NR key name: 98A18AFF75F85FC68525663C007254F1

SendTo: paulhoch @ uclink4.berkeley.edu (Paul L. Hoch) @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT

COPYTo: CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB @ ARRB

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Kim Herd/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 07/09/1998
DisplayDate_Time: 4:51:20 PM
ComposedDate: 07/09/1998
ComposedDate_Time: 4:48:48 PM

Subject: Re: Records relating to TSBD fingerprints

This is to acknowledge receipt of your e-mail regarding the imgerphilit evidence on the boxes at the rexas School Book Depository. I will respond in greater detail once I have had the opportunity to further research this. Thank you for your continued interest in the work of the Review Board. To:"Kim Herd" <Kim Herd @ jfk-arrb.gov>cc: (bcc: Kim Herd/ARRB)From:paulhoch @ uclink4.berkeley.edu (Paul L. Hoch) @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT Date:07/09/98 06:04:05 PM GMTSubject:Records relating to TSBD fingerprintsMs. Herd a letter of June 2, 1998 to Mr. Barr McClellan, you asked him foradditional information relating to the identification of a fingerprint on abox in the TSBD as belonging to Malcolm Wallace. (Your letter is published in the latest issue of "JFK Deep Politics Quarterly.") In May, I e-mailed some comments to Jeremy Gunn about this fingerprintidentification, based on the incomplete information which had then beenreleased. Excerpts from that message are appended. The FBI reported in 1964 that all of the non-Oswald fingerprints onBox A which were considered identifiable were in fact identified - asRobert Lee Studebaker of the DPD. (One palm print remained unidentified.)We now know that the alleged Wallace print is not the previouslyunidentified palm print but a fingerprint, in photo #29 of Box A. Material in the Warren Commission's 26 volumes does not appear toestablish conclusively either that the print in question was left out of the September 1964 sample as unidentifiable or that it was identified as Studebaker. That record appears to me to favor the second option, in whichcase the print of course cannot be Wallace's (if the FBI's match wasdefinitive). Additional records in the Archives should settle thisquestion, more or less easily. I hope that the JFK Collection already contains not only the resultsof the FBI's 1964 examination of the prints in photo #29, but the detailedevidence supporting those results. I did a RIF search on "Studebaker" forthe period 8/1/64-9/30/64. Some of the nine hits refer to Mr. S. F.Latona, an FBI fingerprint examiner; those in particular might point to thedetails of any match claimed by the FBI. Those nine record numbers areappended. As you may know, the match to Wallace found by Mr. A. Nathan Darby hasbeen challenged by other people who claim fingerprint expertise. Perhapsthe FBI's 1964 work is relevant to your evaluation of whateverrecommendations were made by Mr. McClellan in his petition. I hope my comments are of some use to you. Please let me know if youwould like any clarification.Paul L. Hoch-=-=-=-=- From Hoch to Gunn, 21 May 98 (edited): Many prints were found on boxes on the sixth floor. The Commission's primary interest, naturally, was that two were Oswald's. Considerable attention was also paid to

prints from other people. Eventually, all theidentifiable prints, except one, were matched to people who handled theboxes in the normal course of events. With the help of Sylvia Meagher's index, I found the

recstat: Record

DeliveryPriority: N

В

DeliveryReport: ReturnReceipt: Categories:

Body: