Memorandum

To: RMD
From: UFO
Date: 7/21/07

Subject: Steve Pad Jobs
161B-HQ-23906 (Title)

Enclosed are 2 items.

These items are forwarded to your office since file meets criteria for destruction.

Enclosures are described as follows:

☐ Original Notes.
☐ Original FD-302's.
☐ Laboratory and/or Technical Reports.
☐ Miscellaneous Documents.

Indexed

Enc.

Note: Do Not Block Stamp Original Enclosures.
PRESIDENTIAL
EXPEDITE

LEAD SHEET - 1

FILE NUMBER: 161B-HQ-23968
ASSIGNED TO: __________
DATE ASSIGNED: 2/21/91
BUDED: 3/7/91
CASE NAME: STEVEN PAUL JOBS
LEAD CLERK: __________
DATE AND TIME RECEIVED: 2-21-91
DATE AND TIME LEAD SET: 2-21-91
DATE COPIED AND DISSEMINATED: 2-21-91
COPY TO INDICES: __________

APPOINTEE INTERVIEW: __________

(PREVIOUSLY RE سمبل 900)

(Revised 11/20/90)
LEAD SHEET - 3

FILE:  HQ-23905
ASSIGNED TO:  
DATE ASSIGNED:  3/7/94
BUDED:  
CASE NAME:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEADS</th>
<th>COVERED BY</th>
<th>SUBSTITUTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (IG &amp; SY)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (PUBLIC INTEGRITY SECTION)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(JUSTICE PROGRAMS/OFR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT OF LABOR (IG/SY/OFF)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES (D.C.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(MARYLAND)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY (MILITARY FILES)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT OF STATE (IG/SY/OFF/BACKGROUND INVEST/FILE REVIEWS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY (PUBLIC DEBT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS ADMINISTRATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.C. BAR ASSOCIATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.C. BAR COUNSEL/GRIEVANCES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.C. MARRIAGE BUREAU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.C. RECORDER OF DEEDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.C. SUPERIOR COURT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECORD CHECKS/OFF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAINING OPERATIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACADEMY OPERATIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(FILE REVIEWS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAIRFAX CITY GENERAL DISTRICT COURT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAIRFAX CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAIRFAX COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAIRFAX COUNTY GENERAL DISTRICT COURT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAIRFAX COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FALLS CHURCH GENERAL DISTRICT COURT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FALLS CHURCH POLICE DEPARTMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAUQUIER COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAUQUIER COUNTY GENERAL DISTRICT COURT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAUQUIER COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEDERAL RECORDS CENTER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Revised 11/20/90)
LEAD SHEET - 6

FILE: HQ 23906
ASSIGNED TO: ________________________________
DATE ASSIGNED: ____________________
BUDED: ____________________
CASE NAME: ________________________________

LEADS

STAFFORD COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT
STAFFORD COUNTY GENERAL DISTRICT COURT
STAFFORD COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
  (D.C. CRIMINAL/CIVIL FILES)
  (D.C. EXECUTIVE OFFICE)
  (EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA)
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
U.S. COAST GUARD
U.S. CONGRESS
  SENATE DISBURSING OFFICE
  HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DISBURSING OFFICE
  INTERVIEWS (TELEPHONE EXCHANGES 224 - 226)
U.S. CUSTOMS
U.S. DISTRICT COURT (D.C.)
U.S. DISTRICT COURT (EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA)
U.S. INFORMATION AGENCY
U.S. MAGISTRATES COURT
U.S. MAGISTRATES OFFICE (MD)
U.S. MARSHAL'S OFFICE
U.S. MINT
U.S. NATURALIZATION COURT
U.S. SECRET SERVICE
U.S. TAX COURT
TAKOMA PARK POLICE DEPARTMENT
TRINITY COLLEGE
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND
UNIVERSITY OF D.C.
VIENNA POLICE DEPARTMENT
VOICE OF AMERICA
WARRENTON POLICE DEPARTMENT
WHITE HOUSE

(These leads do not get entered into FOIs)

WOODWARD & LOTHROP

(Covered by)

SUBSTITUTE

SA
SA
SA

SA
SA
SA

(EVEN)

(ODD)

MPD
VCIN
DMV (VA)
CRIMINAL CHECKS ON ALL D.C. RESIDENCE ADDRESSES

OPERATIONS CENTER
OPERATIONS CENTER
OPERATIONS CENTER
OPERATIONS CENTER

(Revised 11/20/90)
WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN FIELD OFFICE
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

FOR APPLICATION BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION ONLY

FILE: 161B-H4790X ASSIGNED TO _____ SQUAD: A-1 DATE: 3-7-91

APPLICANT'S NAME: Steven Paul Jobs

Aliases: _____________________________

DATE OF BIRTH: 2-24-55 PLACE OF BIRTH: San Francisco, CA

CURRENT ADDRESS: _____________________________

FORMER ADDRESS: _____________________________

SOCIAL SECURITY ACCOUNT NUMBER: 549-94-3285

RELATIVES: NAME DOB RELATIONSHIP

BVS - 1
MPD - 1
DMV - 1
USSS - 2 /
FPD - 2
CREDIT - 1
VCIN - 1
DMV VA - 1
ALEX PD - 1
ARL PD - 1
FAIRFAX CITY PD - 1
FAIRFAX CO PD - 1
FAUQUIER CO SO - 1
PR WIL CO PD - 1
VIENNA PD - 1
FALLS CHURCH - 1
HERNDON PD - 1
LOUDON CO SHRF'S OFC - 1
LEESBURG PD - 1
MANASSAS CITY PD - 1
MANASSAS PARK PD - 1
QUANTICO PHO - 1
STAFFORD CO PD - 1

REQUESTING AUTHORITY,

THOMAS E. DU HADWAY
SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

FOR:

SUPERVISOR SQUAD
No Duplication Fees are charged for Deleted Page Information Sheet(s).

Total Deleted Page(s) ~ 16
Page 2 ~ Duplicate
Page 3 ~ Duplicate
Page 4 ~ Duplicate
Page 5 ~ Duplicate
Page 6 ~ Duplicate
Page 7 ~ Duplicate
Page 8 ~ Duplicate
Page 9 ~ Duplicate
Page 10 ~ Duplicate
Page 11 ~ Duplicate
Page 12 ~ Duplicate
Page 13 ~ Duplicate
Page 14 ~ Duplicate
Page 15 ~ Duplicate
Page 16 ~ Duplicate
Page 17 ~ Duplicate
TO: FBI, LIAISON

FROM: 

SUBJECT: FBI Investigations

February 15, 1991

(Date)

Subject's Name: JOBS, STEVEN PAUL
SSN: 549-94-3295

Date of Birth: 02/24/55
Place of Birth: San Francisco, CA

Present Address:

We request: __________ Copy of Previous Report
________ Name Check
________ Expanded Name Check
X Full Field Investigation: Level I ___ Level II ___ Level III X
_______ Limited Update
_______ Other

The person named above is being considered for:

X* White House Staff Position

*PA - NOT FOR SENATE CONFIRMATION

Attachments:

ENCLOSURE X SF 86
SF 87, Fingerprint Card
SF 86, Supplement

Remarks/Special Instructions:
PA President's Export Council (Additional information to follow on items #12 and #17)
**Part 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of Investigation</td>
<td>Extra Coverage</td>
<td>Sensitivity Level</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>Nature of Action Code</td>
<td>Date of Action</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geographic Location</td>
<td>Position Code</td>
<td>Position Title</td>
<td>Location of Official Personnel Folder</td>
<td>Other Address</td>
<td>Location of Security Folder</td>
<td>Other Address</td>
<td>Accounting Data and/or Agency Case Number</td>
<td>Requesting Official Name and Title</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Persons completing this form should begin with the questions below. Please type or print your answers.**

1. **FULL NAME**
   - If you have only initials in your name, use them.
   - If you have no middle name, enter "NMN".

2. **DATE OF BIRTH**
   - Use the two letter code for the state.

3. **PLACE OF BIRTH**
   - Give other names you used and the period of time you used them (for example: your maiden name, name[s], aliases, or nickname[s]). If the other name is your maiden name, put "nee" in front of it.

4. **OTHER NAMES USED**

5. **HEIGHT**

6. **WEIGHT**

7. **TELEPHONE NUMBERS**

8. **CITIZENSHIP**
   - Mark the box at the right that applies to you and follow the instructions next to the box you marked.

