From: Choike Col Daniel J
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2011 12:12 PM
To: Geoffroy SES Raymond F
Cc: Durham CIV Jan M; Wright LtCol Troy V; Pagan CIV Radomet R; Galaviz CW05 Abel; Carr Capt Wayne A; Kauzlarich Col Mark M; Oltman Col Robert G; Greer LtCol Christopher M; Neill CAPT Mary; Rothlein CIV Julius; Streng CIV Peter J
Subject: RE: CG MCCDC LETTER re QUANTICO CONFINEMENT FACILITY
Signed By: (b) (6) [redacted]

Sir,
The POC for Quantico is CO Security Bn, Col Bob Oltman.

We'll make contact with Jan Durham to get our input in the letter that you have described below. A big piece is the medical/mental health support, but there are also other issues that will come up as we progress through the mental health evaluation, Art 32 proceedings, and actual trial. Team Quantico's input will be in 4 areas; the brig - detainee operations, legal, medical, and public affairs. As an aside to all of this, I hope that we will be able to discuss/state concerns on our security challenges and access issues, as a result of our recent demonstration at the front gate. The ante (actions) by those that oppose Manning being confined here has been up'd.

Up to this point, my biggest concern is the lack of information and the amount of lead time that we get for a specific request that affects the way we handle/detain Manning. Additionally, the information requirements from OSD, Sec of the Army, SecNav, HQMC, defense legal team, media...etc. has been like being at the wrong end of the barrel of a shot gun and it has become troublesome determining where the request for info has originated and the purpose or intent of the inquiry. We had one this morning from OSD, through SecNav, through DMCS, through MCCDC, to me via email...when I informed DMCS that CL visited the brig and it would be good to talk to Mr Hogue, and quickly came back with routing the query through his office. I would hope soon that we can surface sand capture what we are actually doing down here, and get a proper endorsement from HHQ experts and then get some top cover from HQMC, and the Army too for that matter, on queries that are rooted from defense tactics, negative blogs and main stream media. I request a protocol or a request chain to be established, so we do not speak out of turn or get too emotional, as this case continues to demand our utmost attention and efforts.

Appreciate all your support, sir.

VR, Dan

Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC
Commander, Marine Corps Base
3250 Catlin Avenue
Quantico, VA 22134-5001

Office: (b) (6) [redacted]
Cell: (b) (6) [redacted]
Fax: (b) (6) [redacted]
DSN: (b) (6) [redacted]
Email: d(b) (6) [redacted]

-----Original Message-----
From: Geoffroy SES Raymond F
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2011 10:10

APPELLATE EXHIBIT 418L
PAGE ___ OF ___ PAGES

To: Choike Col Daniel J
Cc: Durham CIV Jan M; Wright LtCol Troy V; Pagan CIV Radomet R; Galaviz CW05 Abel; Carr Capt Wayne A
Subject: FW: CG MCCDC LETTER re QUANTICO CONFINEMENT FACILITY

Dan -- you may not have all of the below emails. We put together a lot of information regarding the status of the Manning confinement, what we're doing with the OPT, etc. I need two things from you. First a MCB rep on the OPT (Jules is the legal rep) to address your equities. Goal of the OPT is to develop the appropriate future policy and requirements for Quantico to serve as the PCF in the NCR. This would include changes to the DoDDir and possible MOUs with the other Services to define their contributions, responsibilities, etc.

Second, we are drafting a letter for ACMC to send to the VCSA on what we need from the Army to sustain the Manning confinement. See below. Please have your team put together the appropriate remarks for inclusion in the letter. Please work directly with Jan Durham on this since Troy is on leave. Jan will oversee our efforts to get a letter drafted in a couple of days. He will share the draft with you prior to sending forward.

Thanks,

Raymond F Geoffroy
Assistant Deputy Commandant
Plans, Policies and Operations (Security)
Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps
3000 Marine Corps Pentagon (4A324)
Washington, DC 20350-3000
(b) (6) [redacted] DSN (b) [redacted] - XXXX

-----Original Message-----
From: Williams LtGen Willie J
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2011 7:44 AM
To: Tryon LtGen Richard T; Dunford Gen Joseph F
Cc: Flynn LtGen George J; Geoffroy SES Raymond F; Hogue SES Robert D; Dalke Col Scott A; Sherrod CIV Jimmy L
Subject: RE: CG MCCDC LETTER re QUANTICO CONFINEMENT FACILITY

ACMC,

Copy all on letter from you to Army this week.

