

THIS FILE IS MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE DECLASSIFICATION EFFORTS AND RESEARCH OF:

THE BLACK VAULT

THE BLACK VAULT IS THE LARGEST ONLINE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT / GOVERNMENT RECORD CLEARING HOUSE IN THE WORLD. THE RESEARCH EFFORTS HERE ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DECLASSIFICATION OF THOUSANDS OF DOCUMENTS THROUGHOUT THE U.S. GOVERNMENT, AND ALL CAN BE DOWNLOADED BY VISITING:

[HTTP://WWW.BLACKVAULT.COM](http://www.blackvault.com)

YOU ARE ENCOURAGED TO FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO YOUR FRIENDS, BUT PLEASE KEEP THIS IDENTIFYING IMAGE AT THE TOP OF THE .PDF SO OTHERS CAN DOWNLOAD MORE!



the national archives

(c) crown copyright

No reply,
as full
address not
given.




REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO was observed for 57 minutes.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye. Also, pictures were taken on her mobile phone.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen over Luton Airport in Bedfordshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The sighting was reported to another area (the PRIDE project) in the MOD.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Stevenage Hertfordshire Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that the UFO seemed to be monitoring Luton Airport air traffic.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	24 July 2008 09.47L



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Dronfield
Derbyshire **Section 40**

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2
Date:
24 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 21 July 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 21 July 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Section 40

From: Section 40
Sent: 23 July 2008 10:45
To: Section 40
Subject: FW: FOI Written Request

-----Original Message-----

From: Section 40
Sent: 23 July 2008 10:44
To: Section 40
Subject: FW: FOI Written Request

Section 40

Can I interest you with this request?

It has not been logged as FOI.

Regards

Section 40
FOI Helpdesk
Section 40

-----Original Message-----

From: feedback@www.mod.uk [mailto:feedback@www.mod.uk]
Sent: 23 July 2008 11:39
To: Info-Access-Office
Subject: FOI Written Request

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Wednesday, July 23, 2008 at 11:38:38

firstname: Section 40
lastname:
occupation: retired
org/company: Please enter your Organisation or Company (optional)
address1: Section 40
address2:
towncity: Dronfield
statecounty: Derbyshire
postzipcode: Section 40
country: United Kingdom
e-mail: Section 40
telephone: Please enter your telephone number (optional)
informationrequest: Can't find anywhere else to report sighting of unusual object in the sky:

Seen through open car window, while driving, at about 10.30 p.m. on Monday July 21st, looking South from A6 between Buxton and Ashford-in the-Water. First, a distant bright

light, like huge star; minutes later, an object like a helicopter, high up, with many lights. Then a closer view, the size of a low plane, coming towards me - a wide thin shape with white and coloured lights across it - disappearing overhead.

I'm prepared to accept that it was a helicopter or plane seen from an unusual angle, but it didn't seem to behave like one. Will I ever know? Have I reached the right place to report it?

Thanks.

Section 40

submit: Send Form

No reply
as full
address not
given.



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	There were strange lights in the sky.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The lights were seen over Aberdeen, Aberdeenshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The sighting was reported to another area (the PRIDE project) in the MOD.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 [REDACTED] Aberdeen Aberdeenshire Section 40 [REDACTED]
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 [REDACTED] said that the lights were not an aircraft.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	23 July 2008 10.13L



Section 40

From: Section 40
Sent: 24 July 2008 12:46
To: Section 40
Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your e.mail to the UFO office address dated 17 July 2008, regarding your sighting in October 2004. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, your details have been logged and your e.mail will be filed.

Sorry, I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1
5th Floor, Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

E-mail – das-ufo-office@mod.uk

24/07/2008

L

Section 40 [redacted]

From: Section 40 [redacted] on behalf of DAS-UFO-Office
Sent: 21 July 2008 09:14
To: Section 40 [redacted]
Subject: FW: UFO

From: Section 40 [redacted]
Sent: 17 July 2008 15:35
To: DAS-UFO-Office
Subject: UFO

Dear sir or madam I would like to report a UFO sighting. Our sighting did not happen recently but happened in October 2004 near Tattenhall village Cheshire. I've given this quite some thought on whether or not to notify the MOD because of ridicule and/or disbelief, but I can only say with 100% certainty that an intelligent entity DOES exist in our skies. Our experience was long, Close [within feet] and very vivid, it was NOT something known to exist.


It also had a profound effect on my health at the time and I believe was responsible for health problems I had to seek soon after frequenting Walton Neurological Centre in Liverpool. If you would like any more information email me on Section 40 [redacted] thank you Section 40 [redacted]

This e-mail is confidential and privileged. If you are not the intended recipient do not disclose, copy or distribute information in this e-mail or take any action in reliance on its content.

This email has been checked for known viruses.



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Harrowden
Bedford
Bedfordshire **Section 40**

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2
Date:
22 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Harrowden, Bedford in Bedfordshire so far for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

✓

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	There were balls of light beaming red lights out of the sky.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye. Also Section 40 took a video and photographs of the balls of light.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The lights were seen over Bedford in Bedfordshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Quite a bit of cloud cover.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	<p>Section 40 [REDACTED]</p> <p>Harrowden Bedford Bedfordshire Section 40 [REDACTED]</p> <p>Section 40 [REDACTED]</p>
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	<p>Section 40 said that she reported her sighting to the local newspaper and showed them her video and photographic evidence. She said that one journalist seemed amazed at what he saw and that he had never seen anything like it before.</p>
13.	Date and time of receipt.	22 July 2008 12.15L

Section 40

From: feedback@www.mod.uk
Sent: 14 July 2008 11:50
To: webmaster@dgics.mod.uk
Subject: Low Flying Complaints

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Monday, July 14, 2008 at 11:49:47

firstname: Section 40

towncity:

statecounty: North Yorkshire

postzipcode: YO11

country: UK

e-mail: Section 40, 11th 12th 14th July 2008

informationrequest: Hi.

Am a Field Investigator #: 12633 serious.

I'm wanting to report strange sightings in the sky of Scarborough UK what I could only describe them as other people around the United Kingdom and America are seeing them at the same time just before me. UFOs. On 11th July 2008 at about 11:30pm whilst at my Computer I saw a cork that glowed like an Angel fly up and over from Trees then on 12th July 2008 at 11:52am I saw a pea pod shaped colour white fly through a blue part of the sky then through a cloud. Then on 14th July 2008 at 2:14am whilst I was still wide awake in bed I saw yet another UFO shaped as a firey star on stary night and flied through a black sky for a few seconds and vanished.

You can check out my Video of real Aliens at www.youtube.com/michael29101977 with Aliens in a white building and one Alien standing next to a Bush caught on my Webcam seeing days after the Aliens in the building behind President George Bush of USA that wasn't shown on Video.

I've got a copy of it on Dvd if you want a copy of it let me know.

Thought it's best to tell you since other people are seeing UFO Sightings at the moment and that it's not me seeing things and something is going on in England with these UFO Sightings. I don't know what thou something like that should be investigated as it could be a threat to the United Kingdom from enemy of other countries with WMD or something like that.


Someone senses something big is going to happen near the end of 2008 as there isn't much time left in 2008.

I would appreciate a reply and if not then I don't mind.

Not on anything not taking any medication and wasn't watching any Science Fiction Films Tele programmes at that time and I know a UFO in reality is real and that Films are inmitating life of UFOs. I know Films isn't real when they have a UFO concept to them cause I know their just Special & Visual Effects in UFO Films.

Thanks.

submit: Send Form



Section 40

From: Section 40
Sent: 22 July 2008 16:15
To: Section 40
Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sightings.

Dear Sir,

I am writing with reference to your report of three 'unidentified flying objects' seen on the 11, 12 and 14 July 2008. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your three observations, I can confirm that for the 12 July 2008, we received four other UFO sighting reports, and they were from Surrey, Gloucestershire, Lincolnshire and North Yorkshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1
5th Floor, Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

E-mail – das-ufo-office@mod.uk

22/07/2008



Section 40

From: Section 40

Sent: 22 July 2008 15:31

To: Section 40

Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sightings.

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your e.mail dated 16 July 2008, regarding your two UFO sightings on the 12 and 13 July 2008.

As stated before, in previous correspondence, the Ministry of Defence has no interest or role in respect to 'UFO/flying saucer' matters, or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms about which it remains open-minded. The date, however, the MOD is unaware of any evidence that proves that these phenomena exist.

Unless there is corroborating evidence to suggest that the UK's airspace may have been compromised by unauthorised aircraft, the MOD does not investigate or seek to provide a precise explanation for the UFO sighting reports we receive each year. However, we believe that rational explanations could be found for most of the sightings if resources were devoted to doing so.

With regards to your observations on the 12 and 13 July 2008, I can confirm that we received four other UFO sighting reports for the 12 July 2008 and they were from Surrey, Gloucestershire, Lincolnshire and North Yorkshire.

Sorry I cannot be any help in explaining what you saw.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1
5th Floor, Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

22/07/2008

Section 40

From: Section 40 on behalf of DAS-UFO-Office
Sent: 16 July 2008 11:10
To: Section 40
Subject: FW: UFO Sighting.

One for you! – Looks like a regular

From: Section 40
Sent: 16 July 2008 09:47
To: DAS-UFO-Office
Subject: FW: UFO Sighting.

Dear Section 40

I'm afraid it's me again !!

12 + 13 July . 22.00 .

Really sorry to keep pestering you, but I just wanted to let you know that we've seen the lights again.

We saw two briefly on Saturday night before they disappeared into a huge cloud and then 6 again on Sunday night – each time at just after 10pm.

Same as before like – they looked like bright orange stars rising up into the air one after the other. It was still slightly light on Sunday, as there was little cloud, so we could see where they were coming from this time. Before we thought they were appearing over the horizon, but they are actually coming up in front of Roughtor and at a guess I would say it's on Davidstow.

My Mum stayed at our house at the end of May, while we were on holiday and she also said she saw lights!!

I know you don't like to spend time looking into these things, but any suggestions on an explanation would be greatly appreciated, as this is driving us around the bend.

Many thanks for your time and I look forward to hearing from you.

Best regards

Section 40

From: Section 40
Sent: 15 July 2008 21:48
To: Section 40
Subject: Fw: UFO Sighting.

----- Original Message -----

From: Section 40
To: Section 40
Sent: Tuesday, May 06, 2008 3:20 PM
Subject: UFO Sighting.

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object' seen on 4 May 2008, the details of which you e.mailed to us on the das-ufo-office e.mail address. You will know from my previous correspondence that this is the office within the Ministry of Defence that deals with correspondence regarding UFOs and also our policy on the subject of UFOs.

21/07/2008

With regard to your particular observation on the 4 May 2008, I can confirm that we received no other reports of lights from Delabole in Cornwall, but we did receive one other report of a UFO for the 4 May 2008, but no area was given for that sighting. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Finally, sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1
5th Floor, Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

E.mail – das-ufo-office@mod.uk

Section 40

Credit Controller

Section 40



This message and its attachments is intended for the above named only, and may be privileged or confidential. If it has come you in error you must take no action based on it, nor must you copy or show it to anyone. If you have received this message in error please destroy it and contact the sender, either via our switchboard on +44 (0) 1208 862121 or via return e-mail. All reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure that no viruses are present in this e-mail, however, Sharps Brewery Ltd cannot accept responsibility for loss or damage arising from the use of this message or attachments and recommend that you undertake your virus checking procedures prior to use. Sharps Brewery Ltd. does not enter into any form of contract via this medium, nor is our staff authorised to do so on our behalf. Any opinions expressed in this e-mail are those of the sender, and will not reflect the opinions of Sharps Brewery Ltd. unless specifically stated.

Company Registration No: 04729760
Registered Office: Sharp's Brewery, Rock, Cornwall PL27 6NU
VAT Registration No: 821 3902 55

21/07/2008

No reply, as
house no. not
given.



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	15 July 2008 Time not given.
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO was seen.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen over Lowestoft in Suffolk.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Suffolk Police who in turn left a message on the DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Lowestoft Suffolk Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	There were other calls to Suffolk Police from Lowestoft regarding the UFO too.
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	21 July 2008 12.00L

No reply
as address not
given.



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date not given). 23.10L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	There were flashing lights. It looked like there were three hundred planes going across the sky.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The lights were seen over Cambridgeshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Cambridgeshire Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Her daughter witnessed the lights too.
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	21 July 2008 11.50L



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Redruth
Cornwall

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

21 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 20 July 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 20 July 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

✓

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	20 July 2008 03.30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	An object was seen.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen over Redruth in Cornwall moving from the North to the West. It then disappeared after moving towards the East.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Redruth Cornwall Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	21 July 2008 11.20L



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Horncastle
Lincolnshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2

Date:
21 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 12 July 2008, the details of which you passed to ATC Swanwick. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received three other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 12 July 2008, and they were from Surrey, Gloucestershire and North Yorkshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.