9. **UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP**
   - If you are a U.S. citizen, but were not born in the U.S., enter your mother's maiden name in the box to the right and provide information about one or more of the following proofs of your citizenship.

10. **U.S. PASSPORT**
    - This may be either a current or previous U.S. passport.

11. **DUAL CITIZENSHIP**
    - If you are (or were) a dual citizen of the United States and another country, provide the name of that country in the space to the right.

12. **ALIEN**
    - If you are an alien, provide the following information.

**Secret**

**Enclosure**

---

*SECRET*

**QUESTIONNAIRE FOR SENSITIVE POSITIONS**

**COUNSEL'S OFFICE RECEIVED**

**FEB 13 1991**

**Form Approved:**
O.M.B. No. 3205-0007
Expires: 8-31-90

**NSN 7540-99-634-4036**

---

*SECRET*

**ENCLOSURE**

---

*SECRET*
### WHERE YOU HAVE LIVED

**Your Address.** In this column, give the information requested for every place you have lived for the past 15 years. Begin with where you live now and work backwards. For any address within the past 3 years that consisted of "General Delivery", a Rural Route, or Star Route, with no designated street address, don’t give that as your address; give where you actually lived and then provide in the space available on page 6 general directions for locating it.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month/Year</th>
<th>Month/Year</th>
<th>Street Address (include apartment number, if any)</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>ZIP Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07/90</td>
<td>07/90</td>
<td>460 Mountain Home Road</td>
<td>Woodside</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>94062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/84</td>
<td>07/90</td>
<td>15900 West Road</td>
<td>Los Gatos</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>95030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/73</td>
<td>11/80</td>
<td>2066 Crist Drive</td>
<td>Los Altos</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>94022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**People Who Knew You.** Use this column only for those residences you show on the left that you occupied during the last 3 years. Across from each such residence, give the name and address of someone who knew you in that neighborhood; preferably someone who still lives there.

### WHERE YOU WENT TO SCHOOL

**Schools You Attended.** In this column, give the information requested below for all schools you have attended beyond junior high school. Begin with the most recent school and work backwards. Use the following codes to indicate the type of school you attended:

1-High School  2-College/University  3-Vocational/Trade School

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month/Year</th>
<th>Month/Year</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Name of School</th>
<th>Degree/Diploma/Other (show each degree and date received if Code 2)</th>
<th>Street Address (include apartment number, if any)</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>ZIP Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07/72</td>
<td>07/74</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Reed College</td>
<td></td>
<td>3203 Eastwood Stock Blvd.</td>
<td>Portland</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>97212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/68</td>
<td>08/72</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Homestead High School</td>
<td></td>
<td>21370 Homestead Road</td>
<td>Cupertino</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>95014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**People Who Knew You.** Use this column only for those schools you show on the left that you attended in the last 3 years. Across from each such school, give the name and address of someone (such as an instructor or student) who knew you at the school.
**Employment History**

Fill in your employment and military history. Begin with the present and work backwards 15 years. Include:

- **Full-Time Work**
  - All full-time work
  - All paid work
  - All part-time work
  - All voluntary work
  - Self-employment

- **Military Service**
  - Active military duty
  - Self-employment
  - All periods of unemployment

- **If you were in the military** list each duty station as a separate period of employment.
- **If you worked under a contract with the Federal Government** name your employer, not the Government agency.
- **If you were self-employed or unemployed** name someone who can verify it.
- **If you list an employer or actual place of employment at a location outside the U.S.** show city and country in the space for city.

Use the following codes for each segment of your employment history:

1. Active military duty
2. National Guard/Reserve
4. Other Federal employment
5. State employment
6. Self-employment
7. Unemployment
8. Other

**Employment**

Provide the information requested for each period of employment. Give the name of your employer. Enter "self-employed" in the box for employer’s name when appropriate, and "unemployed" for periods of unemployment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month/Year</th>
<th>Month/Year</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Your Position</th>
<th>Employer’s Name</th>
<th>Telephone Number</th>
<th>Supervisor’s/Person’s Name</th>
<th>Street Address (if different than employer’s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9/85 to 9/85</td>
<td>9/85 to 9/85</td>
<td>9/85</td>
<td>President</td>
<td>NeXT, Inc.</td>
<td>(415) 366-0900</td>
<td>President</td>
<td>NeXT, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/76 to 9/85</td>
<td>9/85 to 9/85</td>
<td>9/85</td>
<td>Chairman &amp; Founder</td>
<td>Apple Computer</td>
<td>(408) 996-1010</td>
<td>Chairman &amp; Founder</td>
<td>Apple Computer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/74 to 12/75</td>
<td>9/74 to 12/75</td>
<td>9/74</td>
<td>President</td>
<td>Atari, Inc.</td>
<td>(408) 745-2000</td>
<td>President</td>
<td>Atari, Inc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Enter your Social Security Number before going to the next page.**

54-9 - 94 - 32-95
12 PEOPLE WHO KNOW YOU WELL List four people who know you well and live in the United States.

- Don’t list spouse, other relatives, or former spouses.
- Try not to list anyone mentioned in items 9, 10, or 11.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Number of Years Known</th>
<th>Daytime Telephone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Number of Years Known</th>
<th>Daytime Telephone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Home Address</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Number of Years Known</th>
<th>Daytime Telephone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(415)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13a YOUR MEMBERSHIP IN ORGANIZATIONS List all U.S.-based organizations, except labor unions, political, or religious organizations you belonged to in the last 15 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membership From Month/Year To Month/Year</th>
<th>Name of Organization</th>
<th>Nature of Affiliation/Office Held, If Any</th>
<th>Location of Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13b YOUR INVOLVEMENT IN FOREIGN ORGANIZATIONS List any foreign-based political or business organizations of which you have been a member, official, employee, or active participant at any time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Involvement From Month/Year To Month/Year</th>
<th>Name of Foreign Organization</th>
<th>Nature of Affiliation/Office Held, If Any</th>
<th>Location of Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14 FOREIGN COUNTRIES YOU HAVE VISITED Do not include countries covered in items 9, 10, and 11.

- Use appropriate number code to show the purpose of your visit:
  1 - Business
  2 - Pleasure
  3 - Education
  4 - Other

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In Country From Month/Year To Month/Year</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>In Country From Month/Year To Month/Year</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15 PERSONAL CONTACT WITH FOREIGN NATIONALS Have you ever had a personal or continuing contact with a national of a Soviet, Soviet bloc, or communist country? If "YES", provide the information below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period of Contact (From/To)</th>
<th>Name of National</th>
<th>Country of National</th>
<th>Nature of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16a MILITARY AND/OR MERCHANT MARINE SERVICE.

Have you served in the United States military? Yes [x] No

Have you served in the United States Merchant Marine? Yes [x] No

(If you served in the United States military, go to 16b and 16c; if you only served in the United States Merchant Marine, go to 16c; if you answered "NO" to both questions, go to question 17.)

16b CURRENT MILITARY STATUS Mark the box that corresponds to your current military status.

- None
- Active Duty
- Active Reserve
- National Guard
- Inactive Reserve
- Retired

Enter your Social Security Number before going to the next page.
16c ACTIVE SERVICE

- MARK "O" FOR OFFICER OR "E" FOR ENLISTED.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month/Year</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Service or Certificate Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

17 YOUR RELATIVES
Give full names and enter the correct code for all relatives, living or dead, specified below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 - Mother</th>
<th>4 - Stepmother</th>
<th>10 - Stepbrother</th>
<th>13 - Half-sister</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 - Father</td>
<td>5 - Foster parent</td>
<td>11 - Stepsister</td>
<td>14 - Father-in-law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - Stepfather</td>
<td>6 - Child (adopted also)</td>
<td>12 - Half-brother</td>
<td>15 - Mother-in-law</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Full Name (if deceased, check box on the left before entering name) | Code | Date of Birth | Country of Birth | Country of Citizenship | Current Street Address and City (country) of Living Relatives | State |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X Clara Hagopian Jobs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>08/03/24</td>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td></td>
<td>b 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18 YOUR MARITAL STATUS
Mark one of the following boxes to show your current marital status:

- 1 - Never married (go to question 19)
- 2 - Married
- 3 - Separated
- 4 - Legally separated
- 5 - Divorced
- 6 - Widowed

Current Spouse Complete the following about your current spouse:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full Name</th>
<th>Date of Birth</th>
<th>Place of Birth (include country if outside the U.S.)</th>
<th>Social Security Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other Names Used (Specify maiden name, names by other marriages, etc., and show dates used for each name)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country of Citizenship</td>
<td>Date Married</td>
<td>Place Married (Include country if outside the U.S.)</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If Separated, Date of Separation (Mo./Day/Yr.)</td>
<td>If Legally Separated, Where is the Record Located? City (Country)</td>
<td>State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address of Current Spouse (Street, city, and country if outside the U.S.)</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>ZIP Code</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Former Spouse(s) Complete the following about your former spouse(s):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full Name</th>
<th>Date of Birth</th>
<th>Place of Birth (include country if outside the U.S.)</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country of Citizenship</td>
<td>Date Married</td>
<td>Place Married (Include country if outside the U.S.)</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Check One, Then Give Date</td>
<td>Address of Former Spouse (Street, city, and country if outside the U.S.)</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>ZIP Code</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

19 Does the citizen of another country, or a United States citizen by other than birth, live at your residence? If "Yes", provide the information required below. If a United States citizen by other than birth lives with you, show both "United States" and prior country of citizenship below.

