itwould have been prudent to     keep his mouth shut. If the CIA was lying aboutLeWinter then, could they not be lying about him now? And it     they were ly-ing to you as the Executive Director of the ARRB, what recourse may exist?We look forward to     hearing from you.Jimames H. EftzerMcKnight ProfessorUniversity of MinnesotaDluth, MN     SSB12/fetzer@ d.umn.edu	DisplayDate:	06/05/1998
ComposedDate_Time:   12:02:09 PM     Subject:   Re: Our Conversation of August Last on Oswald LeWinter antb govacciames fetzer s/fetzer @ d.umn.edu>, drmantik @ aol.com (bcc: Elleen Sullivan/ARRB/Formjames fetzer s/fetzer @ d.umn.edu> @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT Date:06/04/98 05:34:53 PM GMTSubject:Re: Our Conversation of August Last on Oswald LeWinter/eremy Gunn,This message (a copy of a news article dated 3 May 1998) reached me yester-day. David Mantik and I are a bit puzzled. When I met with you last Aug-ust and advised you that David had had an encounter with Oswald LeWinter-or DeWinter, about which was unsure-who had told David that the CLA hadindeed reprocessed the Zapruder film under the auspices of the NSA at FortMeade, you told me that you would check it out. Later you advised me thatthe CIA had told you that there was no such person or that he was a phony.In light of this new development, we are wonderin whether you were beingmisled by the CIA at that time (deliberately or otherwise) or if these newdevelopments are intended to discredit him for having "talked shop" when itwould have been prudent to keep his mouth shut. If the CIA was lying aboutLeWinter then, could they not be lying about him now? And it they were ly-ing to you as the Executive Director of the ARRB, watercourse may exist?We look forward to hearing from you_Jimames H. FetzerNKchinght ProfessorUniversity of MinnesotaDuluth, MN 55812/fetzer@d.umn.eduForwarded message(London) Independent(() 1998 Newspaper Put PLC. All rts. reserv.09623128 AI Fayed and the CIA comma Independent (IN). Sunday, May 3, 1998By: Peter Koenig Edition: 3 Section: Features Page: 21 Word Count: 1,601MEMO: In a plot that would make Le Carre blink, the Harrods boss hasseemingly folied a scam and caught a fugitiveTXT: WHATEVER you think of Mohamed AI Fayed - the deception about hispast, the scabrous language, the weakness for conspiracy theories - theman has an undeniable	DisplayDate_Time:	12:03:09 PM
Subject:   Re: Our Conversation of August Last on Oswald LeWinter amb working the message below to your interference to your on the conversation of August Last on Oswald LeWinter arb.govcci james fetzer offetzer @ d.umn.edu> @ INTERNET @ INTERNEANT Date:06/04/98 05:34:53 PM GMTSubject:Re: Our Conversation of August Last on Oswald LeWinter/ermy Gunn,This message (a copy of a news article dated 3 May 1998) reached me yester-day. David Mantik and I are a bit puzzled. When I met with you last Aug-ust and advised you that David had had an encounter with Oswald LeWinter-or DeWinter, about which was unsure-who had told David that the CIA hadindeed reprocessed the Zapruder film under the augusce of the NSA at FortMeade, you told me that you would check it out. Later you advised me thatthe CIA had told you that there was no such person or that he was a phony. In light of this new development, we are wonderin whether you were beingmisled by the CIA at that time (deliberately or otherwise) or if these newdevelopments are intended to discredit him for having "talked shop" when itwould have been prudent to keep his mouth shut. If the CIA was lying aboutLeWinter then, could they not be lying about him now? And i they were ly-ing to you as the Executive Director of the ARRB, what recourse may exist?We look forward to hearing from you.JimJames H. FetzerMcKnight ProfessorUniversity of MinnesotaDuluth, MN S5812)fetzer@d.umn.edu Forwarded message(London) Independent(1) 1998 Newspaper Put PLC. All rts. reserv.09623128 Al Fayed and the CIA comman Independent (IN) - Sunday, May 3, 1998By: Peter Koenig Edition: 3 Section: Features Page: 21 Word Count: 1,601MEMO: In a plot that would make Le Carree blink, the Harrods boss hasseemingly foiled a scam and caught a fugitiveTEX: WHATEVER you think of Mohamed Al Fayed - the deception about hispast, the scabrous language, the weakness for conspiracy theories - theman has an undeniable talent for getting in on the action. Last weekke p	ComposedDate:	06/05/1998