Yours sincerely

Section 40

ATC Swanwick.

+ UFO - Answerphone.


Report of Unidentified Flying Object	
Date	12 JUL 08
Time	22:24 22:50 L
Sighting Duration	30 MINS.
Description of Object	8 CIRCULAR YELLOW LARGE BRIGHT SOLID LIGHTS
Exact Position of Observer	Section 40 [REDACTED] HORNCASTLE LINCOLNSHIRE Section 40 [REDACTED]
How Object was Observed	HUMAN EYE
Direction in which Object was First Seen	EAST, SOUTH EAST.
Angular Elevation of Object	BELOW CLOUD LOW LEVEL.
Distance of Object from Observer	UNKNOWN.
Movement of Object	HIGH SPEED LOW LEVEL SILENT ALL ON SAME TRAJECTORY
Meteorological Conditions During Observations	NO CLOUD SKY OBSCURED.
Nearby Objects	NONE.
To Whom Reported	DIRECT A Section 40 [REDACTED] LACC AC
Name of Informant	Section 40 [REDACTED]
Address of Informant	Section 40 [REDACTED] LINCOLNSHIRE Section 40 [REDACTED] Section 40 [REDACTED]
Background Information on Informant that may be Volunteered	FIT OPS MANAGER RAF CRANWELL, USUALLY RECEIVES THE FLYING COMPLAINTS.
Other Witnesses	Section 40 [REDACTED]



Date of Receipt of Report	12 JUL 08	
Time of Receipt of Report	22 :24	
	Actions	Time
	Complete report of UFO with as many details as possible and send to FOI1	
	Telephone details immediately and leave a message on 0207 218 2140.	



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Richmond
North Yorkshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

21 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 12 July 2008, the details of which you passed to ATC Swanwick. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.


With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received three other reports of 'UFO' sightings for the 12 July 2008, and they were from Surrey, Gloucestershire and Lincolnshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Report of Unidentified Flying Object	
Date	12 JUL 08
Time	0935Z
Sighting Duration	10 MINS
Description of Object	7 RED GLOWING OBJECTS
Exact Position of Observer	MIDHURST. W. SUSSEX.
How Object was Observed	BY SIGHT
Direction in which Object was First Seen	FROM WEST TO EAST.
Angular Elevation of Object	10°
Distance of Object from Observer	NOT SURE
Movement of Object	WEST - EAST
Meteorological Conditions During Observations	DRY - BROKEN CLOUD
Nearby Objects	/
To Whom Reported	ATCC
Name of Informant	Section 40
Address of Informant	Section 40 RICHMOND, NORTH YORKS. TEL Section 40
Background Information on Informant that may be Volunteered	/
Other Witnesses	2 PEOPLE FROM MIDHURST



Date of Receipt of Report	12 JUL 08	
Time of Receipt of Report	2150Z	
	Actions	Time
	Complete report of UFO with as many details as possible and send to FOII	
	Telephone details immediately and leave a message on 0207 218 2140.	



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Stroud
Gloucestershire **Section 40**

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2
Date:
21 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 12 July 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received three other reports of 'UFO' sightings for the 12 July 2008, and they were from Surrey, Lincolnshire and North Yorkshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

✓

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	12 July 2008 23.00L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO was seen.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen moving over Amberley in Gloucestershire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The UFO was moving to the West.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 [Redacted] Stroud Gloucestershire Section 40 [Redacted]
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	21 July 2008 11.15L



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Duns
Borders

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

21 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in July 2008, the details of which you passed to the low flying complaints section. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Duns in Borders so far for July 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

7

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye. <i>Photographs were taken.</i>
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen over Duns in Borders, Scotland.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The report was passed to one of the Low Flying Complaints Cell phones.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Duns Borders Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 has taken photographs of her sighting and will be sending them to us.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	17 July 2008 16.45L



sent 2/7/08.





From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Oxted
Surrey

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

21 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 12 July 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received three other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 12 July 2008, and they were from Gloucestershire, North Yorkshire and Lincolnshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

L

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	12 July 2008 23.30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Ninety orange lights were in a V or S shaped pattern. They were the size of footballs.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outdoors in the garden.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen over Oxted in Surrey.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite close, they passed over the witnesses' house.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Oxted Surrey Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Four other people witnessed the lights.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that the lights made no sound, and does not believe that they were aircraft. That she had never seen anything like it before.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	16 July 2008 15.10L



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Bury St Edmonds
Suffolk

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

21 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen in July 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of ‘UFO/flying saucer’ matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings in July for Bury St Edmonds so far in 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

✓

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Two white lights were spotted through low cloud.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outdoors in the back garden.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen over Bury St Edmonds in Suffolk.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The lights went backwards and forwards for about forty minutes.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Some cloud cover.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Bury St Edmonds Suffolk Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	His wife was in the back garden with him.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that the lights were like a torch shining through a sheet.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	16 July 2008 13.48L

No reply,
as full
address not
given.




REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A large, silver metallic disc was seen.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen over Christchurch in Dorset.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Christchurch Dorset
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 asked if we could get in touch with him by post about his sighting, but he did not leave a forwarding address. The UFO was seen over two weeks ago.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	16 July 2008 13.51L



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Stafford
Staffordshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

10 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 1 July 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of ‘UFO/flying saucer’ matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 1 July 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40


✓

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	1 July 2008 22.30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Just said object/activity.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outside in Cornwall, Devon.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye and Section 40 took photographs for which he says he has just got developed.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen over Cornwall in Devon.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Stafford Staffordshire Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 wants us to inform him if there was any other activity on the date Section 40 had his sighting.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	10 July 2008 15.30L

No reply, address
as fully address
not given.



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	4 July 2008 (Time not given)
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO was seen.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen over Barnet in Greater London.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Full address not given. Barnet Greater London Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said she felt she should report the sighting.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	10 July 2008 14.30L



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Lambeth
London

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2

Date:
10 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen in 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of ‘UFO/flying saucer’ matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for Lambeth, London so far for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Section 40

From: Section 40
Sent: 10 July 2008 10:56
To: Section 40
Subject: FW: Low Flying Complaints

For you

-----Original Message-----

From: Section 40 On Behalf Of Low Flying
Sent: 10 July 2008 10:09
To: Section 40
Subject: FW: Low Flying Complaints

Section 40

Directorate of Airstaff (Lower Airspace) Complaints & Enquiries Unit Floor 5, Zone H
Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

-----Original Message-----

From: feedback@www.mod.uk [mailto:feedback@www.mod.uk]
Sent: 10 July 2008 03:08
To: webmaster@dgics.mod.uk
Subject: Low Flying Complaints

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted
on Thursday, July 10, 2008 at 03:08:06

firstname: Section 40
lastname:
address1:
address2:
towncity: London
statecounty: Please enter your State or County
postzipcode: Section 40
country: UK
telephone: Section 40
e-mail: Section 40

informationrequest: Bright white light in the sky much lower than the stars with a
multi coloured pulsating light. I was staring at the stationary object for hours then
I noticed in the light of the object three smaller objects dark with no lights appear
from below and enter into the object. There was no noise from the object at all.

submit: Send Form



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40
[Redacted]
Northampton
Northamptonshire
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2
Date:
10 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen in October 1977, the details of which you passed to us by letter. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of ‘UFO/flying saucer’ matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation back in 1977, the records for that year are in The National Archives, so I can not tell you if **Section 40** reported the incident to the MOD or not. Sorry I could not be any help.

Finally, your sighting details have been noted and your letter will be placed on our files.

Yours sincerely

Section 40
[Redacted]

Section 40

NORTHAMPTON
Northamptonshire

Section 40

Section 40

7. 07. 08

Dear

Section 40

I enclose details of a
strange phenomenon which occurred
in 1977 - vividly remembered.

I have difficulty in
writing, but it seems legible enough.
Apologies.



Yours sincerely

Section 40

DAS

- 9 JUL 2008

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

LLANGEFNI. Anglesey

OCT. 1977. (a Sat) 10.0 pm.


7. 08. 08

WITNESS.

Section 40

Engineer WYLER Nuclear Power Station. AMURCH Anglesey. Radio Nav in contact with M of D - especially in relation of Falkland Isles. Son, Royal Marine, awarded Military Medal

Sheet of light entered room through base of shut window, ballooned and rethek on armchair. Filled chair from top to floor. It had a 'skin'. Pulsated with energy. Electric lights went out - Torquaine colour as seen in a gas flame. Inside full of pin-point lights like stars. After a short time - I cannot specify - the 'light' went into exact replay of its entry and out of the window - The electric lights came on. Section 40 ran outside but saw nothing. We did not discuss it - but I am hoping he reported the incidence to you. What intrigues me is that it seemed perfectly controlled. No noise. No heat.




Section 40

no reply as
full address
not given.



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	3 July 2008 23.30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	There were two orange balls hovering a foot in front of the witness. 
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outside in the garden.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	In back garden in Coventry, Warwickshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	A foot away.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The two balls of light were hovering slowly from left to right.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	<p>Section 40</p> <p>Full address not given.</p> <p>Coventry Warwickshire</p> <p>Section 40</p>
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	<p>Section 40 said that her Springer Spaniel dog was out in the garden, but unusually ran back in, and that's when Section 40 went out to investigate. She said she was frightened by what she saw and ran back in and locked the kitchen/back door. She said what do these things want and could she and her dog now be contaminated. Could we get in touch with her. (She didn't give full address or phone number)!</p>
13.	Date and time of receipt.	<p>10 July 2008</p> <p>10.45L</p>



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Inverness
Inverness-shire
Highlands
Scotland **Section 40**

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2
Date:
10 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 27 June 2008, the details of which you passed to RAF Kinloss. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a UFO sighting for 27 June 2008 and that was also from Inverness, Inverness-shire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40


OPERATIONS SUPPORT SQUADRON ORDERS

SECTION 2 – OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

ORDER 17 – ANNEX A

REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

A	Date, time and duration of sighting	27 JUN 08 2355L
B	Description of object (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise).	5x ORANGE CIRCULAR LIGHTS IN SKY + BEAM OF LIGHT GONS UP TO THE SKY
C	Exact position of observer (indoors/outdoor, stationary/moving).	VICINITY OF TELFORD STREET INVERNESS
D	How observed (naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder).	NAKED EYE
E	Direction in which object first seen (landmark may be more useful than an estimated bearing).	NOT REPORTED
F	Angle of sight (estimated heights are unreliable).	"
G	Distance (by reference to a known landmark).	"
H	Movements (changes in E, F and G may be of more use than estimates of course and speed).	"
I	Met conditions during observation (moving cloud, haze, mist etc).	POSSIBLE SHOWERS/LOW CLOUD. KIN TAF RADZ BKN 007 (TEMP)
J	Nearby objects (telephone lines, high voltage lines, reservoir, lake or dam, swamp or marsh, rivers, high buildings, tall chimneys, steeples, TV/ radio masts, airfields, generating plants, factories, pits, or other sites with floodlights).	CITY. Cannal
K	To whom reported (police, military, press etc)	ATC KINLOSS SAC Section 40
L	Name and address of observer.	Section 40 INVERNESS
M	Background of observer that may be volunteered.	HUSBAND BROUGHT LIGHTS TO ATTENTION OF Section 40 + MOTHER IN LAW.
N	Other witnesses.	HUSBAND + WIFE + Mother in Law
O	Date and time of receipt.	28 0005L JUN 08
P	Any unusual met conditions.	NIL SIG. INVERNESS HEAR ATTACHED
Q	Remarks.	SEARCHLIGHT ACTIVITY NOTAM H 1827/08



Further call from Section 40 280045L.

Convinced searchlight activity was not responsible for six bright orange circular lights seen over Inverness from Telford St (by the canal) couldn't judge distance or height but it was not reflection on clouds, nor fireworks, she spoke with Police who said they knew of no activity at the time. Just after 2300-2330L (27th) Speaking with Section 40 helicopter or ak lights ruled out. Informed Section 40 that other than searchlight display, and no military activity over Inverness we have no explanation but would raise this report for submission.

Address for correspondence

Section 40

Inverness

Section 40

Called to SEATCC & ARCC - No known aerial activity in vicinity of Inverness at times of report.