Don't list your spouse or other relatives you provided in question 17.

Name of Person | Country of Citizenship | Relationship | State | ZIP Code |
|---------------|------------------------|--------------|-------|---------|

Enter your Social Security Number before going to the next page. 5 4 9 4 3 2 9 5
Part 2

Your Selective Service Record

20a Are you a male born after December 31, 1959? If "Yes", go to 20b. If "No", go to 21.

20b Have you registered with the Selective Service System? If "Yes", give your registration number:

20c If you answered "No", to 20b, are you legally exempt? If "Yes", state the reason for the exemption:

Your Military Record

21a Have you ever received other than an honorable discharge from the military? If "Yes", provide:

Date of Discharge (Month and Year):

Type of Discharge:

21b Have you ever been subject to court-martial or other disciplinary proceedings under the Uniform Code of Military Justice? If "Yes", list any disciplinary proceedings in the last 15 years and all court-martial.

Date (Month/Year) Charge or Specification Place (City and county/country if outside the United States) State

Your Employment Record

22 Has any of the following happened to you in the last 15 years? If "Yes", begin with the most recent occurrence and go backwards, providing date fired, quit, or left, and other information requested.

1. Fired from job
2. Quit a job after being told you’d be fired
3. Left a job by mutual agreement following allegations of misconduct
4. Left a job by mutual agreement following allegations of unsatisfactory performance
5. Left a job for other reasons under unfavorable circumstances

Date (Month/Year) Code Employer’s Name and Address State ZIP Code

09/85 5 Apple Computer CA 95014

Your Police Record

23 If you answer "Yes", to a, b, c, d, or e below, explain your answer(s) in the space provided. Do not include anything that happened before your 16th birthday.

23a Have you ever been arrested, charged, or convicted of a felony offense?

23b Have you ever been arrested, charged, or convicted of a firearms or explosives charge?

23c Are there currently any charges pending against you for any criminal offense?

23d Have you ever been arrested, charged, or convicted of any offenses related to alcohol or drugs?

23e Have you ever been arrested, charged, or convicted of any other type of offense? Leave out traffic fines of less than $100.

Date (Month/Year) Offense Action Taken Law Enforcement Authority or Court (City and county/country if outside the U.S.) State ZIP Code

Your Involvement With Alcohol and Dangerous Drugs, Including Marijuana and Cocaine

24 This item concerns the use of alcoholic beverages, and, the supplying or using, without a prescription, of marijuana, cocaine, hashish, narcotics (opium, morphine, codeine, heroïn, etc.), stimulants (cocaine, amphetamines, etc.), depressants (barbiturates, methaqualone, tranquillizers, etc.), hallucinogenics (LSD, PCP, etc.), or other dangerous or illegal drugs.

24a Do you now use, or within the last 5 years have you used, alcoholic beverages habitually to excess?

24b Do you now use or supply, or within the last 5 years have you used or supplied, marijuana, cocaine, narcotics, hallucinogenics, or other dangerous or illegal drugs?

24c If you answered "Yes" to question a or b above, provide at the top of page 8 information relating to the types of substance(s) used, the periods and frequency of use for each, and any other details or explanation relating to your use of these substances.

Enter your Social Security Number before going to the next page.
### Your Medical Record

25 Have you ever had a nervous breakdown or have you ever had medical treatment for a mental condition? If "Yes", provide information below. Give period or treatment under "From/To" starting from the present.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From (Month/Year)</th>
<th>To (Month/Year)</th>
<th>Name/Address of Person, Hospital, or Institution Providing Treatment (Include country if outside the United States)</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>ZIP Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

If you answered "Yes", to a, b, c, or d above, provide date of initial action and other information requested below.

28a Have you, your spouse, or a company effectively controlled by you filed for bankruptcy?

28b Have you, your spouse, or a company effectively controlled by you been declared bankrupt?

28c Have you, your spouse, or a company effectively controlled by you been subject to a tax lien or other lien?

28d Have you, your spouse, or a company effectively controlled by you had legal judgement rendered against you for a debt?

If you answered "Yes", to a, b, c, or d above, provide date of initial action and other information requested below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date (Month/Year)</th>
<th>Type of Action</th>
<th>Name Action Occurred Under</th>
<th>Name/Address of Court or Agency Handling Case</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>ZIP Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

29 Are you now over ninety (90) days delinquent on any loan or financial obligation? Include delinquent loans or obligations funded or guaranteed by the Federal Government. (If your answer is "Yes", provide date loan or obligation was made and other information requested below.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date (Month/Year)</th>
<th>Type of Loan or Obligation</th>
<th>Name/Address of Creditor or Obligee</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>ZIP Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### Your Association Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30a Have you ever been a member, officer, or employee of the Communist Party?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 30b Have you ever been a member, officer, or employee of any organization, association, or group which:  
  1) advocates the overthrow of our Government; 2) advocates or approves of committing acts of force or violence to deny others their constitutional rights; or 3) wants to change our form of government by unconstitutional means? |     |    |
| 30c Have you ever made a financial or other material contribution to any organization of the type described in Questions 30a or 30b?  
If you answered "Yes", to 30a, 30b, or 30c, answer 30d, 30e, and 30f. |     |    |
| 30d At the time of your membership, participation, or contribution did you know of the unlawful aims of the organization(s)? |     |    |
| 30e Did you intend to promote the unlawful aims of the organization(s)? |     |    |
| 30f List each organization and provide an explanation of your involvement and activities with each one: |     |    |

### Continuation Space

Use the continuation sheet(s) (SF 86A) for additional answers to questions 9, 10, and 11. Use the space below to continue answers to all other questions and any information you would like to add. If more space is needed than what is provided below, use a blank sheet(s) of paper. Start each sheet with your name and Social Security Number. Before each answer, identify the number of the question.

---

After completing Parts 1 and 2 of this form, you should review your answers to all questions to make sure the form is complete and accurate, and then sign and date the following certification and sign and date the release on page 10.

#### Certification That My Answers Are True

I read and understood the instructions explaining the purpose of this form and the Federal Government's authority for asking the questions. I read each question asked of me and understood each question. I understand that if I did not tell the truth on this form or did not list all relevant or material facts or events, the Federal Government may fine me, may not hire me, may deny or revoke my clearance, or may prosecute me. I understand that prosecution may result in my being fined up to $10,000, imprisoned up to 5 years, or both.

**Signature:** [Signature]

**Date:** February 6, 1991

Enter your Social Security Number before going to the next page.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Carefully read this authorization to release information about you, then sign and date it in ink.

AUTHORITY FOR RELEASE OF INFORMATION

I Authorize any duly accredited representative of the Federal Government, including those from the U.S. Office of Personnel Management, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the Department of Defense, to obtain any information relating to my activities from schools, residential management agents, employers, criminal justice agencies, financial or lending institutions, credit bureaus, consumer reporting agencies, retail business establishments, medical institutions, hospitals or other repositories of medical records, or individuals. This information may include, but is not limited to, my academic, residential, achievement, performance, attendance, personal history, disciplinary, criminal history record, arrest, conviction, medical, psychiatric/psychological, and financial and credit information.

I Further Authorize the U.S. Office of Personnel Management, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Department of Defense, and any other authorized agency, to request criminal history record information about me from criminal justice agencies for the purpose of determining my eligibility for access to classified information, or assignment to, or retention in, sensitive national security duties, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 9101.

I Direct You To Release such information upon request of the duly accredited representative of any authorized agency regardless of any agreement I may have made with you previously to the contrary.

I Understand that the information you release is for official use by the Federal Government, and that these users may redisclose the information you release as authorized by law.

