


**From:** Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)  
**To:** Cunningham, Patrick (USAAZ)  
**CC:** Burke, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike (USAAZ)  
**Sent:** 4/5/2011 11:39:19 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Questions re: ATF Emails and Reports

Thanks, Pat.

**From:** Cunningham, Patrick (USAAZ)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 05, 2011 07:23 PM  
**To:** Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)  
**Cc:** Burke, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike (USAAZ)  
**Subject:** Questions re: ATF Emails and Reports

Matt: Answers in Bold. Enjoy. PJC



**From:** Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG) (SMO)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 05, 2011 2:04 PM  
**To:** Cunningham, Patrick (USAAZ)  
**Cc:** Burke, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike

(USAAZ)

**Subject:** RE: ATF Emails and Reports

Great. Thanks a ton. One additional question – is the ATF investigation still pending?

---

**From:** Cunningham, Patrick (USAAZ)

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 05, 2011 4:14 PM

**To:** Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)

**Cc:** Burke, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike (USAAZ)

**Subject:** RE: ATF Emails and Reports

Matt: I am working with ASAC Jim Needles to get the answers. Thanks PJC

---

**From:** Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG) (SMO)

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 05, 2011 12:47 PM

**To:** Cunningham, Patrick (USAAZ)

**Cc:** Burke, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike (USAAZ)

**Subject:** RE: ATF Emails and Reports

Pat,

Thanks again for finding and sending these. I have a few follow-up questions, which I'll ask of ATF as well, as I imagine they are probably the ones with the greatest knowledge in this area.

One, what happened to the six firearms that ATF purchased from ATF? Were they ever recovered?

And two, ATF proposal includes a sentence that states "[t]his request is predicated on ATF's previous authorization of allowing firearms to be trafficked ('walked') in furtherance of investigations which target FTO's as opposed to individuals." Do you know what "previous authorization" ATF is referring to? Also, do you know whether ATF (or anyone else who saw this proposal) ever asked ATF what he meant by that sentence?

Matt

---

**From:** Cunningham, Patrick (USAAZ)

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 05, 2011 2:26 PM

**To:** Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)

**Cc:** Burke, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike (USAAZ)

**Subject:** ATF Emails and Reports

Matt and Colleagues: enclosed are the two ATF emails I spoke of last week on our call regarding the Issa letter, now subpoena.

In the ATF Email of May 27 at the bottom of page 3, ATF seeks authority to "walk" guns and specifically states, "The logic employed is that by authorizing the "walking" of a small amount of firearms that, ultimately we can cease the trafficking of a significant number. (i.e. walking 4 to 6 – prevent hundreds from being trafficked.)" The target in this case, ATF was seeking grenade launchers and other high powered weapons, and after ATF purchased six firearms in June 2010 from ATF ATF stated he was no longer in the business and did not want to proceed with obtaining the high powered weapons.

As we discussed last week, this kind of cooperation was never planned or executed in Fast and Furious, yet somehow an ROI from the ATF case made it into a Senator Grassley letter.

---

**DP**

What do you think? PJC