

From: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)
To: Colborn, Paul P (SMO)
Sent: 4/6/2011 2:35:46 PM
Subject: FW: Questions re: ATF Emails and Reports
Attachments: Diaz-Sosa, Superseding Indictment.pdf

Not sure if I forwarded this to you yet. It contains answers to questions I posed concerning the ATF investigation. Call me when you get a chance and we can discuss.

From: Cunningham, Patrick (USAAZ)
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 7:23 PM
To: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)
Cc: Burke, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike (USAAZ)
Subject: Questions re: ATF emails and Reports

Matt: Answers in Bold. Enjoy. PJC

1. What happened to the six firearms that ATF Were they ever recovered?

ATF

2. ATF proposal includes a sentence that states "[t]his request is predicated on ATF's previous authorization of allowing firearms to be trafficked ('walked') in furtherance of investigations which target FTO's as opposed to individuals." Do you know what "previous authorization" ATF is referring to?

We have no idea what ATF is referring to in this sentence. There was no previous authorization in this case and there is no general authorization for ATF to conduct these kinds of undercover operations in existence either nationally or in the Phoenix Division. These operations are assessed and authorized on a case by case basis.

ATF

3. Also, do you know whether ATF anyone else who saw this proposal) ever asked ATF what he meant by that sentence?

No, no one questioned ATF over what he meant by the statement.

4. Is the ATF investigation still pending?

The case is not yet closed and ATF

ATF

From: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG) (SMO)
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 2:04 PM
To: Cunningham, Patrick (USAAZ)
Cc: Burke, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike (USAAZ)

Subject: RE: ATF Emails and Reports

Great. Thanks a ton. One additional question – is the ATF investigation still pending?

From: Cunningham, Patrick (USAAZ)

Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 4:14 PM

To: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)

Cc: Burke, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike (USAAZ)

Subject: RE: ATF Emails and Reports

Matt: I am working with ASAC Jim Needles to get the answers. Thanks PJC

From: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG) (SMO)

Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 12:47 PM

To: Cunningham, Patrick (USAAZ)

Cc: Burke, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike (USAAZ)

Subject: RE: ATF Emails and Reports

Pat,

Thanks again for finding and sending these. I have a few follow-up questions, which I'll ask of ATF as well, as I imagine they are probably the ones with the greatest knowledge in this area.

One, what happened to the six firearms that ATF Were they ever recovered?

And two, ATF proposal includes a sentence that states "[t]his request is predicated on ATF's previous authorization of allowing firearms to be trafficked ('walked') in furtherance of investigations which target FTO's as opposed to individuals." Do you know what "previous authorization" ATF referring to? Also, do you know whether ATF or anyone else who saw this proposal) ever asked ATF what he meant by that sentence?

Matt

From: Cunningham, Patrick (USAAZ)

Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 2:26 PM

To: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)

Cc: Burke, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike (USAAZ)

Subject: ATF Emails and Reports

Matt and Colleagues: enclosed are the two ATF emails I spoke of last week on our call regarding the Issa letter, now subpoena.

In the ATF email of May 27 at the bottom of page 3, Dodson seeks authority to "walk" guns and specifically states, "The logic employed is that by authorizing the "walking" of a small amount of firearms that, ultimately we can cease the trafficking of a significant number. (i.e. walking 4 to 6 – prevent hundreds from being trafficked.)" The target in this

ATF

As we discussed last week, this kind of cooperation was never planned or executed in Fast and Furious, yet somehow an ROI from the ATF case made it into a Senator Grassley letter.

DP

What do you think? PJC