I Release any individual, including records custodians, from all liability for damages that may result to me on account of compliance or any attempts to comply with this authorization. This release is binding, now and in the future, on my heirs, assigns, associates, and personal representative(s) of any nature. Copies of this authorization that show my signature are as valid as the original release signed by me.

[Signature (Sign in ink)]
Steve Jobs
Full Name (Typed)
Steven P. Jobs

Social Security Number
549 - 94 - 3295

Date
2/6/91

Page 10
SUPPLEMENT TO STANDARD FORM 86 (SF-86)
(Attach additional pages if necessary)

1S. Please list names of all corporations, firms, partnerships or other business enterprises, and all nonprofit organizations and other institutions with which you are now, or during the past five years have been, affiliated as an officer, owner, director, trustee, partner, advisor, attorney or consultant. In addition, please provide the names of any other organizations with which you were affiliated prior to the past five years that might present a potential conflict or appearance of conflict of interest with your prospective appointment. (Please note that in the case of an attorney's client listing, it is only necessary to provide the names of major clients and those that might present a potential conflict or appearance of conflict of interest with the prospective appointment).

1) Pixar  
1001 W. Cutting Blvd.  
Richmond, CA 94804

2) Next, Inc.  
900 Chesapeake Drive  
Redwood City, CA 94063

2S. Please list all your interests in real property, other than a personal residence, setting forth the nature of your interest, the type of property and the address.

1) Apartment (owner)  
146 Central Park West  
New York, NY 10023

2) House (vacant) Owner  
460 Mountain Home Road  
Woodside, CA 94062

3S. Have you or any firm, company or other entity with which you have been associated ever been convicted of a violation of any Federal, state, county or municipal law, regulation or ordinance? If so, please provide full details.

NO

4S. Have you or any firm, company or other entity with which you have been associated ever been the subject of Federal, state or local investigation for possible violation of a criminal statute? If so, please give full details.

NO

5S. Have you ever been involved in civil or criminal litigation, or in administrative or legislative proceedings of any kind, either as a plaintiff, defendant, respondent, witness or party in interest? If so, please give full details identifying dates, issues litigated and the location where the civil action is recorded.

SEE ATTACHED

ENCLOSURE
5s. All but the last one have been dismissed and are no longer pending.


6S. Have you ever been disciplined or cited for a breach of ethics or unprofessional conduct by, or been the
subject of a complaint to any court, administrative agency, professional association, disciplinary committee, or
other professional group? If so, please give full details.

NO

7S. Have you ever run for political office, served on a political committee or been identified in a public way with a
particular organization, candidate or issue? Have any complaints been lodged against you or your political
committee with the Federal Election Commission or state or local election authorities? If so, please describe.

NO

8S. Are you currently, or have you ever been, a member or office holder in any club or organization that restricts or
restricted membership on the basis of sex, race, color, religion, national origin, age or handicap? If so, provide
the name, address and dates of membership for each.

NO

9S. Please identify any adults (18 years or older) currently living with you who are not members of your immediate
family. Provide the names of those individuals, dates and places of birth, and whether or not they are United
States citizens.

NONE

10S. Is there anything in your personal life that could be used by someone to coerce or blackmail you? Is there
anything in your life that could cause an embarrassment to you or to the President if publicly known? If so,
please provide full details.

NO

---

I understand that the information being provided on this supplement to the SF-86 is to be
considered part of the original SF-86 dated 2/6/91 and a false statement on
this form is punishable by law.

[Signature]
INVESTIGATIVE SUPPORT INFORMATION SYSTEM (ISIS)
SIX WAY SEARCH
FOR UNIT: SOW AS OF FEBRUARY 22, 1991
ANALYST: 

APPLICANT NAME: JOBS STEVEN PAUL
NAME SEARCH: JOBS STEVEN PAUL

NAME FOUND DATA BASE FILE NO.

* * * THERE ARE NO NAMES IN ISIS MATCHING THIS REQUEST * * *
INVESTIGATIVE SUPPORT INFORMATION SYSTEM (ISIS)
SIX WAY SEARCH
FOR UNIT : SIMILAR TO FEBRUARY 22 1991
PAGE NO: 19

APPLICANT NAME : JOBS STEVEN PAUL

NAME SEARCH : 

NAME FOUND  DATA BASE  FILE NO.

+ + + THERE ARE NO NAMES IN ISIS MATCHING THIS REQUEST + + +
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME SEARCH</th>
<th>DATA BASE</th>
<th>FILE NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b7C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* * * THERE ARE NO NAMES IN ISIS MATCHING THIS REQUEST * * *
NAMES NOT FOUND WITHIN THE SPIN UNIT
29 FEB 91
ANALYST

APPLICANT

NAME

JOBS STEVEN PAUL
THE BUREAU HAS BEEN REQUESTED TO CONDUCT AN EXPEDITE BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION OF THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED SUBJECT, WHO IS BEING CONSIDERED FOR PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENT. YOU ARE REQUESTED TO CHECK APPROPRIATE INDICES BASED UPON AVAILABLE INFORMATION CONCERNING SUBJECT, EMPLOYMENT, AND ALL CLOSE RELATIVES. IT IS REQUESTED THAT THE RESULTS OF YOUR CHECK, WHETHER POSITIVE OR NEGATIVE, BE INDICATED IN THE SPACES PROVIDED BELOW, AND RELAYED TO THE SPECIAL INQUIRY UNIT, RM 4371, VIA ROUTING SLIP MARKED 'URGENT'.

SUBJECT IS DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:
RESULT NAME: STEVEN PAUL JOBS
DOB: 02/24/55
POB: SAN FRANCISCO, CA
SSAN: 549-94-3295
CURRENT ADDRESS: 
EMPLOYMENT: NEXT, INC
900 CHESAPEAKE DR REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063

CLOSE RELATIVES
RESULT NAME

DOB RESIDENCE

CHECK CONDUCTED BY: [Signature] ON 03/07/91
INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN HAS BEEN OBLiterated
FROM SENSITIVE MATERIAL. THE DISCLOSURE AND/OR
DESTRUCTION OF WHICH MAY BE SUBJECT TO COURT ORDER.
NOTE: No determination could be made by FRIBO ELSUR INDEX as to whether or not the attached are identical with subject/subjects of your request. That determination and any resultant leads will be the responsibility of the field offices.

In the event search-results require a communication to the field, insure that a tickler of that communication is forwarded to the ELSUR INDEX for administrative purposes.
ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

TO: INFORMATION MANAGEMENT DIVISION, ELSUR INDEX
   ROOM 4997, TL 114
   DATE: 02/22/91

FROM: X CID   LCD   INTD   RMS   OTHER

-------------------------------
PRIORITY:   EXPEDITE, WILL PICK UP;
   ROUTINE
   X DATE NEEDED: 02/28/91

-------------------------------
REQUEST FOR SEARCH OF ELSUR INDEX FOR THE PURPOSE OF:
   - TITLE III APPLICATION  FBI   DEA   X SPIN/DAPLI
   - FISC APPLICATION
   - LEGAL MOTION (DOJ)
   - FIOPA
   - SPU
   - INVESTIGATIVE LEAD
   - OTHER

(ONE OF THE ABOVE MUST BE CHECKED BEFORE SEARCH WILL BE CONDUCTED.)

-------------------------------
REQUESTING/AUTHORIZING AGENT   COMPLETE AND RETURN TO:
   NAME
   NAME
   EXT. ROOM TL#
   2587 4371 114

-------------------------------
NAME; TELEPHONE #; VIN; OR
ADDRESS TO BE SEARCHED

STEVEN PAUL JOBS (Steve) Jobs

-------------------------------
KNOWN ALIASES
SEARCH RESULTS

-------------------------------
SEARCHED BY
DATE 2/28/91

FILE: 1618-23908
TO: NEXIS - ATT: (DATA BANK)
FROM: SPECIAL INQUIRY UNIT ROOM 4371, TL# 114
SUBJECT: STEVEN PAUL JOBS BUDED: 03/07/91

THE BUREAU HAS BEEN REQUESTED TO CONDUCT AN EXPEDITE BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION OF THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED SUBJECT, WHO IS BEING CONSIDERED FOR PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENT. YOU ARE REQUESTED TO CHECK APPROPRIATE INDICES BASED UPON AVAILABLE INFORMATION CONCERNING SUBJECT, EMPLOYMENT, AND ALL CLOSE RELATIVES. IT IS REQUESTED THAT THE RESULTS OF YOUR CHECK, WHETHER POSITIVE OR NEGATIVE, BE INDICATED IN THE SPACES PROVIDED BELOW, AND RELAYED TO THE SPECIAL INQUIRY UNIT, RM 4371, VIA ROUTING SLIP MARKED 'URGENT'.