Selected TAFs and METARs

INVERNESS/DALCROSS

272250 METAR EGPE 272250Z AUTO 02004KT 9999 -SHRA BKN028/// 12/11 Q1007=

No TAFs or RAFORs available for EGPE

[Product Help](#)

Updated Sat Jun 28 00:22:15 UTC+0100 2008

Crown Copyright © 2008

Selected TAFs and METARs

KINLOSS

272250 METAR EGQK 272250Z 08003KT 9999 FEW020 OVC040 12/12 Q1006 BLU=
272300 TAF EGQK 272259Z 280018 VRB05KT 9999 FEW025 BKN045 TEMPO 0012 7000 -RA
SCT020 PROB30 TEMPO 0010 4500 RADZ SCT007 BKN015=
272000 TAF EGQK 271945Z 272115 VRB05KT 9999 FEW025 BKN045 TEMPO 2115 7000 -RA
SCT022 PROB30 TEMPO 2309 4500 FEW007 BKN015=


[Product Help](#)

Updated Sat Jun 28 00:32:43 UTC+0100 2008

Crown Copyright © 2008



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Inverness
Inverness-shire
Highlands
Scotland

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2
Date:
10 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 27 June 2008, the details of which you passed to RAF Kinloss. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a UFO sighting for 27 June 2008 and that was also from Inverness, Invernesshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting).	27 JUNE 2345L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise).	FIRSTLY ONE ORANGE LIGHT THEN BRIGHT LIGHT INTO SKY (SCATTER LIGHT DISPLAY AT INVERNESS) THEN FIVE BRIGHT ORANGE STEADY LIGHTS MOVING VERY SLOWLY OVERHEAD ABOVE CLOUD LEVEL - WHEN CLOUDS CAME IN AND COVERED LIGHTS. OBSERVERS SMELLED RIBBON TYPE BURNING SMELL
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving).	TURING INTO GLEN URMANT ROAD AB6 AT INVERNESS. THEN BY CALDONIAN CANAL IN INVERNESS
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder).	NAKED EYE
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing).	ORANGE LIGHTS COMING FROM EAST TO WEST OVERHEAD.
6.	Approximate distance.	HIGH UP BUT CLOSE TOGETHER IN FORMATION AT ALL TIME NO NOISE
7.	Movement and speed. (Side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow).	CONSTANT SLOW MOVING TILL LIGHTS WERE COVERED BY A CLOUD
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear).	DRY WET AND CLEAR AT FIRST. THEN CLOUD & RAIN CAME IN

DAS
- 8 JUL 2300
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc).	Just RAF Kinross
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 [REDACTED] INVERNESS Section 40 [REDACTED]
11.	Other witnesses.	Section 40 [REDACTED]
12.	Remarks.	/
13.	Date and time of receipt.	28 1020 L JUN 08

Return the completed form by fax or post to:

Directorate of Airstaff (Lower Airspace) Operations & Policy 1
Room 6/73
Metropole Building
Northumberland Avenue
WC2N 5BP

Tel: 020 7218 2140

Fax: Section 40
[REDACTED]



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Cobham
Surrey

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2

Date:
8 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 28 June 2008, the details of which were passed to us on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.' Sorry if I have misspelt your surname, the answerphone can be hard to understand.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received two other reports of UFO sightings for the 28 June 2008. One was from Bedfordshire and the other was from Kent. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

A large black rectangular redaction box covers the signature area. The text "Section 40" is written in red at the top left of the box, with a thin red line extending from the end of the text towards the center of the box.

7

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	28 June 2008 (Time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Something interesting was seen!
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen over Cobham, Surrey.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	A friend of Section 40 left a message on DAS answerphone for her.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Cobham Surrey Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 wants us to get in touch with her by telephone, so she can give us details of her sighting.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	8 July 2008 14.30L



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Marlborough
Swindon
Wiltshire **Section 40**

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

8 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 4 July 2008, the details of which you passed to the RAF. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 4 July 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING REPORT

LYN 34, 08

Elicit as many details from the caller as possible using the list of questions then dispose of as Low Flying/Noise Complaints

1	Date & Time of Sighting	04 0400 LOCAL
	Duration of Sighting	WATCHED FOR 40 MINS
2	Description of Object: No of objects	ONE
	size	UNKNOWN - JUST A LIGHT
	shape	TRIANGLE Δ
	colour	WHITE LIGHT
	brightness	VERY
	did it make any noise?	NONE
3	Exact position of observer: (In/Outdoor)	INDOORS
	(stationary/moving)	STATIONARY
4	How observed:	NAKED EYE
	Naked eye/binos/camera/other optical device	
5	In what direction was the object first seen	NW of LOCATION
	A landmark may be of better use than a rough bearing	NORTH BARBURY CASTLE
6	Angle of sighting from horizon (estimated hts are unreliable)	EST 1000 FT
7	Distance	NORTH BARBURY CASTLE
	Reference to a landmark helps	
8	Movement of object	SHARP, SHARP MOVEMENTS
	(changes in 5,6 and 7 above may be of more use than estimates of course and speed)	
9	Weather conditions during observation	COMPLETELY CLEAR
	haze or mist, moving cloud	SEE STARS.
10	Nearby objects Telephone/power lines/floodlights	ADAM WLOUGHTON
	Water features (river/lake/dam/reservoir/marsh)	A/FIELD + CHISELDON
	High buildings / chimneys / steeples / masts	SOUTH of SWINDON
	Other: Airfields/factories/pits/power stations	
	Other plant using floodlighting	
11	To whom reported apart from us	NONE SO
12	Informant's Name	Section 40
13	Informant's Address:	Section 40
	(NEAR Section 40)	
	MARLBOROUGH SWINDON WILTS	
	Section 40 Section 40	
	Informant's Tel No.	Section 40
14	Witness Names/Tels	
15	Any other details volunteered	TOLD FAMILY + SOME FRIENDS
16	Date/time of this report	04 1725 LOCAL JULY
17	Remarks about informer by person taking call:	YOUNG MAN, ADMITS TO BEING UNABLE TO SLEEP, BUT AFTER 40 MINS WENT BACK TO BED

Annotate a LYN no. and fax the form directly to MOD:

Tel GPTN Section 40

FAX GPTN Section 40

PSTN

Annotate the form "FAXED" with the date.



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Widnes
Cheshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2

Date:
8 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in June 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings from Turnhill in Shrewsbury, Shropshire for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

7

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO was seen.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	In his car, parked in a parking bay.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen over the Village-Turnhill in Shrewsbury.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Widnes Cheshire Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	A few other people must have seen it.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that it was very strange. Said something about there being marks on the grass around the area. That this was a definite sighting.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	4 July 2008 16.40L



From **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Littlemore
Oxfordshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

3 July 2008

Dear Sir,

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 2 July 2008, the details of which you passed to Thames Valley Police. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.' I apologise for above, but Thames Valley Police did not inform me of you surname.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 2 July 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40



7

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	2 July 2008 21.30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Sixteen square shaped objects appeared as silhouettes.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The objects were seen over Littlemore in Oxfordshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	They were travelling across the sky from South to North.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The sighting was reported to Thames Valley Police who then left a message on the DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Mr (name not given) Section 40 Littlemore Oxfordshire Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	3 July 2008 10.45L



Section 40

From: Section 40
Sent: 03 July 2008 10:52
To: Section 40
Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of a 'UFO' that you saw back in August 1999. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I have logged the information and your e.mail will be filed.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1
5th Floor, Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

E.mail – das-ufo-office@mod.uk

03/07/2008

Section 40

From: Section 40
Sent: 02 July 2008 09:29
To: DAS-UFO-Office
Subject: UFO sighting in 1999 - Thought you may want it on record

Good Morning,

I've just been informed by Section 40 that I am meant to report UFO sightings to you guys. I'm not too sure if you need any more reports especially as it was back in August 1999. I have only told family and friends but I've been researching UFO's for the last two weeks and I have seen some quite exciting pieces of evidence.

The only reason I am bothering to see if you were interested is my friend and I who experienced the sighting saw the object very clearly as it was only about 2 to 3 hundred feet above us/slightly off to the side.

Please let me know if you want to know the details. If not then no worries. Hope it's all going well.

Kind Regards

Section 40

This email and any attachments to it are confidential and intended solely for the individual or organisation to whom they are addressed.

You must not copy or retransmit this e-mail or its attachments in whole or in part to anyone else without our permission. The views expressed in them are those of the individual author and do not necessarily represent the views of this Company.

Whilst we would never knowingly transmit anything containing a virus we cannot guarantee that this e-mail is virus-free and you should take all steps that you can to protect your systems against viruses.

Archant Life Limited, is registered in England under Company Registration Number 3518941, and the Registered Office is Prospect House, Rouen Road, Norwich NR1 1RE.



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Newtownards
Northern Ireland

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

2 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen in 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of ‘UFO/flying saucer’ matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for Newtownards in Northern Ireland so far for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

7

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen over Newtownards in Northern Ireland.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Newtownards Northern Ireland Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that he would like us to call him back and explain to him what it was that he could have seen. It was not a shooting star or an aircraft made by man, whether it be civilian or military.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	2 July 2008 12.20L

No reply,
as full
address not
given.



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	An orange ball.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outside of his house.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The orange ball hovered over the witnesses car in Locks Heath, Southampton, Hampshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite close.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The object was moving across the sky.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 No house no. or street name given. Section 40 Southampton Hampshire Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that the object hovered above his car and then shot off and disappeared.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	2 July 2008 11.00L



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Bedford
Bedfordshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

1 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 28 June 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD by telephone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of ‘UFO/flying saucer’ matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a ‘UFO’ sighting for 28 June 2008, and that was from Kent. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Section 40

From: Section 40
Sent: 01 July 2008 08:37
To: Section 40
Subject: FW: UFO Sighting
Attachments: Section 40 WG CDR .vcf

From: Section 40 WG CDR
Sent: 30 June 2008 10:53
To: Section 40
Subject: UFO Sighting

Section 40 received a call on Saturday night reporting 'lights in the sky'. Details are below.

Date/time of report: Sat 28 Jun at 23:40
Name: Section 40
Tel: Section 40
Address: Section 40 Shortstown, Beds. Section 40

Detail: Section 40 was driving on the A507 on Saturday evening (I assume after dark) between Arledsey and Bedford when he saw lights in the sky. He stopped his car, along with a number of other people to see what was happening. There were a total of 7 strange lights moving from north to south. He took photos with his mobile phone which he will send if you want them. He sounded quite rational and also said he had reported another strange occurrence last week.

Hope this is useful.

Section 40

Wg Cdr
DCDSO4
Section 40
DCMC 136



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Yeovil
Somerset

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

1 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 29 June 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 29 June 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

L

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	29 June 2008 (Time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	An orange ball.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen over Yeovilton in Somerset.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The sighting was reported to Somerset Police first and then the Yeovilton Air Base, who said to leave a message for the MOD on the answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Yeovil Somerset Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that she thought she should report the incident.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	30 June 2008 16.00L



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Solihull
West Midlands

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2

Date:
1 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in June 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Shirley, West Midlands in June 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

7

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	Date and time not given.
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outside of his house.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye and he took pictures with his mobile phone.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object shot past his house in a Southerly direction over Shirley, Solihull in the West Midlands.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite near.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The UFO was moving quite fast across the sky. It then stopped and started to hover in a South Easterly direction.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Solihull West Midlands Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that the object was hovering for quite some time. That it was infringing on British airspace and that something should be done about it.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	30 June 2008 15.30L



From **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

New Malden
Surrey

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

1 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in June 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for New Malden in Surrey so far for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

L

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	The object is there every night. Time not given.
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Just said a UFO.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Is stationary up in the sky.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 New Malden Surrey Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 has been ringing the answerphone saying that she really needs to talk to us, as she is frightened to go out. That this object is up in the sky every night.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	30 June 2008 15.00L



Section 40

From: Section 40

Sent: 30 June 2008 15:44

To: Section 40

Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on the 28 June 2008, the details and the photograph of which you passed to the DAS-UFO-office e.mail address. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 28 June 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1
5th Floor, Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

E.mail – das-ufo-office@mod.uk

30/06/2008

Section 40 [REDACTED]

From: Section 40 [REDACTED]
Sent: 29 June 2008 14:33
To: DAS-UFO-Office
Cc: Section 40 [REDACTED]
Subject: object in the sky
Attachments: aliens in fade kent 220.jpg

hello their i have recently taken a photo on the 28th of june when you open it you will have to zoom in at the middle bottom right tou wont miss it can you let me know if it is use full for anything
yours truly

Section 40 [REDACTED]

2008/06/23



Section 40

From: Section 40

Sent: 30 June 2008 15:44

To: Section 40

Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object' seen on 20 June 2008, the details of which you passed to the Westmorland Gazette. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a UFO sighting for the 20 June 2008, and that was from Doncaster in South Yorkshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1
5th Floor, Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

E.mail – das-ufo-office@mod.uk

30/06/2008

Section 40

From: Section 40
 Sent: 26 June 2008 13:38
 To: DAS-UFO-Office
 Subject: FW: Strange lights over KENDAL, 30 MINUTE SIGHTING

To Whom It May Concern,

Below is a copy of an email I sent to a local newspaper concerning two sightings of orange lights over Kendal, Cumbria. If you'd like any questions answered don't hesitate to email.

