
From: Weich, Ron (SMO)
To: Schmalzer, Tracy (SMO)
Sent: 2/8/2011 3:00:56 PM
Subject: RE: PDF of 2/4/11 Letter to Senator Grassley

Pretty outrageous. But I assume the reporters don't forget when a Hill office plays fast and loose with the facts.

From: Schmalzer, Tracy (SMO)
Sent: Tuesday, February 08, 2011 1:59 PM
To: Weich, Ron (SMO)
Subject: RE: PDF of 2/4/11 Letter to Senator Grassley

they basically misled her. there's a difference b/t saying they are not satisfied and being inaccurate about our response.

From: Weich, Ron (SMO)
Sent: Tuesday, February 08, 2011 1:49 PM
To: Schmalzer, Tracy (SMO)
Subject: RE: PDF of 2/4/11 Letter to Senator Grassley

Ok. We know they are not happy - no surprise.

From: Schmalzer, Tracy (SMO)
Sent: Tuesday, February 08, 2011 1:49 PM
To: Weich, Ron (SMO)
Subject: RE: PDF of 2/4/11 Letter to Senator Grassley

thanks -- sorry, must have slipped by. i'm going to send a note to the wider oversight group re: this letter and what grassley office told reporter... it's informative.

From: Weich, Ron (SMO)
Sent: Tuesday, February 08, 2011 1:23 PM
To: Schmalzer, Tracy (SMO)
Subject: FW: PDF of 2/4/11 Letter to Senator Grassley

Hey, see below, remember I sent this to you last Fri? Also, be aware that I am sending all oversight letters to an Oversight Core Group that ODAG established; Matt M is a member of that group so he should always get them.

From: Weich, Ron (SMO)
Sent: Friday, February 04, 2011 6:14 PM
To: Schmalzer, Tracy (SMO)
Subject: FW: PDF of 2/4/11 Letter to Senator Grassley

Someone already sent this to you, yes? Just making sure.

From: Admin. Assistant (JMD)
Sent: Friday, February 04, 2011 6:09 PM
To: Weich, Ron (SMO)
Subject: PDF of 2/4/11 Letter to Senator Grassley

<< File: 2 4 11 Outgoing to Senator Grassley.pdf >>