

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>



RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) REQUEST

2016-0550

1

RESPONSE TYPE INTERIM FINAL

REQUESTER:

John Greenwald

DATE:

JUL 15 2016

DESCRIPTION OF REQUESTED RECORDS:

Copy of all complaints submitted about your agency's cafeteria (at Headquarters), for calendar years 2014 and 2015

PART I. -- INFORMATION RELEASED

- Agency records subject to the request are already available in public ADAMS or on microfiche in the NRC Public Document Room.
- Agency records subject to the request are enclosed.
- Records subject to the request that contain information originated by or of interest to another Federal agency have been referred to that agency (see comments section) for a disclosure determination and direct response to you.
- We are continuing to process your request.
- See Comments.

PART I.A -- FEES

AMOUNT*

\$ 0.00

*See Comments for details

- You will be billed by NRC for the amount listed.
- None. Minimum fee threshold not met.
- You will receive a refund for the amount listed.
- Fees waived.

PART I.B -- INFORMATION NOT LOCATED OR WITHHELD FROM DISCLOSURE

- We did not locate any agency records responsive to your request. *Note:* Agencies may treat three discrete categories of law enforcement and national security records as not subject to the FOIA ("exclusions"). 5 U.S.C. 552(c). This is a standard notification given to all requesters; it should not be taken to mean that any excluded records do, or do not, exist.
- We have withheld certain information pursuant to the FOIA exemptions described, and for the reasons stated, in Part II.
- Because this is an interim response to your request, you may not appeal at this time. We will notify you of your right to appeal any of the responses we have issued in response to your request when we issue our final determination.
- You may appeal this final determination within 30 calendar days of the date of this response by sending a letter or email to the FOIA Officer, at U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555-0001, or FOIA.Resource@nrc.gov. Please be sure to include on your letter or email that it is a "FOIA Appeal."

PART I.C COMMENTS (Use attached Comments continuation page if required)

Please note:

The responsive records to your FOIA/PA request are attached, released in part (exemption (b)(6))

SIGNATURE - FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT OFFICER

Nina Argent



RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) / PRIVACY ACT (PA) REQUEST

DATE

JUL 15 2016

PART II.A -- APPLICABLE EXEMPTIONS

GROUP
 X

Records subject to the request that are contained in the specified group are being withheld in their entirety or in part under the Exemption No. (s) of the PA and/or the FOIA as indicated below (5 U.S.C. 552a and/or 5 U.S.C. 552(b)).

- Exemption 1: The withheld information is properly classified pursuant to Executive Order 12958.
- Exemption 2: The withheld information relates solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of NRC.
- Exemption 3: The withheld information is specifically exempted from public disclosure by statute indicated.
 - Sections 141-145 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Restricted Data or Formerly Restricted Data (42 U.S.C. 2161-2165).
 - Section 147 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Unclassified Safeguards Information (42 U.S.C. 2167).
 - 41 U.S.C., Section 4702(b), prohibits the disclosure of contractor proposals in the possession and control of an executive agency to any person under section 552 of Title 5, U.S.C. (the FOIA), except when incorporated into the contract between the agency and the submitter of the proposal.
- Exemption 4: The withheld information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated.
 - The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information.
 - The information is considered to be proprietary because it concerns a licensee's or applicant's physical protection or material control and accounting program for special nuclear material pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(1).
 - The information was submitted by a foreign source and received in confidence pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(2).
 - Disclosure will harm an identifiable private or governmental interest.
- Exemption 5: The withheld information consists of interagency or intraagency records that are not available through discovery during litigation. Applicable privileges:
 - Deliberative process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essential to the deliberative process. Where records are withheld in their entirety, the facts are inextricably intertwined with the predecisional information. There also are no reasonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry into the predecisional process of the agency.
 - Attorney work-product privilege. (Documents prepared by an attorney in contemplation of litigation)
 - Attorney-client privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client)
- Exemption 6: The withheld information is exempted from public disclosure because its disclosure would result in a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.
- Exemption 7: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated.
 - (A) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direction, and focus of enforcement efforts, and thus could possibly allow recipients to take action to shield potential wrong doing or a violation of NRC requirements from investigators).
 - (C) Disclosure could constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.
 - (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to reveal identities of confidential sources.
 - (E) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law.
 - (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual.
- OTHER (Specify)

PART II.B -- DENYING OFFICIALS

Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been determined that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for any denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO).