Regards

Section 40

20/6/08. 23.00.

From: Section 40
 To: Section 40
 Subject: RE: Strange lights over KENDAL, 30 MINUTE SIGHTING
 Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2008 08:53:36 +0200

Section 40

The Sun may be interested in this. A telephone number and email address for their newsdesk is at the end of the article here:

<http://www.thesun.co.uk/sol/homepage/news/article1336870.ece>

You can report it to the MoD via their das-ufo-office@mod.uk email address.

Best wishes,

Section 40

=====
 Message Received: Jun 25 2008, 07:01 PM
 From: Section 40
 To: Section 40
 Cc:
 Subject: Strange lights over KENDAL, 30 MINUTE SIGHTING

Dear Section 40

Below is the original copy of an email I sent to the Westmorland Gazette over sightings of orange objects over Kendal, Cumbria, on Friday and Monday nights. When I saw the picture of three lights in a cross formation in the Sun today, I felt compelled to email you about my sightings (see formation second from bottom)

I hope you read this. Sightings in this area have picked up at an enormous rate in the last few weeks, all documented in the local Gazette.

I also would like to email the MoD about the sightings, but can't find a contact address!

Kind Regards

Section 40

26/06/2008

From Section 40
 To: newsdesk@kendal.newsquest.co.uk
 Subject: FAC Section 40 RE: UFOs
 Date: Tue, 24 Jun 2008 14:42:12 +0100

Dear Section 40



My name is Section 40 I am 19 and in Kendal. I have seen these orange lights in the sky on two occasions (see below) since Friday, and I can say with absolute certainty that these are not satellites, space debris or the ISS.

The first sighting was looking west of Kendal at around 11pm on Friday night. Quite low was a bright red/orange light which I assumed was a light of a communications antenna but then realised there aren't any in that area so I stopped and watched it. I then thought it must be a red flare, but a few seconds later a bright, phosphorus white light fell from the orange one, and drifted slowly towards the ground before disappearing. As soon as the white light disappeared the red one slowly faded out. The red light was completely static throughout the 10-15 second sighting.

This sighting was not enough to make me want to email the Gazette, as I thought there must be a logical explanation for it. However last night my girlfriend came running into the house, in Kendal, and told me and my brother to come outside (at around 11.15pm). She said she had just seen an orange star move from it's place in the sky, dart across in a straight line and then do a NINETY DEGREES TURN before stopping. How many satellites can do this? We stared at it, and realised there were 3 other dim orange lights, and they were constantly moving in a formation, as if they were trying to find the correct position. They came very close together, then very far apart, the one on the left went from the top of the triangle (the shape they had formed) to the bottom within 2 or 3 seconds. The lights finally settled in an arrow shape, ie:

```

      o
     o
    o
  
```

We watched for half an hour, but they weren't moving very much after that, but we noticed a new dimmer light above and to the right of the left light.

```

      o
     o
    o
     o
  
```

This new dimmer one moved alot, and the bottom right one started moving more too.

```

      o
     o
    o
     o
  
```

Our necks were hurting and we'd been staring for half an hour so we went outside, as they didn't seem to be moving any more. I went back out 5 minutes later to check up and they'd formed like this

o o
o o
 o

This is not an exaggeration, and I wouldn't have e-mailed if I didn't see this for such a long period last night. I hope with all the reports you are getting you can let me know if anyone else saw either of the sightings, so I don't think I'm going insane! The fact that my girlfriend saw a light do a right-angle turn proves **Section 40** can't dismiss these sightings as space shuttles or satellites.


Regards

Section 40

Get Started!

Messenger's gone Mobile! Get it now!

Get fish-slapping on Messenger! Play Now



Section 40

From: Section 40

Sent: 26 June 2008 14:29

To: Section 40

Subject: TERN HILL SIGHTING 7 JUNE 2008

The Sun has reported a UFO sighting over Ternhill Barracks in Shropshire at about 11pm on 7 June 2008. It appears that a number of soldiers saw lights in the sky and made a video which they have passed to the newspaper. They have not made a formal written report on the matter, although they did inform their superiors.

The MoD in London became involved when the press office was contacted by the newspaper on 24th June. On 25th June I requested a copy of the video from Media Ops at HQ 5 Div where it had been passed by the unit concerned. It shows a number of lights in the sky. As reported in the paper, the lights change colour and appear square, but this looks like the pixels showing up as the photographer zoomed in. They do not appear to be moving very quickly.

The BBC has reported that at the same time as the alleged incident, a local hotel was letting off Chinese lanterns and, apparently, the hotel manager thinks the whole UFO story is "highly hilarious".

I do not intend to investigate any further as I think we have our answer and, as I suspect there might be copyright issues surrounding the video, do not intend to retain a copy on our files.

I will inform the soldiers of my decision through their 2ic.

Section 40

DAS-FOI

Section 40

26/06/2008

Hotel behind UFO 'fleet' mystery

A hotel celebration appears to be behind the mystery of "UFO sightings" in Shropshire.

Cpl Mark Proctor told the Sun newspaper he saw 13 craft "zig-zagging" over Tern Hill barracks, near Market Drayton. Other people also reported sightings.

The Ministry of Defence has confirmed it was handed a soldier's mobile phone footage from 7 June.

However, the Tern Hill Hall Hotel believes Chinese lanterns it released for a wedding reception are to blame.

Cpl Proctor reported seeing a "fleet" of objects at about 2300 BST.

"They were like rotating cubes with multiple colours," he said.

But hotel manager Stuart Willett believes he holds the key to the mystery.

"On the 7th of June we had a wedding where she had sky lanterns - you can light the lanterns and they float off into the air like hot air balloons," he said.

He said it was the third or fourth time the hotel had used the lanterns, which he said "burn up until they disintegrate".

"We've had inquiries from residents before, but it's the first time it's been classed as a UFO," he said.

Mr Willett said he thought it was "highly hilarious", but added the hotel would give some advance warning before the lanterns were used again.



Story from BBC NEWS:
<http://news.bbc.co.uk/go/pr/fr/-/1/hi/uk/7474160.stm>

Published: 2008/06/25 17:40:45 GMT


© BBC MMVIII

Army

DIERS' UFO SIGHTING ATEST TO BAFFLE UK

EN soldier told
it how he saw
N UFOs spin-
the skies above
y barracks.

Proctor Mark
three squad-
ttered the ob-
jects on night
patrol. d them on his mobile
I reported the close
to Army top brass.
of Defence experts
yng his report and
riday - after ordering
his pals NOT to say
use about the incident.
ing, at Tern Hill bar-
r. Market Drayton,
me two hours before
police officers report-
counter with a huge
les away near Cardiff.
e hours before a coun-
d they were followed
nge light. In the sky
As near Shrewsbury,
y further mid-dog-
ence emerged as dog-
rmed Lewis, 29, told
lmed seven UFOs at
e Worcs. last Friday.
r. 38, of the 1st
Irish Regiment,
he saw the amaz-
just after 11pm on
June 7.
: "I was on duty in
room when the other
room began shouting. I




"SAUCER FLEET TO MOTHERSHIP...ABANDON EARTH LANDING...FUEL TOO EXPENSIVE ON THIS PLANET!"

An MOD spokesman said: "We went out to see what the com- motion was about and could see thirteen craft in the skies. "They were zig-zagging, but I filmed two before they disap- peared. They were like rotating cubes with multiple colours. "I made a full report to my commanding officers and gave them my footage. The other lads were as amazed by it as I was." A 19-year-old private on pa- trol said he also saw the "fleet". The soldier, who asked not to be named, said: "I saw these things flying in the sky and I told my guard commander. "There were about 30 lights passing over for a few minutes, very high but not at a great speed. They were bright red. Another soldier saw them too."

Get UFO footage? Call our news- desk on 020 7782 4104 or email exclusive@the-sun.co.uk



Sighting... Bonnie, left, and unexplained lights in sky
BROMSGROVE INCIDENT
Bonnie Lewis is walking her dog last Friday night when these objects appear in the sky overhead.
The 29-year-old, who is out with boyfriend Colin Mcdiarmid, films the bizarre cylinders on her mobile phone. Bonnie, of Tamworth, Staffs, says: "I ignored them, thinking they were satellites. "But when I looked closer it was clear they weren't. I'm not into sci-fi, but they weren't from this planet."



Tern Hill SAT, 11pm


Shrewsbury SUN, 1am

Bromsgrove FRI, 10.00pm

Cardiff SUN, 12.40am

Mystery... UFO seen on soldier Mark Proctor's video

THE MOD INCIDENT
SOLDIERS on night patrol are stunned to see UFOs hovering in formation above their Army base.
One squadron, Cpl Mark Proctor, captures this eerie image on his phone and sends it to MOD chiefs.
Two more colleagues also spot the startling "fleet" above Shropshire's Tern Hill barracks. Just hours later, three South Wales helicopter police officers watch in awe as a huge object rises from the ground to meet them as they land near Cardiff.
The crew log the incident with their superiors.
Less than an hour later, two cabaret performers report a strange light in the sky near Shrewsbury.



Expert: Official inquiry is vital

By JOHN COLES and ANDREW PARKER

UFO expert Nick Pope studied The Sun's amazing footage last night and said: "Now there HAS to be an official inquiry."

Nick, who spent three years as official UFO investigator at the Ministry of Defence, said after viewing film shot by soldiers in Shropshire: "The MOD and Civil Aviation Authority need to look at this. "They need to interview witnesses, analyse the film and check both civil and military radar to see if any unusual activity was logged.

"An important factor is that this was shot from a military base - and the military tend to make good witnesses. "This object seems to be pulsing through virtually the entire spectrum and that's unusual. It's not something an ordinary aircraft or satellite would do, and it's too slow to be a meteor or a shooting star."

Threat

Nick said the mystery craft filmed in Bromsgrove appeared to be hundreds of metres wide, and could pose a serious threat to national security.
He said: "The first thing you see is four lights in a symmetrical shape - that's quite a coincidence if they are separate objects. "If they were balloons or Chinese lanterns, it's highly unlikely they'd form a shape like that. One option is that we're actually seeing lights attached to the underside of a vast craft."

Nick, who spent 21 years working in Whitehall, added: "After the near-miss with the police helicopter, the time has come for a proper inquiry - not least because of flight safety issues."
Do you believe in extra-terrestrial life? Call 0906 654 2204 for YES or 0906 654 2205 for NO. Vote closes midnight tonight.
Calls cost 10p from BT land-lines. Costs vary from other networks and mobiles. If you call after the closing date your vote won't be counted but you will be charged.

Watch the astonishing footage at thesun.co.uk/news

WALKER'S

By ALASTAIR TAYLOR

A HITTER fanatic who plotted to bomb mosques was caught by chance after a stash of 39,000 child porn images was found on his computer. It was revealed yesterday.

Martyn Gilleard, 31, had four nail bombs hidden in his flat and planned to use them in a "holy cause". He also had bullets and was preparing to build a machine gun.

His cache of weapons was discovered when cops probing his links to child porn swooped on his flat in Goole, East Yorks. They found images of children being assaulted.

But during the search a hobby discovered the nail bombs under a bed. He also had knives, bayonets, axes and machetes.

A jury at Leeds Crown Court yesterday convicted Gilleard of engaging in the preparation of a terrorist act and collecting information for terrorist purposes. He had earlier admitted possessing child porn.

Gilleard will be sentenced today. Detective Chief Superintendent John Parkinson, of the Counter Terrorist Unit, said: "He is extremely dangerous. Thankfully he is now behind bars."



Masked... Nazir Gilleard

she is good-looking. One said: "I love her. Do you want to marry me?" Knox, boyfriend Raffaele Sollecito, 24, and a pal are suspected of killing UK MP Kate Mereth in Italy.

Walker's bull hell

A WALKER was in intensive care last night after he was trampled FOUR times by a rampaging bull. David Upton, 67, was attacked while out with partner Patricia Bennett.

Neither had a mobile, so he had to limp in agony for two hours before Patricia could raise the alarm near Cheddar, Staffs.

Nurse Patricia, 57, said: "He was in a state of medical shock. I thought he was going to die."

99.99% reliable broadband from £13.49 a month.