SUBJECT IS DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

RESULT NAME: STEVEN PAUL JOBS
DOB: 02/24/55 SSN: 549-94-3295
DOB:   02/24/55 EMPL: NEXT, INC
POB: SAN FRANCISCO, CA
CURRENT RESIDENCE: 900 CHESAPEAKE DR REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063
EMPLOYMENT: NEXT, INC

CHECK CONDUCTED BY: ______________________, ON 2/27/91
The White House
Washington, D.C.

Dear [blank]:

Reference is made to my letter dated April 2, 1991, which furnished your office the partial results of a background investigation concerning Mr. Steven Paul Jobs.

Transmitted herewith is a summary memorandum containing the results of an additional inquiry in this matter.

Investigation determined that in 1984, Mr. Jobs who was the Chief Executive Officer of Apple Computer, Incorporated; other company officers; and the Board of Directors for Apple were named in a class action lawsuit which was brought on by the shareholders of Apple. The trial in this case begins on April 22, 1991. Absent a specific request from your office, we will not pursue this matter.

This completes our investigation.

Sincerely yours,

William M. Baker
Assistant Director
Criminal Investigative Division

Enclosure

RETURN TO [blank] ROOM 4371
STEVEN PAUL JOBS

The information in this summary memorandum supplements the information contained in a summary memorandum dated April 2, 1991, and completes the investigation.

Miscellaneous

As indicated in the prior summary memorandum dated April 2, 1991, Mr. Jobs indicated on his Standard Form 86 dated February 6, 1991, that he was involved in a lawsuit filed in 1984 against Apple Computer, Incorporated, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Number C-84-20148 RPA, which is still pending. Issues involved alleged violation of Section 10 (b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. A check of the records of the United States District Court, San Jose, California, determined that this file consists of ten volumes, only five of which could be located. Volume one, containing the original complaint and details of the matter was one of the unavailable volumes.

On April 17, 1991, Apple Computer, Incorporated, Cupertino, California, was interviewed by the FBI concerning this pending lawsuit. Mr. Jobs stated that in 1984, Mr. Jobs, who was the Chief Executive Officer for Apple, along with other company officers and Board of Directors for Apple, were named in a class action lawsuit which was brought on by the shareholders of Apple. Mr. Jobs advised that the trial in this case begins on April 22, 1991, and will be heard in Federal District Court. The docket number for this case is C8420148 (ARPA). Incorporated in this summary memorandum are the results of interview.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

4/17/91

Date of transcription

Apple Computer, Incorporated, was contacted at his employment at, Cupertino, California. He was advised as to the nature of this investigation and voluntarily advised as follows.

He stated that he is speaking on behalf of who is out of the United States presently and will return on Monday, April 22, 1991. He advised that during 1984, STEPHEN PAUL JOBS who was the Chief Executive Officer for Apple, along with other Company Officers and the Board of Directors for Apple were named in a class action law suit which was brought on by the shareholders of Apple. The law suit was predicated on the 1982-83 announcement of the LISA Computer which was touted as one of the top products which Apple had ever introduced into the computer market for personal computers.

stated that the basis of the lawsuit was the Company's decision to advertise the positive features of the LISA computer, without also describing the potential problems concerning this computer. In addition, the LISA when introduced was priced at around $10,000 in January, 1983 and the MacINTOSH which was introduced in January, 1984 was priced at around $2,500. stated the LISA was actually the predecessor of the MacINTOSH which as is well known did considerably better in sales and profit for the Company than the LISA.

stated that a drop in Apple stock based upon the failure of the LISA to engender profits for the Company caused the shareholders to bring a class action suit against the Company for failure to disclose the potential problems of the LISA computer which caused shareholders to lose money based upon the devaluation of Apple stock. explained that these kinds of lawsuits are fairly common in the Silicon Valley, however, he is convinced that the Company and JOBS acted in good faith and were not able to predict what would happen after the LISA was introduced.

stated that the lawsuit was appealed by the Company and was denied certiorari by the United States Supreme Court. The Ninth District Court of Appeals in its findings stated

Investigation on 4/17/91 at Cupertino, California File 161B-HQ-23908

by SA 1/bw Date dictated 4/17/91
that all but two of the actions on the part of the Company were not actionable. The two statements which were found which merited a trial were issues involving the "unifile" and "dual-file" disk drives which apparently JOBS decided to use a different disk drive than was intended for these two software programs when upgrading the Apple I computer to the Apple II. _____ stated these programs were announced in November, 1982 and represented a small profit for Apple in the amount of $5,000,000, in terms of their other products.

_______ stated that trial in this case begins on Monday, April 22, 1991 and will be heard in Federal District Court by Judge JAMES WARE of the Northern District of California. The docket number for this case is: C8420148 (ARPA). _____ stated he would apprise the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) of the status of the case as appropriate.

_____ stated that other than this lawsuit, he was not aware of any other pending lawsuit against STEPHEN PAUL JOBS.
The White House  
Washington, D.C.

Dear [Name]:

In accordance with a request received from your office dated February 15, 1991, a Level III background investigation was initiated concerning Mr. Steven Paul Jobs. Transmitted herewith is a summary memorandum containing the partial results of this investigation, along with copies of interviews providing details of information contained in this summary memorandum.

You will be advised when additional information concerning a pending 1984 lawsuit involving Mr. Jobs becomes available.

Sincerely yours,

William M. Baker  
Assistant Director  
Criminal Investigative Division

Enclosures (3)

NOTE: This case was opened on February 21, 1991. Mr. Jobs is currently the President of NeXT, Incorporated, Redwood City, California. He is being investigated for a Presidential appointment to the President's Export Council.

On 2/28/91, Special Agent (SA) [Name] FBI San Francisco, telephonically advised that he attempted to arrange an appointment with Mr. Jobs to conduct an appointee interview.

Pending Inactive

Note Continue Next Page
Mr. Jobs' secretary informed SA that Mr. Jobs was unavailable for an interview for three weeks. Mr. Jobs could not even see SA for one hour.

On 2/28/91, SSA, Spin Unit, telephonically advised the White House, that Mr. Jobs was unavailable for an interview with the FBI for three weeks.

Several individuals commented concerning past drug use on the part of Mr. Jobs.

Several individuals questioned Mr. Jobs' honesty stating that Mr. Jobs will twist the truth and distort reality in order to achieve his goals. They also commented that, in the past, Mr. Jobs was not supportive of (the mother of his child born out of wedlock) and their daughter; however, recently has become more supportive.

A review of NEXIS and an interview of Mr. Jobs provide details of Mr. Jobs' resignation from Apple Computer and the lawsuits in which Mr. Jobs was named as a defendant.

Mr. Jobs also commented concerning his past drug use.

A March 11, 1991, interview of and a March 14, 1991, interview of from the San Francisco facsimile dated March 26, 1991, were sent to the White House.

Incorporated into the summary memorandum are the results of interviews of Mr. Jobs from San Francisco report dated March 20, 1991, and from San Francisco facsimile dated March 26, 1991.

Mr. Jobs indicated on his SF-86 that he was involved in a lawsuit filed in 1984 in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, Number C-84-20148 RPA. Issues involved alleged violation of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. A check of the records of the U.S. District Court, San Jose, California, by the FBI San Francisco determined that this file consists of ten volumes, only five of which could be located. Volume one, containing the original complaint and details of the matter was one of the unavailable volumes. Additional attempts to obtain details concerning this lawsuit remain outstanding. (San Francisco)
STEWEN PAUL JOBS

THE INVESTIGATION OF MR. JOBS COVERED INQUIRIES IN THE
UNITED STATES AS TO HIS CHARACTER, LOYALTY, AND GENERAL STANDING,
BUT NO INQUIRIES WERE MADE AS TO THE SOURCES OF HIS INCOME.

This summary memorandum contains the partial results of an investigation concerning Mr. Jobs. Additional investigation is being conducted.

Birth

Mr. Jobs was born on February 24, 1955, in San Francisco, California.

It should be noted that Mr. Jobs is adopted and a review of his birth certificate lists his parents as [Redacted] and Clara Hagopian.

Education

Mr. Jobs graduated from Homestead High School, Cupertino, California, in June, 1972.

Military Service

Mr. Jobs has indicated no prior military service.

Employment

From February, 1981, to September, 1985, Mr. Jobs continued to be employed as chairman and founder of Apple Computer, Incorporated (ACI), Cupertino, California. (Refer to the Interviews section of this summary memorandum for additional information concerning this employment.)

Since September, 1985, Mr. Jobs has been self-employed as president of NeXT Computer, Incorporated, Redwood City, California.

In addition to the above-mentioned employments, Mr. Jobs is also the owner of PIXAR Software Company, Richmond, California.
Steven Paul Jobs

Family Status

Mr. Jobs resides at [ ] California, with [ ]. Mr. Jobs indicated during a routine interview that on March 18, 1991, he would marry [ ]

Mr. Jobs' mother, Clara Hagopian Jobs, is deceased. Mr. Jobs has listed the following living close relatives:

Father [ ]
Sister [ ]
Sister [ ]
Daughter [ ]

Based on the background information furnished by Mr. Jobs, he has no close relatives residing in communist-controlled countries.

Interviews

[ ] for ACI, Cupertino, California, commented that Mr. Jobs left his employment with ACI due to differences in management style and philosophy between himself and [ ] of the company. They offered favorable comments concerning Mr. Jobs' character, reputation, associates and loyalty. They are unaware of any illegal drug use or alcohol abuse by Mr. Jobs and they have never observed Mr. Jobs express any bias or prejudice. They recommended him for a position of trust with the Government.

[ ] at ACI, stated that during the late 1960s and early 1970s, Mr. Jobs may have experimented with illegal drugs, having come from that generation. [ ] could not provide any further details concerning this matter. However, [ ] is unaware of any current drug use by Mr. Jobs. He also offered favorable comments concerning Mr. Jobs' character, reputation, loyalty and associates. [ ] has never observed Mr. Jobs express any bias or prejudice and he recommended Mr. Jobs for a position of a trust and confidence.
Steven Paul Jobs

ACI, advised that he has been acquainted with Mr. Jobs for approximately years, when he began employment with ACI. He commented favorably concerning Mr. Jobs' character, integrity and associates. He knew of no alcohol abuse or drug use on the part of Mr. Jobs.

further stated that Mr. Jobs always conducted his business dealings in a reputable manner; however, he (Mr. Jobs) was sued by ACI when he left the company. explained that, when Mr. Jobs resigned from ACI, he took proprietary information and key technological personnel with him. That prompted the lawsuit, which has since been resolved.

advised that he has never observed Mr. Jobs express any bias or prejudice and stated that he (Mr. Jobs) lives within his financial means. reiterated that Mr. Jobs resigned from ACI and that he was not fired. recommended Mr. Jobs for a position of trust and confidence with the United States Government.

California, advised that he has been acquainted with Mr. Jobs since. He characterized Mr. Jobs as a deceptive individual who is not completely forthcoming and honest. He stated that Mr. Jobs will twist the truth and distort reality in order to achieve his goals.

also advised that he was aware that Mr. Jobs used illegal drugs, including marijuana and LSD, while they were attending college. was aware of Mr. Jobs' use of illegal drugs from reports of mutual friends and an admission of same from Mr. Jobs. commented that he has never personally observed Mr. Jobs use any drugs or abuse alcohol.

further stated that, approximately years ago, Mr. Jobs and his girlfriend,
Steven Paul Jobs

had a daughter born out of wedlock. The daughter is related that Mr. Jobs mistreated them by not supporting them; however, recently Mr. Jobs has been more supportive. He commented that would be able to provide additional information concerning this.

concluded the interview by stating that even though he does not consider Mr. Jobs to be a friend, he (Mr. Jobs) possesses the qualities to assume a high level political position. It was opinion that honesty and integrity are not required qualities to hold such a position. recommended him for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

California, advised he has been acquainted with Mr. Jobs since

individual possessing high moral character and integrity. He was unaware of any illegal drug use or alcohol abuse on the part of Mr. Jobs. He commented that Mr. Jobs lives within his financial means. recommended Mr. Jobs for a position of trust and confidence.

Palo Alto, California, advised that he has been acquainted with Mr. Jobs since when they

Approximately years ago, Mr. Jobs and had a child born out of wedlock. continued that Mr. Jobs basically abandoned and their daughter; however, lately he has been more supportive of them.

advised that he is no longer friends with Mr. Jobs. He feels bitter towards and alienated by Mr. Jobs based upon his association with Mr. Jobs at ACI. He characterized Mr. Jobs as an honest and trustworthy individual;
Steven Paul Jobs

however, his moral character is questionable. He explained he did not receive any stock, which would have made him quite wealthy now.

commented that, although he does not consider Mr. Jobs to be a friend at this time, he considers Mr. Jobs to be a successful individual because he can delegate tasks to individuals. However, Mr. Jobs alienated a lot of people at ACI as a result of his ambition. Advised that Mr. Jobs associates with reputable individuals.

is unaware of any illegal drug use or alcohol abuse by Mr. Jobs and he commented that Mr. Jobs has never expressed any bias or prejudice. believes that Mr. Jobs could succeed in a political position, based upon his method of operation and past record. He recommended Mr. Jobs for a position of trust and responsibility.

Two individuals, who were acquainted with Mr. Jobs while they were employed at ACI, offered favorable comments concerning Mr. Jobs. They stated that he is strongwilled, stubborn, hardworking and driven, which they believe is why he is so successful. They further stated, however, that Mr. Jobs possesses integrity as long as he gets his way; however, they did not elaborate on this. Both of these individuals never observed Mr. Jobs use illegal drugs or abuse alcohol. They recommended him for a position of trust and confidence with the United States Government.

On March 26, 1991, Palo Alto, California, was interviewed by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) concerning Mr. Jobs.
On March 26, 1991, [redacted] was contacted at California and was advised as to the nature of the investigation and voluntarily advised as follows.

She stated she was somewhat reluctant to discuss the Appointee since she has questions concerning his ethics and his morality.

In the Appointee grew up in the late sixties and early seventies when drug use was prevalent and that she and the Appointee both experimented with various drugs although she would not be more specific as to the kinds of drugs involved, other than to say that experimentation was involved. She added that the Appointee is extremely health conscious now and rarely even drinks but will occasionally have wine socially.

She advised that she has never observed the Appointee express any bias or prejudice toward anyone for any reason, racial or otherwise.

She added that a 1983 Time Magazine article by [redacted] (Last Name Unknown) provided a rather accurate description of the Appointee, in terms of his being a visionary and charismatic individual who was at the same time shallow and callous to people in his personal relationships. She described his personal life as being lacking due to his narcissism and shallowness. She added at the same time that he has far reaching vision and can vitalize plans and goals.
much the same as what he accomplished at Apple Computers. She also stated that his success at Apple which provided an enormous amount of power for the Appointee also caused him at times to lose sight of honesty and integrity and even caused him to distort the truth at times to get his way.

She concluded by stating that despite the Appointee's faults, she believes he is an extremely bright and competent individual who would serve well in a position of trust and confidence by his sense of vision as she previously related along with his indefatigable energy. She therefore recommended him for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.
Steven Paul Jobs

Twenty-nine additional persons, consisting of colleagues, neighbors, references, professional associates, and social acquaintances, were interviewed. They provided favorable comments concerning Mr. Jobs' character, associates, reputation, and loyalty. They stated they are unaware of any current illegal drug use or alcohol abuse by Mr. Jobs, nor have they ever known him to exhibit any type of bias or prejudice against any class of citizen or any type of religious, racial or ethnic group. They also commented that they believe Mr. Jobs lives within his financial means. They recommended him for a position of trust and responsibility.

Among those interviewed are the following:

- NeXT Computer, Incorporated, Redwood City, California;
- , IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York; and
- IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York.

Credit and Arrest Checks

A review of appropriate credit records revealed no pertinent information concerning Mr. Jobs.

Information has been received from appropriate law enforcement agencies indicating their files contain no record concerning Mr. Jobs.

Miscellaneous

During a routine interview of Mr. Jobs conducted by the FBI on March 13, 1991, he commented concerning his resignation from ACI, and his past drug use. Mr. Jobs also advised that he was not personally involved in any lawsuits as a plaintiff or a defendant. He did state, however, that he was involved in two lawsuits in his capacity as a former officer of ACI. In both of those lawsuits, he was paid restitution by the company. A copy of Mr. Jobs' interview is incorporated in this summary memorandum.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 3/15/91

STEVEN PAUL JOBS, having been advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and the nature of the interview, was interviewed at NeXt Computer Inc., 900 Chesapeake Drive. He was apprised of the fact that the FBI was conducting the investigation at the request of others and played no part in whether he received an appointment. He was further advised that the FBI was not limited to information he provided, in conducting the investigation, and that the results of the investigation would determine his suitability for an appointment or security clearance.

He advised that to the best of his knowledge the information provided on the SF-86 was true and complete. In the way of clarification he advised that he was adopted and he had seen a copy of his birth certificate showing his adopted parents as the individuals on the document. He had a daughter, who resided at California. to was his sister and was his sister.

He advised that he currently was living at California, with his fiancé .

He and were planning on getting married March 18, 1991.

He was currently the president NeXt Computer Inc., which he had formed after being fired from Apple Computer. At the time of his dismissal he was the general manager of the Macintosh Division of Apple and had differences of opinion as to the direction of the company with the chief executive officer . After being fired as the general manager of the Macintosh Division, he remained in his position as chairman of the board of Apple Computer for approximately six months and then resigned his board position to form NeXt Computer Inc. In addition to his position at NeXt he also was the owner of Pixar Software Company where he was the CEO and Chairman. of the company could substantiate his involvement in the company.

Investigation on 3/13/91 at Redwood City, California File # 161B-HQ-23908 by SA vjd Date dictated 3/14/91
He owned three properties which included a house at 460 Mountain Home Road, Woodside, California, an apartment at 146 Central Park West, New York, New York, and a home at 2101 Waverly, Palo Alto, California.

He belonged to no organizations other than the New York Athletic Club however he had never been in the New York Athletic Club and knew nothing with regard to their membership policies. He had extensive foreign travel and had been to Japan and the Soviet Union.

He had never been contacted by any foreign nationals or to his knowledge, representatives of any foreign intelligence personnel.

He had no military service and he had not been let go or dismissed from any job other than being fired from Apple Computer. He had never been charged, arrested or convicted of any offenses.

He had not used any illegal drugs in the past five years however during the period of approximately 1970 - 1974 he experimented with marijuana, hashish, and LSD. This was during high school and college and he mostly used these substances by himself. He never sold any drugs and no one who could substantiate his use came readily to mind. He had never abused alcohol and did not have a prescription drug abuse problem. He had never received treatment for alcoholic abuse or drug usage and he had not received any counseling or therapy for any type of mental condition.

He had never filed for or declared bankruptcy and had no delinquent debts. He had no student loans.

He was not personally involved in any lawsuits as a plaintiff or defendant. He was however associated with two lawsuits in his capacity as a prior officer of Apple Computer. In both of those suits which he listed on the SF-86 he was indemnified by the company. The two other suits brought against him were dismissed and were a matter of record.
He was not a member of the communist party and did not belong to any organizations that espoused the overthrow of the government.

He could think of nothing in his past or present activities that could be used to coerce or blackmail him.

He was provided with the writer's telephone number and advised that should he have any questions or think of any additional information he should feel free to contact the writer at the San Francisco office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.
Steven Paul Jobs

Mr. Jobs indicated on his Standard Form 86 (SF-86) dated February 6, 1991, that he was involved in three lawsuits in 1985 and 1987.

He also indicated on his SF-86 that he was involved in a lawsuit filed in 1984 against ACI in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, Number C-84-20148 RPA, which is still pending. Issues involved alleged violation of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. A check of the records of the U.S. District Court, San Jose, California, determined that this file consists of ten volumes, only five of which could be located. Volume one, containing the original complaint and details of the matter was one of the unavailable volumes. Additional attempts to obtain details concerning this lawsuit remain outstanding.

A check of the records of the Santa Clara County Superior Court, (SCCSC) San Jose, California, indicated that on September 23, 1985, ACI, filed a complaint against Steven P. Jobs and another individual, alleging that they secretly planned the formation of an enterprise to compete with ACI; they lured top executives away from ACI to head up the new enterprise; sold ACI stock under false pretenses in order to finance the new enterprise, and planned to use ACI technology which would be a misappropriation of confidential and proprietary information. On January 21, 1986, after an out of court settlement was reached, the case was dismissed without prejudice. Details of the settlement were not reflected in the record.

SCCSC records also disclosed that on October 23, 1987, filed a complaint against Mr. Jobs and NeXT, Incorporated. That complaint alleged that she left ACI to accept a position at NeXT, Incorporated, at a salary of $80,000 a year, plus a large stock option. She stated she was under the impression that this job would be long term in nature; alleged that this was a breach of an implied contract.

In response to the allegation, Mr. Jobs indicated that he did offer her a position with NeXT, Incorporated; however, he did not make her leave ACI. She accepted the position in September, 1985, but had difficulty getting people to work for her. Mr. Jobs advised that other positions were offered to her at the same salary, but none were acceptable to her.

On November 15, 1989, a judgment was rendered in favor of Mr. Jobs. An appeal was filed by [blank], but that appeal has been dismissed.
Steven Paul Jobs

A check of the records of the Superior Court for
San Mateo County, Redwood City, California, indicated that on
filed a complaint against ACI, et al, for breach of contract and
other related claims. Mr. Jobs was not personally named as a
defendant rather he was named solely as an officer of ACI in this
matter. A dismissal was filed on September 6, 1988, with
prejudice (cannot file again) of the entire action.

A review of NEXIS, a computerized news retrieval
service, disclosed numerous articles regarding Mr. Jobs.
However, those articles contained no additional pertinent
information regarding Mr. Jobs.

Agency Checks

A check of the Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs
Information System (NADDS), a data base operated by the Drug
Enforcement Administration, disclosed no record concerning
Mr. Jobs or his close relatives.

A check of the records of the San Jose, California,
Better Business Bureau, disclosed no record concerning Mr. Jobs.
It did reveal, however, several complaints concerning ACI. It
was noted that ACI was always very responsive to all complaints
filed, and its reputation is considered outstanding.

During the course of this investigation, the records of
the following entities were checked and found to contain either
no record or no additional pertinent information concerning
Mr. Jobs, unless otherwise noted in this summary memorandum:

Consumer Fraud Division of District Attorney's Office
of San Mateo County, California;
Appropriate California Better Business Bureaus;
State of California Attorney General's Office;
Office of Personnel Management;
Defense Industrial Security Clearance Office;
Public Integrity Section and
appropriate United States Attorneys,
Department of Justice;
Securities and Exchange Commission;
United States Secret Service;
and the White House Office.
Steven Paul Jobs

Searches of the various indices of the FBI, including but not limited to the central index maintained at FBI Headquarters, the index of the Identification Division, the indices of appropriate field offices and other appropriate computer data bases, did not identify any documents that contain pertinent information identifiable with Mr. Jobs, his close relatives, or [REDACTED].

It should be noted that the currency of the data input into the various indices can vary from days to even months from the date of the document as a result of existing clerical backlogs throughout the FBI.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

On March 11, 1991, ___________________ was contacted at his employment at ___________________ located at California. He was advised as to the nature of the investigation and voluntarily advised as follows, however, did not request confidentiality.

He described the Appointee as an individual who was not totally forthright and honest and has a tendency to distort reality in order to achieve his goals. He offered a comparison in that he has high ethical standards and does business with people using this standard, however, the Appointee will twist the truth in order to achieve whatever goal he has set for himself. He therefore considered the Appointee to be a deceptive person.

He provided an example of this deceptive characteristic of the Appointee.

he had heard reports from mutual friends and also an admission by the Appointee that he freely used illicit drugs while in College, to include marijuana and LSD. He never actually observed the Appointee use any drugs or consume alcohol to excess.

He also stated that the Appointee while living with his high school girlfriend had a daughter out of wedlock. This woman's name is __________ and they had a daughter who is probably years old now. Her name is __________. He advised that during their early relationship, the Appointee mistreated both ________

March 11, 1991 Los Gatos, California 161B-HQ-23908

By ______________________/bw Date dictated 3/26/91
and her daughter, by not supporting them; however, he understands that he has of late, been more supportive of his daughter and her mother.

He added that along these same lines, an individual named [REDACTED] would also be able to shed more light on the Appointee and this relationship he had with [REDACTED]. He also provided names of others who would know the Appointee well as follows: [REDACTED] at [REDACTED] for Apple Computers; [REDACTED] who was instrumental and can be reached at [REDACTED] for MacINTOSH products and can be reached at Apple, who is also at Apple, as well as [REDACTED].