Vr/s, Chief

LtGen Willie Williams
Chief of Marine Corps Staff
(w)(b) (6) [redacted]; (m)(b) (6) [redacted]

-----Original Message-----
From: Tryon LtGen Richard T
Sent: Sunday, January 30, 2011 21:49
To: Dunford Gen Joseph F
Cc: Williams LtGen Willie J; Flynn LtGen George J; Geoffroy SES Raymond F; Hogue SES Robert D
Subject: Re: CG MCCDC LETTER re QUANTICO CONFINEMENT FACILITY

ACMC,

Believe leveraging the Army for pre-trial support is very reasonable. Additionally, recommend we broker an MOU with the other Services that might require use of the Quantico Brig in the future and outline inherent service obligations/support requirements for pretrial confinement. The Manning case can provide a reference/baseline for the kind of support which may be required ranging from medical/pysche support to Public Affairs.
Will direct OPT to develop a document which can be considered by MCCDC/MCB Quantico.
VR/Rick

----- Original Message -----
From: Dunford Gen Joseph F <(b) (6) [redacted]>
To: Tryon LtGen Richard T
Cc: Williams LtGen Willie J; Flynn LtGen George J; Geoffroy SES Raymond F; Hogue SES Robert D
Sent: Sun Jan 30 19:14:21 2011
Subject: RE: CG MCCDC LETTER re QUANTICO CONFINEMENT FACILITY

Rick--

Many thanks. Roger all below. I also saw George's e-mail. For practical and legal reasons (including those you outline below), I accept that Manning will be with us throughout his pretrial confinement. The focus of our effort should be to prioritize what we need from the Army in the way of support. I'd like to go back to them in writing for the additional medical support etc. that we might need to help mitigate both the risk and cost for Quantico.

Chief--

Let's pull this together and get a letter from me to the Army this week.

SF
Joe

-----Original Message-----
From: Tryon LtGen Richard T [mailto:(b) (6) [redacted]]
Sent: Sunday, January 30, 2011 10:03
To: Dunford Gen Joseph F
Cc: Williams LtGen Willie J; Flynn LtGen George J; Geoffroy SES Raymond F; Hogue SES Robert D
Subject: CG MCCDC LETTER re QUANTICO CONFINEMENT FACILITY

ACMC - I am on HQMC business Mon-Wed. Mr. Geoffroy will brief the Chief. The unfortunate reality is that since we accepted PFC Manning under existing agreements with the Army in the NCR, attempting to arrange transfer may not be legally acceptable or politically viable, and in fact there may not be an alternative detention option in the NCR.

BLUF - There are no federal or civilian facilities (no existing agreement) in the NCR that Army can currently utilize as an alternative to Quantico. There is also no assurance that any alternative federal/civilian facility could offer the same level of support. Few if any detention facilities would welcome such a high visibility prisoner. All external USMC stakeholders are satisfied with the current quality detention service being provided. Additionally, we could request the Army seek an alternative confinement facility but believe that such a request would rise to very senior levels very quickly and that we would be told to continue to support.

Initial OPT Review - Background/Key Points:

-U.S. Army Private First Class (PFC) Manning is in pretrial confinement at the Quantico pretrial confinement facility (PCF) in connection with a high profile federal case (WIKILEAKS).

-The confinement of PFC Manning has produced numerous strains on the Quantico Brig and supporting activities at MCB Quantico. Many of those strains have been addressed but others will continue as long as PFC Manning is confined at Quantico (e.g., psychiatric support).

-The U.S. Army has agreements with local jails/prisons across the country to house Army detainees/prisoners. Those agreements are primarily adjacent to bases/installations which do not have their own confinement facility. There is not a requirement that these jails/prisons be federal facilities.