BT Business Total Broadband offers unbeaten reliability. Switch now and get 6 months half price rental, equivalent to paying from just £13.49 a month for 6 months. Plus if you ever need any help, our freephone team of business broadband experts are on hand 24/7. They can:

- Deal with a whole range of issues from connection to email to web hosting
 - Sort 9/10 faults in under 4 hours
 - Answer 95% of calls in less than 30 seconds
- What's more when you switch you'll be up and running with your new package in just 30 minutes.

To find out more call: **0800 345 7940** or visit bt.com/businessstrength



BT Communications Support

Bringing it all together. BT

All prices exclude VAT which is payable at 17.5%. Offer extended to 18 July 2008. Only available to existing DSL customers switching via Modem to BT Business Total Broadband (Options 2, 3 or Network) from another DSL provider. Minimum term 24 months. Standard monthly rental charges apply and discount (either Option 2 - £81.00, Option 3 - £121.50, Network - £175.50 or Network Premium - £270.00) will be applied via bill credit against second or subsequent bill at the end of 90 days provided opt-out not exercised. BT's standard terms of service and conditions apply. See www.broadband.bt.com/businessstrength for details. TM & © Warner Bros. Entertainment Inc. 2008

ATTENTION

SOLDIERS' UFO SIGHTING IS LATEST TO BAFFLE UK


EXCLUSIVE by ANDREW PARKER and JOHN COLES

A SHAKEN soldier told last night how he saw THIRTEEN UFOs spinning in the skies above his military barracks.

Corporal Mark Proctor was among three squaddies who spotted the objects while out on night patrol. He filmed them on his mobile phone and reported the close encounter to Army top brass. Ministry of Defence experts were studying his report and video yesterday - after ordering Mark and his pals NOT to say anything else about the incident.

The sighting, at Tern Hill barracks near Market Drayton, Shropshire, came two hours before an encounter with a huge helicopter police officers reported 80 miles away near Cardiff. And three hours before a couple claimed they were followed by a strange light in the sky along the A5 near Shrewsbury.

Yesterday further mind-boggling evidence emerged as dog walker Bonnie Lewis, 29, told how she filmed seven UFOs at Bromsgrove, Worcs, last Friday. Cpl Proctor, 38, of the 1st Battalion Irish Regiment, recalled how he saw the amazing "craft" just after 11pm on Saturday, June 7.



'SAUCER FLEET TO MOTHERSHIP...ABANDON EARTH LANDING...FUEL TOO EXPENSIVE ON THIS PLANET'

went out to see what the commotion was about and could see thirteen craft in the skies. "They were zig-zagging, but I filmed two before they disappeared. They were like rotating cubes with multiple colours. "I made a full report to my commanding officers and gave them my footage. The other lads were as amazed by it as I was."

A 19-year-old private on patrol said he also saw the "fleet". The soldier, who asked not to be named, said: "I saw these things flying in the sky and I told my guard commander. "There were about 30 lights passing over for a few minutes, very high but not at a great speed. They were bright red. Another soldier saw them too."

CAVADAY...back home ti Naomi? NAOMI CAVADAY walked on the Court for the time in her life and felt at home. But the Brit sent packing 7-4 by defending champion Venus Williams. No Cavaday, from will head back anonymously the she took William a first-set tie-a after leading 3-1. The wild-card admitted: "I had idea why I was relaxed. I was vious beforehand as soon as the opened, I walk and was just h "I felt I belonged there playing Venus felt comfortable gave it my best Williams, 25. "She was ext She took chances and with pose in a home crowd.

Hot Rod a swee ANDY RODDICK not a fashion - but even wear Roger Federer's cardigan if tennis some Roddick don't care fashion. B attention of tennis for reason is ge "If that wearing Mr But I don't it would be look for me two-time W finalist, 25 he is one after dism Eduardo 7-5 6-4 7-4 Roddick 17 aces - a 140mph Court One.

TODAY Pages 58, Mail 4-16; Inghamshire by 5 min. P W 8 3 8 3 8 3 8 3 8 3 8 3 8 3 8 3 8 3 SOUTH ESSEX Chessford, Essex Mys

Section 40

From: Section 40
 Sent: 01 July 2008 15:33
 To: 1RIRISH-Trg-Offr Section 40
 Subject: UFO SIGHTING 7 JUNE 2008 TERN HILL BARRACKS

Dear Capt. Section 40

The Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorized foreign military activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

Given the reports of the nearby hotel setting off Chinese Lanterns around the time of the sighting at Tern Hill and the above policy, I do not intend to investigate the matter any further.

I should however, be grateful if you would pass this decision on to the soldier that reported the sighting. I assume he has retained a copy of the video. If not, I shall return my copy to him, otherwise, it will be destroyed.

Section 40

DAS-FOI
 05-H Section 40
 MoD main Building
 Whitehall
 London
 SW1A 2HB

NOTE: SUBJECT TO FSI
 REQUEST - RETAIN
 VIDEOS.

Section 40

3 JUL 08

Section 40

From: Section 40

Sent: 26 June 2008 14:29

To: Section 40

Subject: TERN HILL SIGHTING 7 JUNE 2008

The Sun has reported a UFO sighting over Ternhill Barracks in Shropshire at about 11pm on 7 June 2008. It appears that a number of soldiers saw lights in the sky and made a video which they have passed to the newspaper. They have not made a formal written report on the matter, although they did inform their superiors.

The MoD in London became involved when the press office was contacted by the newspaper on 24th June. On 25th June I requested a copy of the video from Media Ops at HQ 5 Div where it had been passed by the unit concerned. It shows a number of lights in the sky. As reported in the paper, the lights change colour and appear square, but this looks like the pixels showing up as the photographer zoomed in. They do not appear to be moving very quickly.

The BBC has reported that at the same time as the alleged incident, a local hotel was letting off Chinese lanterns and, apparently, the hotel manager thinks the whole UFO story is "highly hilarious".

I do not intend to investigate any further as I think we have our answer and, as I suspect there might be copyright issues surrounding the video, do not intend to retain a copy on our files.

I will inform the soldiers of my decision through their 2ic.

Section 40



DAS-FOI

Section 40



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Doncaster
South Yorkshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

26 June 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 20 June 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.' Sorry if I have misspelt your surname, the answerphone can be hard to understand.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 20 June 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

A large black rectangular redaction box covers the signature area. The text "Section 40" is written in red at the top left of this box, with a red line extending from the end of the text towards the right edge of the box.

7

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	20 June 2008 19.30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A metallic, capsule shaped object. There was no sound.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was moving from South East to North West over Doncaster in South Yorkshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The object was going quite fast and didn't slow down at any point.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Doncaster South Yorkshire Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that he had read the article in the Sun newspaper and had decided to inform us of his sighting. That we can contact him if we need to.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	26 June 2008 11.15L



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Welton
Lincoln
Lincolnshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

26 June 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 23 June 2008, the details of which you passed to RAF Waddington. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 23 June 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

FAX → DAS LOWER AIRSPACE
UFO

Unit Ref:

2 25/06/08

Section 40 Section 40

MILITARY AIRCRAFT A PUBLIC COMPLAINT FORM

5 days.

SECTION 1: ACTION AUTHORITIES

Action	Info	Unit	Action	Info	Unit	Action	Info	Unit
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unit	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	G9 Pol Sec	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	TG DA Sec
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MOD Sec(AS)2b	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	D/C+L (F+S) Claims 3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	JHC
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	N9 (Sec2)S02	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	RAF HQ STC	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	RCRO

FOR SEC(AS) USE ONLY.

OS Grid Ref: Serial No: File Ref:

LFA: Previous Complaint: No. of Complaints to date:

NS: Serial No: File Ref:

SECTION 2: DETAILS OF COMPLAINANT

Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr/Other: Mr

Surname: Section 40

Forename(s): Section 40

Address: Section 40

Town/City: WELTON LINCOLN

County: Section 40

Postcode: Section 40

Telephone No: Section 40 Section 40

SECTION 4: DETAILS OF INCIDENT

Date: Mon 23 Jun 08

Time (Local): 17:50 approx

How many aircraft involved: ONE

Category of aircraft

Jet Prop Helo Light a/c Transport

Possible type (eg 'Chinook')
UFO?

Markings

Grey Camouflaged Red/White Black/Yellow

Other (Specify)
white / bright

Height

Low Medium High

Estimation in Feet: few thousand

Direction: 10-15k.

Inside MATZ?

Yes No

If Yes which MATZ?

SECTION 3: LOCATION OF INCIDENT

Address at Section 2,

or:

Address: In Lincoln City nr Police Stn

Town/City:

County:

Postcode:

PPQ = 50

NOW TURN OVER

SECTION 5: PARTICULARS OF COMPLAINT (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary).

Mbs Rd 12 (Clockface 10-11)
 high, some distance away. Never moved. Parachute/
 diamond / orb shaped. No wings, defn just a
 shape 10-15 min
 The lady was walking along a street in central Lincoln and
 for 10-15 min observed an object (as above)




SECTION 6: CLAIMS (DO NOT PROMPT)

Has the incident given rise to any injury to persons and/or livestock or damage to property which will result in a claim for compensation being submitted to the Ministry of Defence?
 Yes No
 If Yes, give details and copy form to D/C+L (F+S) Claims 3.

SECTION 7: UNITS RESPONSE

	Yes	No
Return Telephone call	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Written response sent (attach copy)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Low flying leaflet sent	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Visit arranged	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Possibly requires attention of HQ P&SS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (Specify)		

SECTION 8: DETAILS OF INDIVIDUAL RECEIVING COMPLAINT

Date Complaint received:
 Time: (Local) 1400
 Signature: 
 Name: 
 Rank: RGT
 Unit: RAF WOODHINGTON
 Tel. No: 

IMPORTANT REMINDER

ALL ACTION TAKEN MUST BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAI J5002 OF 1999.
THE COMPLETED FORM IS TO BE FORWARDED TO THE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITIES AS LISTED AT SECTION 1 WITHIN 5 DAYS.



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Westbury
Shrewsbury
Shropshire **Section 40**

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

26 June 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 7 June 2008, the details of which you passed to Tern Hill. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received two other reports of UFO sightings for the 7 June 2008. One was from South Norwood in London and the other from Heathrow in Middlesex. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

7

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	7 June 2008 23.00L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen over Westbury, Shrewsbury in Shropshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The sighting was reported to Tern Hill who then in turn gave out the DAS answerphone number.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 [Redacted] Westbury Shrewsbury Shropshire Section 40 [Redacted]
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	25 June 2008 14.45L



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Carlisle
Cumbria

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2

Date:
24 June 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen in 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of ‘UFO/flying saucer’ matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.


With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for Carlisle in Cumbria so far for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO was seen, and Section 40 says that he is living with an alien.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Both indoors and outdoors of his house.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Above his house in Carlisle, Cumbria. 
6.	Approximate distance.	Very close.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 [REDACTED] Carlisle Cumbria Section 40 [REDACTED]
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that he definitely has an alien amongst his mists. That things move around the house. Can we please get back to him and explain what is happening.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	24 June 2008 11.20L



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Keynsham
Bristol
Avon and Somerset

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

24 June 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in February 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Keynsham, Bristol in February 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Section 40

From: feedback@www.mod.uk
Sent: 20 June 2008 20:59
To: webmaster@dgics.mod.uk
Subject: Low Flying Complaints

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Friday, June 20, 2008 at 20:59:28

txtfirstname: Section 40
txtlastname:
txtaddress1:
txtaddress2:
txttowncity: keynsham
txtstatecountry: bristol
txtzipcodepostcode: Section 40
txttelephone: Section 40
txtcountry: United Kingdom
txtemailAddress: Section 40
txtincident: February 2008
txtrequest: Hello,

I don't really know where to start.

This is not related to low flying aircraft, but I didn't know who else to contact. Briefly, I was coming home from my Son's house in February, it was a Sunday, about 6 pm, and it was dark.

My 2 Daughter's and Granddaughter aged 5 were in the car. When we had turned left into Charlton Road coming from Whitchurch, through the lanes, we got as far as almost to the house on the left, when I saw a round object in the sky, it was hovering, in one spot, there was a light running through the centre which appeared to be going around the object. I slowed right down, I was too afraid to stop, I said to my Daughter 26, who was sat in the front, "can you see that or I am seeing things", she said "yes I can", we were both very quiet, shocked I suppose, the other two were asleep in the back. It was there for about 5 minutes, as we passed it we decided to turn around and take a photo with our phones, when we turned round, it had gone.

I telephoned my Daughter-in-law when we got in, she asked if we were okay, I told her we were, I think, she only half believed me, I can understand that, if someone told me that story, I wouldn't believe them at all, I'd probably laugh. But, we are both reasonably intelligent women, not prone to fantasy. I thought I should contact you, after reading the Police in South Wales had an incident with something unknown, and it was reported to you.

