

NR_key_name: 2DC4FABBE28CD0348525615400586723
SendTo: Senior Staff
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 01/30/1995
DisplayDate_Time: 11:22:46 AM
ComposedDate: 01/30/1995
ComposedDate_Time: 11:05:36 AM
Subject: Proposed Experts Conference and Participant Suggestions
I think that the additional names which have emerged (Garrow and Theharis from Kermit; Gus Russo from Tilley) for the proposed experts conference are good suggestions. Upon further reflection on the idea of an experts conference, along with the benefit of Mark Zaid's thoughts on the conference, I am wondering if we are now talking about an additional way of dividing our experts up. We have the assassination experts who will hopefully give us insight into where records are, and we have the experts who know how to read and interpret intelligence agency records. The question I have is will blending these two groups together be productive or should they be divided up into different sessions? One session might be called "The JFK Assassination: Locating the Records" (with the assassination experts) and the other one might be called "Understanding Intelligence Community Records" (with intelligence community experts).I raise this question for your consideration.
Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: