From: To: Sent: Subject: Attachments:	Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG) ATF ATF ATF); Melson, Kenneth E. (ATF); Hoover, William J. (ATF) 4/5/2011 3:38:38 PM FW: ATF Emails and Reports ATF Email May 27 2010.pdf; ATF Email May 27 1010.pdf
Fyi. I have a few foll	ow up questions.
One, wa ATF	proposed investigation authorized? If so, were guns allowed to walk as he proposed?
authorization of allow opposed to individua whether ATF or any	proposal includes a sentence that states "[t]his request is predicated on ATF's previous wing firearms to be trafficked ('walked') in furtherance of investigations which target FTO's as als." Do you know what "previous authorization" ATF referring to? Also, do you know one else who saw this proposal) ever asked ATF what he meant by that sentence?
Thanks, guys.	
Matt	
From: Cunningham, P Sent: Tuesday, April (To: Axelrod, Matthew Cc: Burke, Dennis (US (USAAZ) Subject ATF ma	05, 2011 2:26 PM (ODAG) AAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike
Matt and Colleagues: enclosed are the two ATF emails I spoke of last week on our call regarding the Issa letter, now subpoena.	
"The logic employed	of May 27 at the bottom of page 3 ATF seeks authority to "walk" guns and specifically states, is that by authorizing the "walking" of a small amount of firearms that, ultimately we can cease the cant number. (i.e. walking 4 to 6 – prevent hundreds from being trafficked.)" The target in this
	ATF
As we discussed last week, this kind of cooperation was never planned or executed in Fast and Furious, yet somehow an ROI from the ATF ase made it into a Senator Grassley letter.	
DΡ	

What do you think? PJC