If you need anything further I would be happy to help.

Best Regards

Section 40



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Stanley
Wakefield
West Yorkshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

24 June 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in June 2008, the details of which you passed to West Yorkshire Police. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Wakefield in West Yorkshire, so far for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

7

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date not given). 22 June 08. 01.00L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Five, bright, oval shaped orange lights. Three of the lights were in a group, and the other two were also in a group, travelling behind.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outside, but didn't say where.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The lights were flying over Stanley, Wakefield in West Yorkshire from East to West.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The report was reported to West Yorkshire Police, who passed the report to Section 40 Section 40 ATC Swanwick, who then in turn left a message on the DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Stanley Wakefield West Yorkshire Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	24 June 2008 11.00L

Report of Unidentified Flying Object	
Date	22 JUN 08.
Time	1721 0100.
Sighting Duration	NOT KNOWN
Description of Object	5 BRIGHT ^{OVAL SHAPE} ORANGE LIGHTS. 2 SEPERATE GROUPS 2 LIGHTS IN FRONT 2 BEHIND NO SOUND
Exact Position of Observer	Section 40 [REDACTED] Section 40 STANLEY WAKEFIELD
How Object was Observed	NAKED EYE.
Direction in which Object was First Seen	EAST TRAVELLING WEST.
Angular Elevation of Object	NOT KNOWN - HIGH!
Distance of Object from Observer	NOT KNOW
Movement of Object	E → W
Meteorological Conditions During Observations	CLEAR, LITTLE CLOUD, SLIGHT BREEZE.
Nearby Objects	STREET LIGHTS.
To Whom Reported	WEST YORKSHIRE POLICE
Name of Informant	Section 40 [REDACTED]
Address of Informant	Section 40 [REDACTED] STANLEY WAKEFIELD Section 40 [REDACTED]
Background Information on Informant that may be Volunteered	NO SPECIALIST BACKGROUND. Section 40 [REDACTED] LOOKS AT SKY ALOT BUT NO EXPERT.
Other Witnesses	Section 40 [REDACTED]


POLICE INCIDENT NO. 1411-22068.

DAS
 27 JUN 2008
 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Date of Receipt of Report	22 JUN 08	
Time of Receipt of Report	2205	
	Actions	Time
	Complete report of UFO with as many details as possible and send to FOII	
	Telephone details immediately and leave a message on 0207 218 2140.	



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Swadlincote
Derbyshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

24 June 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Linton, Derbyshire in 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40


7

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Triangular lights on strange objects.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outside of her house.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye Section 40 has one and a half hours footage of the triangular lights on video.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The objects was seen going over Swadlincote in Derbyshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite near to the witnesses' house.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Section 40 informed the Mirror newspaper and they told her that she should ring the DAS answerphone number and leave a message, and inform us of her sighting.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 [Redacted] Swadlincote Derbyshire Section 40 [Redacted]
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that she has written two UFO books on what she has witnessed over the years. After reading the Sun newspaper dated 23 June 2008, she thought that it was time that she reported her sighting.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	24 June 2008 10.45L


No reply,
as full address
not given.



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	17 June 2008 (Time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen over the New Forest, Hampshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	<p>Section 40 [REDACTED]</p> <p>Full address not given</p> <p>Fordingbridge Hampshire</p> <p>Section 40 [REDACTED]</p>
11.	Other witnesses.	<p>Section 40 [REDACTED] husband and father in law.</p>
12.	Remarks.	<p>Section 40 [REDACTED] said that they were all freaked out by what they saw and that they would like us to call them back and explain to them, what it could have been. Or could we put their mind to rest and say that it was some military craft or a helicopter!</p>
13.	Date and time of receipt.	<p>18 June 2008 16.15L</p>



Section 40

From: Section 40
Sent: 18 June 2008 15:26
To: Section 40
Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 7 June 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a UFO sighting for the 7 June 2008, and that was from South Norwood in London. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1
5th Floor, Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

E.mail – das-ufo-office@mod.uk

18/06/2008

Post 6075

SDD

From: InfoServices
 Sent: 09 June 2008 09:35
 To: SDD
 Subject: FW: Objects leaving Heathrow Area.
 Attachments: P1010138.JPG; P1010139.JPG

DAS
 16 JUN 2008
 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

SAFETY REGULATION GROUP
 RECEIVED
 09 JUN 2008
 SAFETY DATA DEPARTMENT

From: Section 40
 Sent: 07 June 2008 12:29
 To: InfoServices
 Subject: Objects leaving Heathrow Area.

Dear Sir/Madam,

25 of these amber object were seen leaving the Heathrow area at 12.30pm 07/06/08 traveling west at 45 degrees 200-300 knots. My girlfriend and I saw these from my 10th floor apartment in Battersea Section 40 The blue lights on the lower left are the Putney Bridge Building.

Please let me know you have received this.

Section 40
 Operations Director

Urban Investments
 T: Section 40
 F: Section 40
 E: Section 40


No virus found in this outgoing message.
 Checked by AVG.
 Version: 7.5.524 / Virus Database: 269.24.6/1488 - Release Date: 6/6/2008 5:48 PM

Saf Regulation Group

with compliments

Please find enclosed a report
of a UFO sighting.

Kind regards




Civil Aviation Authority


Aviation House Gatwick Airport South West Sussex RH6 0YR

Telephone **Section 40** Fax **Section 40**

www.srg.caa.co.uk









From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

South Norwood
London

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2

Date:
17 June 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 7 June 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 7 June 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Section 40

From: Section 40
Sent: 16 June 2008 15:18
To: Section 40
Subject: FW: FOI written request 16-06-2008-151317-006 Section 40

Categories: FOI Information Request

Section 40

Can you answer this as a normal sighting report. It does not need to be a FOI response.

Section 40

-----Original Message-----

From: Section 40
Sent: 16 June 2008 15:14
To: Section 40
Subject: FW: FOI written request 16-06-2008-151317-006 Section 40

Can you take?

Section 40

Info-AccessOps5

Section 40

Main Building

-----Original Message-----

From: feedback@www.mod.uk [mailto:feedback@www.mod.uk]
Sent: 13 June 2008 18:43
To: Info-Access-Office
Subject: FOI written request 16-06-2008-151317-006 Section 40

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Friday, June 13, 2008 at 18:42:46

txttitle: Section 40
txtfirstname: Section 40
txtlastname:
txtaddress1:
txtaddress2: South Norwood
txttowncity: London
txtstatecountry: London
txtzipcodepostcode: Section 40
txtcountry: United Kingdom
txtemailAddress: Section 40


txttelephone: Section 40

Linforequest: Not sure whether this is the correct email address to use, but my partner and I saw a very strange object in the sky over Croydon on 07/06/08 at just before 11pm. We continued to watch it for several minutes before it flew off. It did not behave like a conventional aircraft. I have photos which look disturbingly like objects described in your "UFO" reports. We are intrigued to know what it might be.

Regards

Section 40

No reply as full
address not given.



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	5 June 2008 00.55L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	An object was flying around in circles at aeroplane level and sounded like an industrial train. There was a strange flashing on the object.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was moving in circles over Tonbridge in Kent.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The witness just said that the object was moving in circles.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The sighting was reported to Kent Police who then in turn left a message on DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 No house number or street name given. Tonbridge Kent Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 at first, thought that it was a helicopter up in the sky. Then realised that it was something totally different.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	16 June 2008 14.30L



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Bath
Somerset

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2

Date:
16 June 2008

Dear Sir

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in June 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.' I apologise for above, but you did not leave your name on our answerphone.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Bath in Somerset so far for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely


Section 40



L

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	really A circular, slightly off centre UFO flew Eastwards across they sky.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO flew Eastwards over Radstock, Bath in Somerset.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	A man, but didn't give his name. Section 40  Bath Somerset Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	The witness said that the UFO flew above the plough star constellation. He wondered if there had been any military activity in the area. If the MOD were testing any military craft out.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	16 June 2008 11.20L



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

St Leonards-on-Sea
East Sussex

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2

Date:
16 June 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen in 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of ‘UFO/flying saucer’ matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can’t confirm whether we received any other UFO sighting reports on the day you saw the UFO, as you did not leave a date of your sighting on our answerphone. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

L

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Over St Leonards-on-Sea in East Sussex.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 St Leonards-on-Sea East Sussex Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	16 June 2008 11.10L



From **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

London

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

16 June 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen in June 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of ‘UFO/flying saucer’ matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can’t confirm whether we received any other ‘UFO’ sighting reports on the date you witnessed the UFO, as you did not pass this office a date on which you had the sighting. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

L

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A metallic blue UFO. It was quite big.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outside, didn't say where.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye, and then the witness used binoculars.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was flying over London.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The UFO was climbing in the sky.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 London Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that it looked like there was a Police helicopter up there with the UFO too.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	16 June 2008 10.55L




From **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40



Chester
Cheshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

16 June 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 15 June 2008, the details of which you passed to us by phone message. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of ‘UFO/flying saucer’ matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 15 June 2008 from anywhere else in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40



L

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	15 June 2008 22.45L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A formation of eighteen lights. They appeared like a 'flock of helicopters' with lights on. They gave off no sound, but there was a slight rattle.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outdoors, in the back garden.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The lights were flying over Chester in Cheshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The message came through on a private work number in the DAS office.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 [Redacted] Chester Cheshire Section 40 [Redacted]
11.	Other witnesses.	Her husband.
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	16 June 2008 09.47L



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Nr Chester
Cheshire **Section 40**

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2
Date:
8 July 2008

Dear **Section 40**

Thank you for your letter dated 1 July 2008 and also the photographs of your UFO sighting.

You will know our policy on the subject of UFOs due to my previous correspondence.

With regards to your sighting report, the internet is the best place to check, as there are many organisations that would be interested in your sighting. They may be able to help you.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

F.A.O. Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff

Your ref D/DAS/64/2

1/7/08

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter of 16th June. I am writing again with regard to these unusual sightings observed by my husband and myself on 15.6.2008 at 10.45 pm in the Chester area. I am now able to enclose two photographs taken by my husband. I appreciate that the M.O.D. does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to you. Like yourselves, we are completely open minded and believe that rational explanations can be found. With this in mind, given the photographs enclosed, there must be some experienced member of staff who could identify the objects in the sky. If not, yourselves, could you provide an address of an organisation who could help.

Incidentally, further sightings were observed in the Liverpool area on 23.6.08 which were filmed by mobile phone and reported on B.B.C. Regional News at 6.30 pm on 24.6.08. My husband and I, both sceptics, felt vindicated on viewing similar sightings that we had observed on 15.6.08

I wish to make a comment regarding my initial telephone call to the M.O.D. The gentleman who answered the phone took my message, then passed

let over to his colleague with the comment "FEMALE"
as if that placed me in a category for unreliable
testimony!

Given that I am 64 years old, have NEVER
seen such a sighting in all my life, I felt
rather slighted. Incidentally, as I explained in my
phone call, I felt that the Sightings could well
be explained as natural phenomena and I was not
an alarmist.

I would also like to comment on the fact that
I phoned the M.C.D. on 16.6.08 and your letter
was dated the same day ^{16.6.08} 16.6.08. confirming that
you had received no other reports of sightings.
I thought that it was remarkable that this
concrete and most definite confirmation of
lack of evidence was made so quickly - less than
24 hours.


I look forward to hearing from you

Sincerely

Section 40

and

Section 40



Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE


5th Floor

Zone H

Main Building

WRITWELL

London SW1A 2HB




Royal Mail
01 07 08
Chester & North Wales
Caer a Gog Cymru
06:24 pm
33607757


Please
PRINT the
Postcode



No reply,
as address
not given.




REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A big alien craft landed on the top of the witnesses' house. 
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The craft was flying over Bristol in Avon.
6.	Approximate distance.	Very close to the witnesses' house and then on the house.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Name and address not given. Bristol Avon
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	The person (man), mentioned something about aliens too, but I could not understand the answerphone message at times. He said the craft stayed on his house for a while and then flew off.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	11 June 2008 10.45L



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Bedford
Bedfordshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

11 June 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 10 June 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 10 June 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

7

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	10 June 2008 11 11.30L 23
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A big orange, saucer shaped floating thing was in the sky. There were four flashing lights on it. It was like a light show. They then suddenly stopped flashing.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen flying over Shortstown, Bedford in Bedfordshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	The object seemed to be quite near.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The orange thing was floating in the sky.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Bedford Bedfordshire Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	His wife.
12.	Remarks.	They watched this light show/spectacle for over two hours. The orange thing just floated around. The lights were flashing, but then just suddenly stopped and the whole thing just disappeared.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	11 June 2008 11.05L

Section 40

From: Section 40

Sent: 01 July 2008 14:48

To: Section 40

Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

Dear Section 40

I am writing regarding your UFO sighting dated 10 June 2008. You left a message on our answerphone on the 11 June 2008, for which I wrote to you on that same date.