DENYING OFFICIAL	TITLE/OFFICE	RECORDS DENIED	APPELLATE OFFICIAL		
			EDO	SECY	IG
Nina Argent	FOIA Officer (Acting)	X	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Appeal must be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of this response. Appeals should be mailed to the FOIA/Privacy Act Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, for action by the appropriate appellate official(s). You should clearly state on the envelope and letter that it is a "FOIA/PA Appeal."

Chidichimo, Gabriele

From: Greenwood, Carol
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2016 4:06 PM
To: Neff, Deborah
Subject: FW: TWFN Cafeteria

From: Widdup, Joseph
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2015 1:32 PM
To: Greenwood, Carol <Carol.Greenwood@nrc.gov>
Cc: Boyd, NancyTurner <NancyTurner.Boyd@nrc.gov>
Subject: FW: TWFN Cafeteria

Hi Carol,

Please review the requirements of the contract about menu requirements and, if required, please take appropriate action.

Thanks
--Joe

From: Boyd, NancyTurner
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2015 1:22 PM
To: Widdup, Joseph
Subject: TWFN Cafeteria

Not sure who has the TWFN oversight right now....

(b)(6) stopped me in the cafeteria to inquire about the NRC TWFN Cafeteria oversight. (b)(6) was very upset that there are so few vegetarian choices. Only two of the vegetables offered today were meatless. "The couscous had a meat base to it and couscous should never be meat based." (b)(6) has complained to (b)(6) in the past and will do it again ((b)(6) words), but ever since they changed managers, the vegetarian selection isn't available.

Please have the PM discuss this with the manager and make sure the vegetarian choices are being identified. I'm sure (b)(6) would appreciate some sort of follow up.

Thank you.

Chidichimo, Gabriele

From: Greenwood, Carol
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2016 4:06 PM
To: Neff, Deborah
Subject: FW: TWFN Cafe

From: Curtis, Jeff
Sent: Tuesday, July 07, 2015 8:32 AM
To: Liegey, Patricia <Patricia.Liegey@nrc.gov>; Greenwood, Carol <Carol.Greenwood@nrc.gov>; Chicca, Gregory <Gregory.Chicca@nrc.gov>; Branch, Richard <Richard.Branch@nrc.gov>; Davis, Randall <Randall.Davis@nrc.gov>
Cc: Warner, Deanna <Deanna.Warner@nrc.gov>
Subject: RE: TWFN Cafe

Yes, TWFN cafeteria manager has been notified. He will keep an eye out for ants and continuously soak the soda machine nozzles on a nightly basis. If more ants show up even though he is soaking the nozzles, he will notify us to send a fixit it. Perhaps there is a hole in a wall somewhere where the ants are coming from.

Since July 1, I have not heard an additional complaint yet.

Jeff

From: Liegey, Patricia
Sent: Monday, July 06, 2015 6:43 AM
To: Curtis, Jeff; Greenwood, Carol; Chicca, Gregory; Branch, Richard; Davis, Randall
Cc: Warner, Deanna
Subject: FW: TWFN Cafe

Good morning, All,

I was on leave last week. Has this been addressed?
Thank you

v/r

*E. Patricia Liegey, CSP, CHMM
Safety and Occupational Health Manager
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
TWFN-3D19
Mailstop TWFN-3D18M
301-415-7832
Patricia.liegey@nrc.gov*

*Safety Share Point Site:
<http://fusion.nrc.gov/adm/team/OSHA/default.aspx>*

From: Warner, Deanna
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:59 AM

To: Liegey, Patricia
Subject: TWFN Cafe

Hi Pat,

Happy Wednesday!!!!!! Hope you're enjoying your time away from the office.

I just wanted to drop a note to you – I was in the TWFN cafeteria this morning, and noticed ants crawling out of one of the soda fountains. They were in the tray as well as on the downspouts where the soda comes out of the machine. I notified the cafeteria manager and he immediately started addressing the issue.

I also stopped by to let Carol Greenwood know about the issue; however, she was out of the office. So I dropped by Jeff Curtis' office to let him know. He indicated he would talk to the cafeteria manager about it.

Deanna

Deanna (Clarke) Warner
Correspondence Manager
Office of Administration
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
TWFN 3-D15
301-415-8056



Chidichimo, Gabriele

From: Greenwood, Carol
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2016 4:06 PM
To: Neff, Deborah
Subject: FW: Water in 2WFN Cafeteria tastes salty/funny: Is work being done on water supply?