-The Army does not currently have any agreements with local jails in the NCR, largely due to the fact that the Quantico pretrial confinement facility (PCF) is a no-cost solution in the area. The following paragraph (4.7) from DoDD 1325.04 "Confinement of Military Prisoners and Administration of Military Correctional Programs and Facilities" is germane.

******
4.7. For economy and efficient administration, confinement facilities of any Military Service shall be used for the confinement of prisoners of other Military Services. Pretrial confinement of military prisoners normally shall be on a non-reimbursable basis at a confinement facility nearest to the place of the court-martial proceedings. The Military Services may enter into agreements or Memoranda of Understanding to address costs and terms for post trial confinement. Prisoners confined in military correctional facilities shall be subject to the rules and regulations of the confining facility regardless of the Service affiliation of the prisoner
******

- Manning's defense counsel filed an Art 138 motion (quoted in the last week's Saturday WP). Basically, it is a defense gambit to document any complaints that he can use in the future to gain reduced sentencing.

- Brig operations are being conducted in accordance with regulations and observed by IGMC, CL, PSL among others.

-The Army could enter into an agreement with a local jail - the most likely would be the Rappahannock Regional Jail in Stafford, VA. Until contact is made with Rappahannock, or other jails, it will be unclear how receptive they would be to accepting PFC Manning if at all.

- Better detainee treatment/care at a local jail or federal facility is not guaranteed. What we might be able to do is leverage the Army for TAD support in terms of a psychiatrist which is a least one point of consternation at Quantico.

-The Army will not move PFC Manning out of the Quantico PCF without a request from the Marine Corps.

- Moving Manning from the Quantico Brig could potentially support the perception that the claims of mistreatment/improper treatment were true and thereby aid the defense counsel's case.

-We don't have a time frame for how long the coordination necessary to relocate PFC Manning would take.
-A request by the USMC to relocate PFC Manning may produce some undesirable second and third order effects between the U.S. Army and USMC, particularly WRT confinement issues. What would be our course of action if Manning was a Marine? We would not in all probability send him someplace else if the convening authority is here.

-If the Marine Corps asks the Army to relocate PFC Manning the request should originate from the Quantico PCF, be addressed to PFC Manning's command (Joint Force Headquarters National Capital Region/Military District Washington) and be via HQMC Corrections (PSL/Corrections) and HQDA Corrections.

We have formed a working group (CL, JA, HS, I&L) to address LtGen Flynn's letter on the capacity of Quantico to serve as a long-term (undefined) PCF. The results and subsequent recommendations will frame future detainee confinements for all Service members in the NCR.

SF/Rick

----- Original Message -----
From: Williams LtGen Willie J
To: Dunford Gen Joseph F; Tryon LtGen Richard T
Sent: Sat Jan 29 16:50:24 2011
Subject: Re: CG MCCDC LETTER re QUANTICO CONFINEMENT FACILITY

Yes sir we are. Just prior to us leaving for NOLA, Rick's guys pulled a team together to work through the various issues associated. At last discussion, all was onboard and providing the SMEs desired. Will run a "how-goes-it" check on Monday.

vr/s, Chief

LtGen Willie Williams
Chief of Marine Corps Staff
(W) (b) (6) [redacted]; (c) (b) (6) [redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: Dunford Gen Joseph F <(b) (6) [redacted]>
To: Tryon LtGen Richard T; Williams LtGen Willie J
Sent: Sat Jan 29 15:35:08 2011
Subject: RE: CG MCCDC LETTER re QUANTICO CONFINEMENT FACILITY

Chief--

Are we moving out on this?

Thanks
Joe

-----Original Message-----
From: Tryon LtGen Richard T [mailto:(b) (6) [redacted]]
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2011 8:52
To: Williams LtGen Willie J
Cc: Dunford Gen Joseph F
Subject: CG MCCDC LETTER re QUANTICO CONFINEMENT FACILITY
Importance: High

Chief,
Received this (attachment) over the weekend from LtGen Flynn in connection with the Quantico Brig. LtGen Flynn raises a number of questions that cross legal/facilities/personnel/medical lines of responsibility. Long litany of email below outlines some of the issues. Subject to you direction, I'll pull together a working group to sort through the near term and long range issues under PP&O (PS). If you wish for someone else to take the lead, please advise.
SF/Rick