You will already know our policy on UFOs, due to my previous correspondence.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 10 June 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1
5th Floor, Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

E.mail – das-ufo-office@mod.uk

01/07/2008

Section 40

From: Section 40
Sent: 26 June 2008 08:59
To: DAS-UFO-Office
Subject: UFO caught on camera over Bedford 10/6/08
Attachments: HPIM0437.JPG; HPIM0439.JPG

Hello, 

My wife and I have recently witnessed six UFO's on the night of Tuesday 10th 11:30pm, until approximately 3:00am on Wednesday 11th June.

One of the bright lights may be explained away as being a planetary body or satellite. Four of them where small white dots that flashed red, suspended in a kite shaped formation but spaced a considerable distance apart. What was strange about them was they were silent and hovered unstably in the air. (Almost as though they were jangling at the end of some string.) The fifth was the most amazing of all! It was immense compared to the white & red dots, hovered low in the air & was pale orange in colour. (Almost transparent.) This UFO was shaped like a bowler hat with an upside-down saucer at the bottom & only appeared at the end of my recording!

NB - two of the four flashing lights flew over my house and out of sight, however the remaining two merged with the large "Mother Ship." then descended out of view.

Unfortunately my video camera was only able to capture the large orange. "Mother Ship" & the original bright light! The flashing lights where too small for my camera to detect..


I would value your insight into this & whether it was the same craft identified in other recent sightings?

Kind Regards.

Section 40

Managing Consultant

T: Section 40 | W: Section 40
 Section 40 | Hertford | Herts | Section 40

 Right-click here to


This message, together with any attachments, is confidential and is intended only for the use of the addressee(s) and may contain information, which is covered by legal, professional or other privilege. If you are not the intended recipient please destroy the email and contact us.

Message and attachments have been scanned with Sophos Anti-Virus.

Visit our new website - www.jabgroup.co.uk.

JAB Associates Limited, Registered in England, No:2461762. Registered address 4 Weald way, Caterham, Surrey, CR3 6EG

26/06/2008





From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Caernarfon
Gwynedd
North Wales

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

17 June 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 6 June 2008, the details of which you passed to North Wales Police. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.


With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 6 June 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Report of Unidentified Flying Object	
Date	06.06.08
Time	2259 hrs local
Sighting Duration	minutes
Description of Object	2 x orange glowing lights fast moving. One went to the east to BALA and one climbed vertically.
Exact Position of Observer	Section 40 [redacted] Section 40 [redacted] (postcode) LLANUWCHLLYN Gwynedd
How Object was Observed	naked eye
Direction in which Object was First Seen	west to east
Angular Elevation of Object	unknown
Distance of Object from Observer	unknown
Movement of Object	unknown
Meteorological Conditions During Observations	unknown / night
Nearby Objects	
To Whom Reported	NORTH WALES POLICE, THEN WATCH SUPERVISOR Section 40 [redacted] AT SWANWICK AIR TRAFFIC
Name of Informant	Section 40 [redacted] CONTACT NUMBER: Section 40 [redacted]
Address of Informant	Section 40 [redacted] Section 40 [redacted] CAERNARFON Gwynedd, WALES
Background Information on Informant that may be Volunteered	J106723 - POLICE INCIDENT NUMBER
Other Witnesses	GROUP PRESENT



Date of Receipt of Report	06. 06. 08	
Time of Receipt of Report	23.30hrs local	
	Actions	Time
	Complete report of UFO with as many details as possible and send to FOI1	23.35hrs
	Telephone details immediately and leave a message on 0207 218 2140.	23.50 hrs.

NATS Contact :

Section 40

WATCH SUPERVISOR
LONDON AREA CONTROL CENTRE
SOPWITH WAY
SWANWICK
HAMPSHIRE
SO31 7AY

e-mail.

Section 40



From **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Rhydwyn
Caergybi
Isle of Anglesey

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

10 June 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 19 May 2008, the details of which you passed to CRO Wales and RAF Valley. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of ‘UFO/flying saucer’ matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a UFO sighting for the 19 May 2008, and that was from Delabole in Cornwall. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

DAS
10 JUN 2008
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

A. Date, Time & Duration of Sighting	19 MAY 2008 02-30-03.00am 30mins
B. Description of Object (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness)	Round Bright white
C. Exact Position of Observer Location, indoor/outdoor, stationary/moving	Outdoor looking up into the sky
D. How Observed (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, still or movie)	Naked Eye
E. Direction in which Object first seen (A landmark may be more useful than a badly estimated bearing)	Moving from S W - N E
F. Angle of Sight (Estimated heights are unreliable)	N/K
G. Distance (By reference to a known landmark)	N/K
H. Movements (Changes in E, F & G may be of more use than estimates of course and speed)	Moving slowly and quietly
I. Met Conditions during Observations (Moving clouds, haze, mist etc)	Still quiet night
J. Nearby Objects (Telephone lines, high Voltage lines, reservoir, lake or dam, swamp or marsh, river, high buildings, tall chimneys, steeples, spires, TV or radio masts, airfields, generating plant, factories, pits or other sites with floodlights or night lighting)	N/K

K. To whom reported (Police, military, press etc)	CRO WALES & RAF VALLEY
L. Name & Address of Informant	Section 40 Section 40 Rhydwyn Coedgwyni side of Anglesey.
M. Background of Informant that may be volunteered	Married to 2 RAF officers 84yrs old. Knows what she saw
N. Other Witnesses	NONE
O. Date, Time of Receipt	29 MAY 2008
P. Any Unusual Meteorological Conditions	
Q. <u>Remarks</u>	Judy as she likes to be known as watched this Comet for about 30mins She has good hearing but there was no engine noise.

She is convinced it was a Comet and it moved slowly from the West side of Anglesey towards Liverpool.

Section 40

30/5/08

RAF COMMUNITY
RELATIONS OFFICER (WALES)
NEW DOLANOG HOUSE
SEVERN ROAD
WELSHPOOL
POWYS
SY21 7DA



with compliments/gyda chyfarchion

TO WHOEVER DEALS WITH UFO REPORTS

Section 40

RAF Community Relations Officer (Wales)


Swyddog Cymunedol Llu Awyr Brenhinol (Cymru)

Ministry of Defence
Room 2-04, New Dolanog House
Severn Road, Welshpool
Powys SY21 7DA

Tel: Section 40

Fax

Email: cro.wales@stc.raf.mod.uk



Y Weinyddiaeth Amddiffyn
Ystafell 2-04, Ty Dolanog Newydd
Ffordd Hafren Y Trallwng
Powys SY21 7DA

Ffôn: Section 40

Ffacs

E-bost: cro.wales.asst@stc.raf.mod.uk



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Stourbridge
West Midlands

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2

Date:
10 June 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 9 June 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 9 June 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Section 40

From: feedback@www.mod.uk
Sent: 09 June 2008 22:22
To: webmaster@dgics.mod.uk
Subject: Low Flying Complaints

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Monday, June 9, 2008 at 22:22:08

txtfirstname: Section 40
txtlastname:
txtaddress1:
txtaddress2:
txttowncity: stourbridge
txtstatecountry: west midlands
txtzipcodepostcode: Section 40
txttelephone: Section 40
txtcountry: UK
txtemailAddress: Section 40
txtincident: 01.30/02.30 9th June 2008

txtrequest: This is no necessarily 'military low flying', but i could not find the appropriate area of the site to send this to otherwise.

At either 1.30am or 2.30am i am not certain, i heard a dim humming that sounded similar to a plane but softer and constant.

Even though the hum stopped I decided to through the blind slats of my bedroom window and saw what can looks like a flying saucer (i would send a drawing if required). There were no lights, so it was dimly outlined against the biege colour of the Stourbridge night time. It had a sort of flat top and a rounded bottom. From my position it was framed between the roof of a neighbouring house and a large oak tree; using the perspective i can only guess it was the width of a combined semi detached house, given its distance. I can also place its approximate position on a map. I only glimpsed it as i rapidly closed the blinds and lay completely still for the rest of the night not getting much sleep.

First signs of dawn emerged at around 4.00am, and sometime between then and 5.00am i heard a low humming again but from further away. This time i saw a faint round outline (like a speck on the eye) gliding in a straight line across the sky, i looked away and back to it and it was still gliding so i guess not a speck in my eye.

I can only say from my point of view it is a UFO... i could not identify it. But if it is a new form of military aircraft please do not fly it over my house again. If you want a map position and a drawing (if only a basic outline) then please say so. I am not saying it is an alien spacecraft, but it did look and sound like a stereotypical flying saucer, and hence i shall not be sleeping well tonight.

Please give me information where possible, especially if any one else has experienced something similar recently.

Section 40



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Ilkley
West Yorkshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

17 June 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 8 June 2008, the details of which you passed to West Yorkshire Police. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 8 June 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Report of Unidentified Flying Object	
Date	08 JUNE 2008
Time	00.25 (LOCAL)
Sighting Duration	10 MINS
Description of Object	5 ORANGE OBJECTS + 1 ORANGE 'BLOB'
Exact Position of Observer	MIDDLETON ILKLEY LS29
How Object was Observed	FILMED
Direction in which Object was First Seen	CIRCLING BETWEEN BURLEY AND ILKLEY
Angular Elevation of Object	
Distance of Object from Observer	
Movement of Object	
Meteorological Conditions During Observations	CLEAR
Nearby Objects	
To Whom Reported	W. YORKS POLICE (SRADFORD)
Name of Informant	Section 40 [REDACTED]
Address of Informant	Section 40 [REDACTED] ILKLEY, West Yorkshire - Section 40 [REDACTED]
Background Information on Informant that may be Volunteered	
Other Witnesses	Section 40 [REDACTED] ILKLEY

DAS
 11 JUN 2008
 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Date of Receipt of Report	0815 (LOCAL) 8 JUNE	
Time of Receipt of Report	(EVLIN WOOD, LACC)	
	Actions	Time
	Complete report of UFO with as many details as possible and send to FOII	✓
	Telephone details immediately and leave a message on 0207 218 2140.	✓

W. YORKS POLICE BRADFORD

Section 40 [REDACTED]

Section 40 [REDACTED]

INCIDENT NO. 77 / 8th
 α 2317 / 7th

No reply,
as full address
not given.



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	2 June 2008 20.30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A sighting of something.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outside, in the back garden.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was flying over Stroud in Gloucestershire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Stroud Gloucestershire Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	His wife briefly saw the UFO too.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said he would like us to call him back and explain what the sighting could have been.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	5 June 2008 11.20L



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Worthing
West Sussex

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2

Date:
3 June 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen in June 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.' Sorry if I have misspelt your surname, the answerphone can be hard to understand.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for Worthing in East Sussex so far for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40



7

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	No date given. 1 June 08. 22.40L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	At first, there was one light like a star, and then another moving light appeared.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Section 40 was out in his garden having a cigarette.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	One of the lights were flying right over his house, in Worthing, West Sussex. The other light was in the distance. Both were moving in a South Easterly direction towards Brighton.
6.	Approximate distance.	One light was near and the other was further away.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The lights were moving across the sky, both at a medium to slow speed, and at a steady pace.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Section 40 informed Air Traffic Control of his sighting, who then in turn told him that he should contact the police. The police then gave him the DAS answerphone number.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 [REDACTED] Worthing West Sussex Section 40 [REDACTED]
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that after the other light joined the first one, they then moved off in the same direction and then just disappeared. They were definitely not aircraft and sometimes looked like a weird vision. Section 40 said we can't be the only ones alive in the Solar System. That he believes in UFOs, 120 percent. He felt he needed to tell us about his sighting, in case we had to do something about it!!
13.	Date and time of receipt.	3 June 2008 11.10L

(e.mail came after
answeryphone
message) ✓

Section 40

From: Section 40
Sent: 05 June 2008 09:47
To: Section 40
Subject: FW: FOI written request PS 04-06-2008-105219-004 Section 40

Categories: FOI Information Request

Section 40reat this as a normal UFO sighting. Check if you have already received and answered anything from this man.

Section 40

-----Original Message-----

From: Section 40
Sent: 04 June 2008 10:53
To: Section 40
Subject: FW: FOI written request PS 04-06-2008-105219-004 Section 40

Section 40

Can I interest you with this FOI request?