From: Bellosi, Susan
Sent: Thursday, September 10, 2015 8:44 AM
To: Meyer, David <David.Meyer@nrc.gov>; Widdup, Joseph <Joseph.Widdup@nrc.gov>; Greenwood, Carol <Carol.Greenwood@nrc.gov>
Cc: Carpenter, Cynthia <Cynthia.Carpenter@nrc.gov>; Chicca, Gregory <Gregory.Chicca@nrc.gov>
Subject: FW: Water in 2WFN Cafeteria tastes salty/funny: Is work being done on water supply?

Good Morning, All,

See Cindi's e-mail below. We both agree that we shouldn't put an announcement out regarding the punch. Cindi drank it and said it was fine.

Susan

From: Carpenter, Cynthia
Sent: Thursday, September 10, 2015 7:47 AM
To: Bellosi, Susan
Subject: Re: Water in 2WFN Cafeteria tastes salty/funny: Is work being done on water supply?

I drank the punch and it tasted great to me. I went back for 3rd's actually.

It seems too late to send something out. It's over now, so why send something out?

From: Bellosi, Susan
Sent: Thursday, September 10, 2015 07:39 AM
To: Carpenter, Cynthia
Subject: FW: Water in 2WFN Cafeteria tastes salty/funny: Is work being done on water supply?

Cindi,

According to the morning report - Yesterday morning the water softener system that feeds the hot water boilers for the Fitness Center and Café II briefly malfunctioned. The system overfilled the brine tank and it siphoned back into the cold water supply to both fitness center and cafeteria, causing a salty taste to the water. Once the problem was corrected, the water eventually cleared itself of the brine. Although unpleasant, it was not dangerous to ingest.

DAS thinks an announcement (see below) should go out from DFS stating that there was this problem yesterday and it shouldn't be reflected on the cafeteria. They made the punch for the awards ceremony. Did you hear any complaints regarding the punch being salty? I personally don't think we should send something out. It's over and corrected and there wasn't a safety issue.'

Thoughts?

Susan

From: Chicca, Gregory
Sent: Thursday, September 10, 2015 7:35 AM
To: Bellosi, Susan
Subject: Fw: Water in 2WFN Cafeteria tastes salty/funny: Is work being done on water supply?

Fyi
Greg Chicca
From my Blackberry

From: Widdup, Joseph
Sent: Wednesday, September 09, 2015 05:35 PM
To: Greenwood, Carol; Meyer, David
Cc: Chicca, Gregory; Branch, Richard
Subject: Re: Water in 2WFN Cafeteria tastes salty/funny: Is work being done on water supply?

I would think this would be an announcement from DFS since it is facility-related and not related to the services that the cafeteria vendor provides.

David, would you agree?

Sent from my NRC BlackBerry
Joseph L. Widdup

From: Greenwood, Carol
Sent: Wednesday, September 09, 2015 05:27 PM
To: Widdup, Joseph
Cc: Chicca, Gregory; Branch, Richard
Subject: FW: Water in 2WFN Cafeteria tastes salty/funny: Is work being done on water supply?

Joe,
Frank asked if an announcement can be sent out ASAP stating that the water is okay again now as many people in the Cafeteria at the time were complaining about the taste of the water.

The 2WFN Cafeteria catered the Award Ceremony and unfortunately the punch that was made was using that water.... Frank said a lot of it was left over and had to be thrown away.... He is concerned that it looks bad on him....

Greg,
Do you have any product information that states the water softener is okay to accidentally ingest?
Is there any sort of prevention for this happening again?

Carol
415-1964

From: Chicca, Gregory
Sent: Wednesday, September 09, 2015 4:13 PM
To: Greenwood, Carol <Carol.Greenwood@nrc.gov>; Branch, Richard <Richard.Branch@nrc.gov>
Subject: RE: Water in 2WFN Cafeteria tastes salty/funny: Is work being done on water supply?

This has cleared up. There was an issue with the water softener that feeds the hot water boilers which caused it to mix with the cold water. That has been cleared up and the salt taste should be gone.
Greg

From: Greenwood, Carol

Sent: Wednesday, September 09, 2015 12:00 PM

To: Branch, Richard <Richard.Branch@nrc.gov>; Chicca, Gregory <Gregory.Chicca@nrc.gov>

Subject: Water in 2WFN Cafeteria tastes salty/funny: Is work being done on water supply?
Frank says customers are complaining/worried...