arb.govcc:james fetzer <jfetzer @="" d.umn.edu="">, drmantik @ aol.com (bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB)From:james     fetzer <jfetzer @="" d.umn.edu=""> @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT Date:06/04/98 05:34:53 PM GMTSubject:Re:     Our Conversation of August Last on Oswald LeWinter/Jeremy Gunn,This message (a copy of a news article     dated 3 May 1998) reached me yester-day. David Mantik and I are a bit puzzled. When I met with you last     Aug-ust and advised you that David had had an encounter with Oswald LeWinteror DeWinter, about which     was unsurewho had told David that the CIA hadindeed reprocessed the Zapruder film under the auspices of     the NSA at FortMeade, you told me that you would check it out. Later you advised me thatthe CIA had told     you that there was no such person or that he was a phony.In light of this new development, we are wonderir     whether you were beingmisled by the CIA at that time (deliberately or otherwise) or if these     newdevelopments are intended to discredit him for having "talked shop" when itwould have been prudent to     keep his mouth shut. If the CIA was jving aboutteWinter then, could they not be lying about film now? And i     they were ly-ing to you as the Executive Director of the ARRB, what recourse may exist?We look forward to     hearing from you.Jinames H. FetzerMcKnight ProfessorUniversity of MinnesotaDuluth, MN     55812jfetzer@d.umn.edu</jfetzer></jfetzer>	ComposedDate_Time:	
Body:descended on Vienna in force. First, to make sure MrLeWinter was properly bagged, then to debrief arecstat:RecordDeliveryPriority:NDeliveryReport:B	Subject:	arrb.govcc:james fetzer <jfetzer @="" d.umn.edu="">, drmantik @ aol.com (bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB)From:james fetzer <jfetzer @="" d.umn.edu=""> @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT Date:06/04/98 05:34:53 PM GMTSubject:Re: Our Conversation of August Last on Oswald LeWinterJeremy Gunn,This message (a copy of a news article dated 3 May 1998) reached me yester-day. David Mantik and I are a bit puzzled. When I met with you last Aug-ust and advised you that David that the CIA hadindeed reprocessed the Zapruder film under the auspices of the NSA at FortMeade, you told me that you would check it out. Later you advised me thatthe CIA had told you that there was no such person or that he was a phony.In light of this new development, we are wonderin whether you were beingmisled by the CIA at that time (deliberately or otherwise) or if these newdevelopments are intended to discredit him for having "talked shop" when itwould have been prudent to keep his mouth shut. If the CIA was lying aboutLeWinter then, could they not be lying about him now? And it they were ly-ing to you as the Executive Director of the ARB, what recourse may exist?We look forward to hearing from you.JimJames H. FetzerMcKnight ProfessorUniversity of MinnesotaDuluth, MN 55812jfetzer@d.umn.edu</jfetzer></jfetzer>
recstat: Record   DeliveryPriority: N   DeliveryReport: B	Body:	
DeliveryPriority:NDeliveryReport:B	-	
DeliveryReport: B		

**Categories:** 

e:06/04/98 05:34:53 PM GMTSubject:Re: unn, This message (a copy of a news article are a bit puzzled. When I met with you last Oswald LeWinter--or DeWinter, about which I essed the Zapruder film under the auspices of Later you advised me that the CIA had told ht of this new development, we are wondering tely or otherwise) or if these ed shop" when itwould have been prudent to could they not be lying about him now? And if hat recourse may exist?We look forward to sity of MinnesotaDuluth, MN ondon) Independent(c) 1998 Newspaper Publ. pendent (IN) - Sunday, May 3, 1998By: Peter 1MEMO: In a plot that would make Le Carre fugitiveTEXT: WHATEVER you think of language, the weakness for conspiracy he action. Last weekhe popped up again - this The bare bones of the story are o have proof confirming the Harrods owner's Wales and Dodi Fayed died was murder. The Thedocuments are 99.9 per cent certain to the offer, turned out to be a renegade agent ny accounts, the most formidable confidence with Mr Fayed'ssecurity officer, John reaming to jail. THE CIA immediately was properly bagged, then to debrief a