-----Original Message-----
From: Flynn LtGen George J [mailto(b) (6)]
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2011 11:59
To: Geoffroy SES Raymond F
Cc: Tryon LtGen Richard T
Subject: RE: IGMC MATTERS

Jeff,
Sent the letter to General Tryon on Friday. We are looking for help because in my views this was not anticipated when we came to this BRAC driven solution of a NCR Temporary Confinement Facility. S/F GJF

LtGen. George J. Flynn, USMC
Deputy Commandant for Combat Development and Integration Commanding General, MCCDC
Comm: (b) (6)
DSN: (b) (6)
NIPR: g(b) (6)
SIPR(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----
From: Geoffroy SES Raymond F
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2011 13:13
To: Flynn LtGen George J
Cc: Tryon LtGen Richard T
Subject: Fw: IGMC MATTERS

George-- reached to Vaughn on pressing the Army JAG. Below is the latest -- not encouraging.
v /r,
Jeff
Raymond F. Geoffroy
Assistant Deputy Commandant
Plans, Policies and Operations (Security) Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps 3000 Marine Corps Pentagon (Rm 4A324) Washington, DC 20350-3000
(b) (6)
DSN: (b) (6)

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----
From: Ary MajGen Vaughn A <vaughn.ary@usmc.mil>
To: Geoffroy SES Raymond F; Shelton CIV Carlyle E; Choike Col Daniel J; Wright LtCol Troy V
Cc: Lee BGEN Kenneth J; Ouzts Col Michael J; Baggott Col Kevin K; Durham CIV Jan M
Sent: Thu Jan 13 13:06:10 2011
Subject: RE: IGMC MATTERS

Jeff,
I talked to the Army JAG yesterday and followed up with the Deputy JAG, MG Tate -- Bottom line, I don't have a good answer on the legal timeline.

Developing the case is anticipated to take some (indeterminate) time. The investigation into process breakdowns is being conducted by a GO and on a fast track, but is still several weeks from completion. They do not expect the criminal case to be put together for some time and with defense preparation etc. he could be in pretrial confinement for a considerable period so we should base our support plan on several months at least.

I'm available to discuss further and go back to the JAG for more. Talk soon, V/r,

Vaughn Ary
Major General USMC
Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant
DSN (b) (6) (b) (6)

-----Original Message-----
From: Geoffroy SES Raymond F [mailto(b) (6)]
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2011 12:57 PM
To: Shelton CIV Carlyle E; Choike Col Daniel J; Wright LtCol Troy V; Ary MajGen Vaughn A
Cc: Lee BGEN Kenneth J; Ouzts Col Michael J; Baggott Col Kevin K; Durham CIV Jan M
Subject: RE: IGMC MATTERS

Thanks Carl; let me weigh-in here as I discussed this with LtGen Flynn yesterday. His biggest concern is the drain on his resources to support the Manning confinement, no specific end state or scheduled legal process moving forward, and the fact that Quantico is not resourced for this type of long term confinement. He is sending me a letter that outlines his concerns. I told him we would take for action to coordinate. A lot has to do with BRAC decisions on Quantico as a pre-trial confinement facility but without the requisite resources to support this type of high profile confinement. We need to address his concerns with OSD, and also the Army to get some relief for now and into the future. Maybe additional medical/support personnel. I think your plan for the assessment is a good one but I would wait until we receive the letter and it could be part of our plan of action.

For MajGen Ary -- can you work with Army JAG on the legal timeline?

Thanks,

Raymond F Geoffroy
Assistant Deputy Commandant
Plans, Policies and Operations (Security) Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps 3000 Marine Corps Pentagon (4A324) Washington, DC 20350-3000
(b) (6) DSN (b) - XXXX

-----Original Message-----
From: Shelton CIV Carlyle E
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2011 11:06 AM
To: Choike Col Daniel J; Wright LtCol Troy V
Cc: Lee BGEN Kenneth J; Geoffroy SES Raymond F; Ouzts Col Michael J; Baggott Col Kevin K
Subject: RE: IGMC MATTERS

Dan, Troy

Copy all, ...I understand that LtGen Flynn would like to see Army take a more active/supportive roll with the detention support. Quantico Brig does not have the full-time requisite personnel support (particularly medical) required for long term "special needs" detention, such as PFC Manning's case. OSD or US Army will need to supplement any deficiencies the Quantico Brig is not designed to do without significant disruption.

