

From: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)
To: Burton, Faith (SMO); Colborn, Paul P (SMO)
Sent: 4/5/2011 3:29:19 PM
Subject: RE: Response to Smith, et al, sent today, plus revised draft response to Issa, per our meeting this am with CIGIE and Reno enclosures

Thanks, Faith. On your first point, [REDACTED] DP
[REDACTED] DP
[REDACTED] DP On your second point, [REDACTED] DP
[REDACTED] DP
[REDACTED] DP Once I get edits/comments back from the USAO and ATF today, I'll try to incorporate them, as well as edits based on your suggestions below, and will recirculate to the group that attended the meeting the other day.

In the meantime, are you getting the documents you need from ATF? I expect so, but if you need me to reach out to them, just let me know. Thanks.

Matt

From: Burton, Faith (SMO)
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 9:57 AM
To: Colborn, Paul P (SMO); Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)
Subject: RE: Response to Smith, et al, sent today, plus revised draft response to Issa, per our meeting this am with CIGIE and Reno enclosures

This is fine except –

1. [REDACTED] DP
2. [REDACTED] DP

From: Colborn, Paul P (SMO)
Sent: Monday, April 04, 2011 6:54 PM
To: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG); Burton, Faith (SMO)
Subject: Re: Response to Smith, et al, sent today, plus revised draft response to Issa, per our meeting this am with CIGIE and Reno enclosures

Ok with me

From: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, April 04, 2011 06:48 PM
To: Burton, Faith (SMO); Colborn, Paul P (SMO)
Subject: RE: Response to Smith, et al, sent today, plus revised draft response to Issa, per our meeting this am with CIGIE and Reno enclosures

Here's my latest attempt. [REDACTED] DP
[REDACTED] DP
[REDACTED] DP I would like to send to the USAO and ATF tonight if at all possible, as I'm going to ask them to try to turn it around by the end of the day tomorrow. Thanks.

Matt

From: Burton, Faith (SMO)
Sent: Monday, April 04, 2011 6:26 PM
To: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG); Colborn, Paul P (SMO)
Subject: RE: Response to Smith, et al, sent today, plus revised draft response to Issa, per our meeting this am with CIGIE and Reno enclosures

Matt, Paul and I have conferred that: [REDACTED] DP
[REDACTED] DP
I've also briefed Ron and we really think that: [REDACTED] DP
We think that: [REDACTED] DP Thanks. FB

From: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, April 04, 2011 6:03 PM
To: Burton, Faith (SMO); Colborn, Paul P (SMO)
Subject: RE: Response to Smith, et al, sent today, plus revised draft response to Issa, per our meeting this am with CIGIE and Reno enclosures

Does this do the trick? [REDACTED] DP

From: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, April 04, 2011 5:17 PM
To: Burton, Faith (SMO); Weiner, Robert (ODAG); Richardson, Margaret (SMO); Wilkinson, Monty (OAG); Schmalder, Tracy (SMO); Colborn, Paul P (SMO)
Cc: Gaston, Molly (SMO); Weich, Ron (SMO)
Subject: RE: Response to Smith, et al, sent today, plus revised draft response to Issa, per our meeting this am with CIGIE and Reno enclosures

Attached is a revised draft. Let me know what you think. All comments/edits welcome. Unless folks tell me they think it's a bad idea, this is the version I would plan to circulate to the USAO and ATF. Thanks.

Matt

From: Burton, Faith (SMO)
Sent: Monday, April 04, 2011 3:41 PM
To: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG); Weiner, Robert (ODAG); Richardson, Margaret (SMO); Wilkinson, Monty (OAG); Schmalder, Tracy (SMO); Colborn, Paul P (SMO)
Cc: Gaston, Molly (SMO); Weich, Ron (SMO)
Subject: RE: Response to Smith, et al, sent today, plus revised draft response to Issa, per our meeting this am with CIGIE and Reno enclosures

Yes, that's fine. Thanks.

From: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)
Sent: Monday, April 04, 2011 3:32 PM
To: Burton, Faith (SMO); Weiner, Robert (ODAG); Richardson, Margaret (SMO); Wilkinson, Monty (OAG); Schmalder, Tracy (SMO); Colborn, Paul P (SMO)
Cc: Gaston, Molly (SMO); Weich, Ron (SMO)
Subject: Re: Response to Smith, et al, sent today, plus revised draft response to Issa, per our meeting this am with CIGIE and Reno enclosures

Thanks, Faith. Are you okay with my circulating to the USAO and ATF? I think we should loop them in sooner rather than later.

From: Burton, Faith (SMO)

Sent: Monday, April 04, 2011 02:57 PM

To: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG); Weiner, Robert (ODAG); Richardson, Margaret (SMO); Wilkinson, Monty (OAG); Schmalzer, Tracy (SMO); Colborn, Paul P (SMO)

Cc: Gaston, Molly (SMO); Weich, Ron (SMO)

Subject: Response to Smith, et al, sent today, plus revised draft response to Issa, per our meeting this am with CIGIE and Reno enclosures

We've explained to Smith and Conyers staff that the penultimate para means only that we'll share with them whatever docs we provide to Issa, which they request, but that we do not expect to disclose any non-public pending investigation materials. Also, please see the enclosed revised draft to Issa, per our conversation this morning with enclosures. Some of the enclosures that we've received to date look to be law enforcement sensitive; [REDACTED]

DP

DP

Thanks. FB <<issa gunrunner

44.docx>> <<Grassley CIGIE letter.pdf>> <<reno letter.pdf>>

<<Chairman Smith Response - 4 4 11.pdf>>