Regards

Section 40

FOI Helpdesk

Section 40

-----Original Message-----

From: feedback@www.mod.uk [mailto:feedback@www.mod.uk]
Sent: 04 June 2008 00:16
To: Info-Access-Office
Subject: FOI written request PS 04-06-2008-105219-004 Section 40

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Wednesday, June 4, 2008 at 00:16:29

txttitle: Section 40

txtfirstname: Section 40

txtlastname: Section 40

txtoccupation: electro plater metal finisher

txtorganisation: Section 40

txtaddress1: Section 40

txtaddress2: Worthing

txttowncity: Worthing

txtstatecountry: west sussex

txtzipcodepostcode: Section 40

txtcountry: UK

txtemailAddress: Section 40

txttelephone: Section 40

txtinforequest: hello

~~16/2008~~

30 approx

I was in my garden smoking a fag,,, Im not allowed to smoke in the house,,, and looking at the sky,,, there was some cloud cover just like a high fog. Although this was not dense. I could see 2 stars, whilst i was looking at the 2 stars that i could see, a white with a tint of orange light came into view over the top of my neighbours house it was traveling in a westerly but slightly south direction at a medium speed, but faster than the jets that come over my house from gatwick airport. This star ! light ! UFO ! travelled in a perfectly straight line at the same altitude as the cloud cover maybe a little higher, there was no sound at all completly silent. I watched it for as long as i could untill it dissapeared into the the cloud, and i could no longer see it. I was then thinking to myself what the heck was that !! I had no explanation. I then was looking at the 2 stars that I was orionally looking at... but at that moment the star that was the lowest (or the furthes!

t)away from me, suddenly started moving in the same direction allthough it was not taking the same path it was heading to the same point as the 1st (light, star, UFO,) this one was travelling at a much slower speed. I also watched this one untill i could see it no more at the same point as the first, This one also made no sound and was at about the same altitude if not maybe a little higher than the 1st.... Then I didnt know what to do !!! I know what i saw,, so i tried to contact ATC gatwick they gave me a phone number that is now not in use,,,, I then phoned sussex police and told them what i had seen thay gave me a reference number :1907/1/6/2008 they then gave me the MOD UFO sighting number whitch then took me to a awnsering machine and i left a message. I had had no reply I know that 4 at least 4 other people saw these stars UFO's lights in worthing because they called the local radio station (splash FM).....

I would love to hear some feedback from this.

I know that we are not alone, the universe is to varst to even think that we are... If I can be a help or a use to you please do not hesitate to contact me,,, trust me i will not be alarmed... look forward to hearing from you.

many thanks

Section 40



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Leeds
West Yorkshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

3 June 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 31 May 2008, the details of which you passed to the MOD by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a UFO sighting for the 31 May 2008, and that was from Stranraer, Dumfries and Galloway, in Scotland. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Section 40

From: feedback@www.mod.uk
Sent: 01 June 2008 19:43
To: webmaster@dgics.mod.uk
Subject: Low Flying Complaints

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Sunday, June 1, 2008 at 19:42:44

txtfirstname: Section 40
txtlastname:
txtaddress1:
txtaddress2: Roundhay
txttowncity: Leeds
txtstatecountry: West Yorkshire
txtzipcodepostcode: Section 40
txttelephone: Section 40
txtcountry: UK
txtemailAddress: Section 40
txtincident: Saturday 31st May about 10 pm

txtrequest: Hi
this isn't a complaint but a UFO report/query. i couldn't find a better category. My wife and i were looking South from our garden in North Leeds when we saw 2 bright lights moving fast from West to East across the sky. they were at typical jet height at medium altitude and at typical jet speed. at first we thought 'plane' but immediately were confused as the lights were:
~ constant and not flashing, as planes usually have ~ one above the other at a distant suggesting 2 craft. they remained at exactly the same distant apart and the same speed.
~ they went behind trees that were in the foreground and did not emerge as they should have done if following the same flight path.
~ we didn't hear any jet engine sounds until after the lights had disappeared (in a different direction and not behind the craft as you would expect when watching a plane moving faster than the speed of sound)

could this have been 2 military aircraft?
do planes sometimes have constant lights only?
has anyone else reported this?

Many Thanks

we are both education professionals (consultant and teacher)



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Stranraer
Dumfries and Galloway

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

3 June 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 31 May 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a UFO sighting for the 31 May 2008, and that was from Leeds in West Yorkshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

7

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	31 May 2008 23.09L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A bright orange object.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outside in the garden.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye and a picture was taken with a digital camera.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen heading in a South Easterly direction over Stranraer in Dumfries and Galloway.
6.	Approximate distance.	The object was quite near.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The object was moving across the sky.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Section 40 informed RAF Prestwick, who then in turn gave him the DAS answerphone number.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Stranraer Dumfries and Galloway Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Section 40 wife.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that he would like us to get back to him about his sighting.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	3 June 2008 11.00L


No reply as
part of address
not clear on
answerphone.



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(No date or time given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A really unusual sighting that started with four bright orange lights floating across the sky. Then a black blob appeared.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The objects were seen moving over Woodford Green in Essex.
6.	Approximate distance.	Quite far in the distance.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The objects were floating across the sky.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Couldn't make out the no. and street name of the address on the answerphone. Woodford Green Essex Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Section 40 partner and daughter.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that once the black blob appeared, that they all started to panic, as these were obviously unusual sightings and the experience freaked them out. That she wants us to get back to her to possibly explain what they all saw.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	3 June 2008 10.45L



Section 40

From: Section 40

Sent: 28 May 2008 15:47

To: Section 40

Subject: Release-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object' that you saw in the Spring of 1985, the details of which you e.mailed to the MOD. Your sighting report has been passed to this office, as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, UFO sighting reports for the year 1985 are available for public viewing at The National Archives.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1
5th Floor, Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

E.mail – das-ufo-office@mod.uk

28/05/2008

Section 40

From: Section 40
Sent: 28 May 2008 08:35
To: Section 40
Subject: FW: foi email request

Normal business

-----Original Message-----

From: Section 40
Sent: 27 May 2008 13:15
To: Section 40
Subject: FW: foi email request

Section 40

Can I interest you with this request?

I have not logged this as FOI.

Regards

Section 40

FOI Helpdesk

Section 40

-----Original Message-----

From: feedback@www.mod.uk [mailto:feedback@www.mod.uk]
Sent: 27 May 2008 13:32
To: Info-Access-Office
Subject: foi email request

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Tuesday, May 27, 2008 at 13:32:13

txttitle: Section 40

txtfirstname: Section 40

txtlastname: Section 40

txtoccupation: Community Psychiatric Nurse

txtorganisation: NHS


txtemailAddress: Section 40

txttelephone: Section 40

txtinforequest: I am completely sure I saw an object that I have not seen before or since. It was 1985 approx. It was an early spring morning when the light was not quite daylight. I was getting ready to go to work in the hospital for a 7am start. I opened the back door into the garden and was immediately aware of an unusually bright light in the sky just above where I knew there was an electric pylon. The light was stationary. I went in to get my binoculars and could see very clearly a cigar shaped object with individual bright lights all round the edge of it. I watched this for 15mins. then without a sound or noticeable movement it disappeared. I felt very excited at the time but nobody



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Delabole
Cornwall

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

28 May 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 19 May 2008, the details of which you reported to ATC Swanwick. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a UFO sighting for the 19 May 2008, and that was from Cornwall. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.


Yours sincerely

Section 40

UFO REPORTS

Includes addressed envelopes to:-


FOI1
5TH FLOOR, ZONE H
MAIN BUILDING
WHITEHALL
LONDON
SW1A 2HB



Unidentified Flying Object (UFO) Reports

Report of Unidentified Flying Object	
Date	19-MAY-08
Time	2245L
Sighting Duration	APPROX 2 MIN
Description of Object	V. BRIGHT ORANGE LIGHT 3 BRIGHTER THAN BRIGHTEST STAR AND 3X SIZE AND 3 OBJECTS (3 OBJECTS SIGHTED TOGETHER)
Exact Position of Observer	DELAGOLE CORNWALL
How Object was Observed	NAKED EYE + VIDEO
Direction in which Object was First Seen	FROM SW TRAVELLING OUT OVER SEA TOWARDS TINTAGEL
Angular Elevation of Object	FROM HORIZON TO HORIZON
Distance of Object from Observer	EST 15 000 FT AT LEAST
Movement of Object	SLOW. SPEED IF CEJSNA 152, NO NOISE ACCELERATING OVER SEA
Meteorological Conditions During Observations	CLEAR, MOONLIT
Nearby Objects	MOON
To Whom Reported	W/SUP SWANWICK
Name of Informant	Section 40
Address of Informant	Section 40 Section 40 DELAGOLE Section 40
Background Information on Informant that may be Volunteered	PPL
Other Witnesses	WIFE + DAUGHTER *

* THEY SAW 4 LAST WEEK, SAME DESCRIPTION DIFFERENT DIRECTION OF TRAVEL.



Date of Receipt of Report	19-MAY-08	
Time of Receipt of Report	2323L 19-MAY	
	Actions	Time
	Complete report of UFO with as many details as possible and send to FOI1	2334 L
	Telephone details immediately and leave a message on 0207 218 2140.	✓

Completed by

Section 40 [REDACTED]

WATCH SUPERVISOR, GREEN WATCH
 LONDON ACC
 SWANWICK
 SOPWITH WAM
 SOUTHAMPTON
 SO31 7AY

tel Section 40 [REDACTED] (work)

Section 40 [REDACTED] (work)

Section 40 [REDACTED] (home)

Section 40 [REDACTED] (home)



From **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40
[Redacted]

Newcastle upon Tyne
Northumberland

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

28 May 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen in May 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of ‘UFO/flying saucer’ matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for Heaton, Newcastle upon Tyne so far in 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40
[Redacted]

7

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	Date not given. 01.45L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	A UFO.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen over Heaton, Newcastle upon Tyne in Northumberland.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Newcastle upon Tyne Northumberland Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 would like us to get back to him.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	28 May 2008 11.00L

No reply, as
no address
given.



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Just said it was a UFO sighting.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 No address given. Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 wants us to get back to her.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	28 May 2008 10.50L

No reply
as address
not given.



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	19 May 2008 22.45L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Three objects were seen travelling from South East to North West.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The objects were seen over Delabole in Cornwall.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	The sighting was reported to Section 40 Section 40 Watch Supervisor at Swanick, who then in turn left a message on the DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Anon Delabole Cornwall
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	20 May 2008 11.05L

no reply,
as fully,
address not
given.



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	One circle of bright light. It was as high up as a telegraph pole. It was seen for thirty minutes.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Was travelling in a car.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object was seen over Newtownhamilton, Newry, in Co Down, Northern Ireland.
6.	Approximate distance.	The object was moving towards and away from the vehicle.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The object was moving in all different directions.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	There was broken cloud.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Newry County Down Northern Ireland
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Not given.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	20 May 2008 10.45L



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

West Kensington
London

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2

Date:
15 May 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 11 May 2008 the details of which you e.mailed to the MOD. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft light or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 11 May 2008 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Section 40

From: feedback@www.mod.uk
Sent: 14 May 2008 10:26
To: webmaster@dgics.mod.uk
Subject: Low Flying Complaints

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Wednesday, May 14, 2008 at 10:26:21

txtfirstname: Section 40
txtlastname:
txtaddress1:
txtaddress2: west kensington
txttowncity: london
txtstatecountry: london
txtzipcodepostcode: Section 40
txttelephone: Section 40
txtcountry: United Kingdom
txtemailAddress: Section 40
txtincident: 2pm ish 11 may 08

txtrequest: Hello

This is not the right place to report this...but can not find the right place report this...please read, Sunday 11 may last, at West wycombe boot sale, in the behind the boot sale there was a long black object very high and still, there is a sort flying club nearby, as I saw a lot of small aircraft coming up from the ground one was as big as the black thing in the sky ...but I can not tell the distance whi it was at, it was there for about 5 minutes and I pointed it out to a few people, t saw it to, it turned on its end like a ship under torpedo attack and dissappered. am 60 years old and of sound min

Hope this is helpful.



From: **Section 40**
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) **Section 40**

Section 40

Rugby
Warwickshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

D/DAS/64/2

Date:

13 May 2008

Dear **Section 40**

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen in May 2008, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

The MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of ‘UFO/flying saucer’ matters to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life forms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date, the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings from Rugby in Warwickshire so far for 2008. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Sorry I could not be any help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

L

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	(Date and time not given).
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Just said a UFO.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With the naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The UFO was seen over Rugby in Warwickshire.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Rugby Warwickshire Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said he would like someone to ring him back, so that he could explain about his UFO sighting.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	12 May 2008 16.00L