1. I will revisit the idea of an IG visit with Army IG 2. LtCol Wright's visit should enable us to specifically identify what areas require outside support.
3. The IGMC will send an Assessment Team to Quantico even if Army IG desires not to participate.
4. The IGMC's rapid assessment should capture Quantico Brig needs.
5. The IGMC assessment will be passed to Army IG and Col Shumake (OSD Corrections) for appropriate action.

Our Inspection team is out west, so IGMC's first opportunity to visit will be right after the MLK break. That will give us enough time to reengage Army IG and get a sense of what needs to be done for Quantico Brig.

Obviously, Mr. Geoffroy's folks expertise and lead, so we (IGMC) will stay in our "swim lane" and support. Hopefully these action will enable us to address Quantico's needs or the Army may determine it's better to move PFC Manning to an Army facility.

Let me know your thoughts.

Semper fi,

Carl Shelton
Deputy Inspector General, USMC
Headquarters, United States Marine Corps
2 Navy Annex, Room 2233
Washington, DC 20380-1775
Phone: (b) (6) [redacted]

INSPECTOR GENERAL SENSITIVE INFORMATION - FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY:
The information contained in this email and any accompanying attachments may contain Inspector General sensitive or predecisional information, which is protected from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA, 5 USC Section 552. It should not be released to unauthorized persons. If you are not the intended recipient of this information, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on this information is prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify this office by email or by calling 703- 614-1533.

-----Original Message-----
From: Choike Col Daniel J [mailto:(b) (6) [redacted]]
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2011 16:17
To: Shelton CIV Carlyle E; Wright LtCol Troy V
Subject: RE: IGMC MATTERS

Carl,
Not sure if this meets CG MCCDC intent. LtCol Wright will be heading this way tomorrow, and in addition to the IG visits, the CG wanted visits from OSD Corrections (Col Shumake) along with the visit from HQMC PSL.

This issue is much more than MCBQ's issue...thus, his desire for Army and HQMC involvement.

SF, DJC

Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC
Commander, Marine Corps Base
3250 Catlin Avenue
Quantico, VA 22134-5001

Office: (b) (6) [redacted]
Cell: (b) (6) [redacted]
Fax: (b) (6) [redacted]
DSN: (b) (6) [redacted]
Email: (b) (6) [redacted]
-----Original Message-----
From: Shelton CIV Carlyle E
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 19:25

To: Wright LtCol Troy V; Choike Col Daniel J
Subject: FW: IGMC MATTERS

Troy, Dan,

Sorry for the slow response, BGEN Lee wanted to close out the issue with the Army IG. US Army is very satisfied with the rapid response and completeness of Quantico's actions, so they have requested to stand down.

Thanks for everything, but we may see this issue again. Dan, ...well done to you and your team.

Semper fi,

Carl Shelton
Deputy Inspector General
U.S. Marine Corps

From: Amos Gen James F [mailto(b) (6) [redacted]]
Sent: Mon 1/10/2011 9:40 AM
To: Lee BGEN Kenneth J
Cc: Dunford Gen Joseph F; Ary MajGen Vaughn A; Hogue SES Robert D; Shelton CIV Carlyle E; Flynn LtGen George J
Subject: RE: IGMC MATTERS

Roger that Cave...a piece popped in today's EB on this implying that he is not being treated humanely.

-----Original Message-----
From: Lee BGEN Kenneth J
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 12:01
To: Amos Gen James F
Cc: Dunford Gen Joseph F; Ary MajGen Vaughn A; Hogue SES Robert D; Shelton CIV Carlyle E; Flynn LtGen George J
Subject: RE: IGMC MATTERS

General,

After discussion with MajGen McCoy, it appears the Army IG is satisfied with the assessment of the quality of life and treatment of PFC Manning. It appears no further investigation or assessment is required at this point. I will have my staff stand down but continue to monitor the issue.

I will ensure the Under SecNav is aware of this update.

RS

Ken

BGen Kenneth J. Lee
Inspector General of the Marine Corps
(b) (6) [redacted]

-----Original Message-----
From: Amos Gen James F [mailto:(b) (6)]
Sent: Sunday, January 09, 2011 11:58
To: Shelton CIV Carlyle E; Flynn LtGen George J
Cc: Lee BGEN Kenneth J; Dunford Gen Joseph F; Ary MajGen Vaughn A; Hogue SES Robert D
Subject: RE: IGMC MATTERS

Thanks Carlyle...got it all. Spoke as well to LtGen Flynn a week ago on this matter. Have not heard anything yet from Gen Casey, but as you imply, we will fully comply as it's in everyone's best interests to do so. I know that Gen Flynn is paying particularly close attention to the suicide matter not wanting to give Manning any opportunity to do such a thing. Keep ACMC and I posted if this evolves into anything more than what you have stated below.

Semper fidelis

jfa

-----Original Message-----
From: Shelton CIV Carlyle E
Sent: Monday, January 03, 2011 18:16
To: Amos Gen James F
Cc: Lee BGEN Kenneth J; Dunford Gen Joseph F; Ary MajGen Vaughn A; Hogue SES Robert D
Subject: IGMC MATTERS

General,

BGen Lee is currently traveling with the Wounded Warrior assessment team (Landstuhl, Germany, 3-7 Jan 11), but he directed me to update you about an ongoing issue that's beginning to surface.

BLUF: Allegations have surfaced in the Press that PFC Bradley Manning (alleged Wiki-leaker) is being subjected to harsh treatment bordering on torture. PFC Manning was assigned to 2nd Brigade Combat Team, 10th Mountain Div, at Contingency Operating station Hammer, Iraq when he was apprehended (26 May 2010) by Army CID. On 29 July 2010, PFC Manning was transferred MCB Quantico Brig for pretrial confinement until his Art. 32 Hearing (est. Spring 2011).

This morning I received a called from MajGen McCoy, Dep. Inspector General, US who informed me that Army COS, Gen Casey directed Army IG involvement to assess the quality of life for PFC Manning. Apparently, the UN and Amnesty International are taking an interest in PFC Manning's pretrial treatment. MajGen McCoy stated that Gen Casey will probably give you a call in a day or two. BGen Lee wanted to ensure you were aware of what's being done.

PFC Manning is detained in Special Quarters, not Solitary Confinement at the Quantico Brig. Due to PFC Manning's suicide ideations prior to arriving at Quantico and concerns for his safety within the general Brig population, he was placed in special quarters. This means 24/7 observation, separation from general population, special mattress and blankets, frequent visual checks, isolated access to fitness, television, phone and mail.

Action:
1. Col Dan Choike, CO MCB, Quantico has already ordered an IG QOL assessment for PFC Manning which was completed 28 Dec 2010. The assessment addressed each of the accusations in the Press from quality of detention facilities, food, exercise, health, access to mail, phone, etc. The Quantico Brig was in full compliance.

2. Later this week, PP&O (PLS) will send their Corrections and Law Enforcement reps. to review procedures.

3. IGMC and Army IG will follow up with a two person team focusing on overall operations and PFC Manning's QOL. (This will satisfy Gen Casey's request heading your way).

Due to the request from another Service IG, IGMC is obligated to update the Under SecNav. Additionally, we will ensure the appropriate Marine Corps staff is notified and updated. I am standing by for any further questions or information you may need.

Semper fi,

Carl Shelton
Deputy Inspector General, USMC
Headquarters, United States Marine Corps
2 Navy Annex, Room 2233
Washington, DC 20380-1775
Phone: (b) (6) [redacted]

INSPECTOR GENERAL SENSITIVE INFORMATION - FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY:
The information contained in this email and any accompanying attachments may contain Inspector General sensitive or predecisional information, which is protected from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA, 5 USC Section 552. It should not be released to unauthorized persons. If you are not the intended recipient of this information, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on this information is prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify this office by email or by calling (b) (6) [redacted]