He concluded by saying that although he does not consider the Appointee to be a personal friend, he believed the Appointee has what it takes to assume a high level political position within the Government, which in his opinion, honesty and integrity are not prerequisites to assume such a position. He therefore recommended the Appointee for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.
On March 14, 1991, ___________ was contacted at his residence located at ___________ Palo Alto, California and was advised as to the nature of the investigation and he voluntarily advised as follows. ___________ stated he was presently self-employed and in the process of starting up a company.

He stated he has known the Appointee since ___________.

although the Appointee is basically an honest and trustworthy person, he is a complex individual and his moral character is suspect. He stated he is no longer friends with the Appointee and feels bitter and somewhat alienated, based upon having to work for him at Apple. He explained that he did not receive any stock as a result, which would obviously have made him quite wealthy now. He stated the Appointee alienated a large number of people at Apple, as a result of his ambition. He added that the Appointee is technically oriented but is in the opinion of many, not an engineer, since he never actually graduated from College with a degree in engineering. He stated the Appointee has been successful in business by delegating tasks to people. In this respect, he considers the Appointee to be a successful individual and has what it takes to succeed in politics to include governmental politics.

He advised that the Appointee:

He stated the Appointee had met ___________ in high school and that they were ___________. He added that she was ___________. He added that she and the Appointee had a baby girl out of wedlock, whose name was ___________. She is now about ___________ years old and was born in ___________ or ___________. He added that ___________ has been mentioned in many books which have been written about the Appointee but has always used assumed names so as to protect her daughter from the possibility of being kidnapped for ransom. He stated she would be reluctant to speak to the FBI, based upon her negative experiences with the Appointee, in which he basically abandoned her and her daughter. However, lately, the Appointee has apparently been more supportive of both of them and has even bought a home near his residence, for them to be close to him.

He stated that although he does not consider the Appointee to be a friend now, he believes he could succeed in any political position, based upon his method of operation and past record.
added that he has never observed the Appointee use illicit drugs or abuse alcohol and that he associates with reputable people. He added that he had never observed the Appointee express any racist sentiments and would recommend him for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.
REFERENCE: Bureau telecall to San Francisco, 4/7/91.

- RUC -

ADMINISTRATIVE:

All other leads as set out have been covered, this matter is now considered RUC. San Francisco regrets the delay in reporting, however, the Apple Computer Company has been less than cooperative, in terms of providing assistance as requested of the Legal Department.
Copy to:

Report of: SA
Date: April 17, 1991

Field Office File #: 161B-HQ-23908

Title: STEPHEN PAUL JOBS

Character: SPECIAL INQUIRY

Synopsis: Interview of representative of Apple Computer Company legal department conducted and information requested concerning the status of litigation against Appointee was obtained. The Company representative stated the Appointee had acted in good faith regarding decisions which were found actionable by the Ninth District Court of Appeals.
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DETAILS:

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
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SAN FRANCISCO

AT SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA: Will report results of efforts to locate court records pertaining to Apple law suit.

If records cannot be located, contact should be made with the legal counsel for Apple Computer to obtain a synopsis of the basis for the law suit and whether the Appointee was being sued personally.
Copy to:

Report of: SA
Date: April 1, 1991

Office: SAN FRANCISCO

Field Office File #: 161B-HQ-23908

Title: STEVEN PAUL JOBS

Bureau File #: b6

Character: SPIN (B)

Synopsis: of Apple Computer Company interviewed regarding Appointee’s separation from the company. Associates recommended.
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DETAILS:

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
The following investigation was conducted by SA

AT SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA

EMPLOYMENT

Attempts to contact

of the Apple Computer Company, 20525 Mariani Avenue, Cupertino, California have met with negative results since his secretary was first contacted on March 11, 1991. She advised on that date that he would not be available for interview until March 18, 1991 and that he would return the call on Tuesday or Wednesday of that week, however, he has not done so and repeated calls on March 21, 22 and 25th have not produced any response from        . A call was also made on March 26, 1991 for        , however, no response has been received.

On March 26, 1991,        contacted the San Jose Resident Agency of the FBI in response to a message which had been left at his employment. He stated he has known the Appointee since        when he first went to work at Apple Computers. He described the Appointee as an individual of excellent moral character and integrity and knows of nothing which would reflect upon him in a negative way, to include drug usage or alcohol abuse. Further, he believed that the Appointee always lived within his means and associated with reputable people. He added that he never once observed the Appointee indicate in any way that he was either biased or prejudiced in any way toward anyone for any reason. He concluded by saying he would recommend the Appointee for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

        stated he is the        for Sun Microsystems located at 2550 Garcia Avenue, Mountain View, California.
The following investigation was conducted by SA [redacted]

AT CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA

On March 27, 1991, [redacted] for Apple Computers, Incorporated, 20525 Mariani Avenue, Cupertino, California was contacted at his employment and he voluntarily advised as follows. He stated he has known the Appointee for about [redacted] years, having met when he first went to work at Apple when the Appointee was Chairman of the Board.

He characterized the Appointee as an individual of good character and integrity and knew of nothing which would reflect upon him in a negative way, to include drug usage and alcohol abuse. He also stated that as far as he knew the Appointee always conducted his business dealings in a reputable manner and that the Appointee had been sued, however, by Apple when he resigned from the Company for having taken rights of technology or proprietary information with him when the Appointee departed Apple. The Appointee also took key technological personnel with him when he left which was also a basis of the law suit against the Appointee which has since been resolved.

He also stated that he has never observed the Appointee express any prejudice or bias toward anyone for any reasons racial or otherwise. He added that the Appointee was not terminated from Apple but left voluntarily, that is he resigned. He advised that as far as he knew, the Appointee lives within his means financially and associates with reputable people.

He concluded by saying that he would recommend the Appointee for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

On instant date, [redacted] of VENT, Incorporated located at 110 Pioneer Street in Mountain View, California was also contacted and he advised as follows.
He stated the Appointee had undergone a change in philosophy by participating in eastern and/or Indian mysticism and religion. This change apparently influenced the Appointee's personal life for the better.

He advised that the Appointee lives within his means financially, however, based upon his newfound religious beliefs, the Appointee lives more of a spartanlike and at times even monastic existence. He added, however, the Appointee still associates with reputable people. He also advised that although the Appointee is not an engineer in the real sense, he understands base technology and technical jargon to the extent that he is an innovative force within the technical community, in terms of the contributions he has made.

He concluded by saying he would recommend the Appointee for a position of trust and confidence.
The following investigation was conducted by SA

AT MOUNTAIN VIEW, CALIFORNIA

ASSOCIATES

On March 19, 1991, for SUN MICROSYSTEMS, 2750 Coast Avenue, was contacted at his employment and he advised as follows. He stated he has known the Appointee for the years on a professional basis and considers him to be an individual of excellent character and integrity. He knew of absolutely nothing which would reflect upon the Appointee in a negative way, to include drug or alcohol abuse. He added that he believed the Appointee lives within his means financially, albeit he is a man of considerable means and that he also associates with reputable people. He added that although the Appointee is not a deeply technical individual, he understands the technical field sufficiently well to engender new ideas in technology which are exciting to the computer field. He added that the Appointee based upon this ingenuity, is just the type of individual needed to participate in national science and technology forums. He therefore recommended the Appointee highly for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

On instant date, for Apple Computers, Inc., 20525 Mariani Avenue, Cupertino, California was contacted at his employment and he advised as follows. He stated he has known the Appointee since however, became better acquainted with him when he came to work at Apple in He characterized the Appointee as an individual of good character and integrity, without any known problems such as drug and/or alcohol abuse. He added that he had never observed the Appointee exhibit any bias or prejudice toward anyone for any reason, racial or otherwise. He also stated that the Appointee is extremely competent in the field of computers and a bright and energetic worker who is also opinionated. He added that the Appointee lives within his means financially and associates with reputable people. He recommended him highly for a position of trust and confidence.

On instant date, at Apple Computers, Inc., 1101 West Maude Avenue in Sunnyvale, California was contacted and advised as follows. She stated she was while he was employed at Apple. She characterized him as an individual of good character and reputation and knew of nothing which would reflect upon him in a negative way, to include drug or alcohol abuse. She added that he is a loyal U.S. citizen and is a very respected member of the high technology community. She added that the Appointee always appeared to live within his means and associated with an eclectic group of people, most of whom are famous. He has never indicated bias or prejudice toward anyone and she would highly recommend him for a position of trust and confidence.
On March 19, 1991, contact with the secretary for SUN MICROSYSTEMS, 2550 Garcia Avenue, Mountain View, California revealed that would not be available for interview until Monday, March 25, 1991.

On March 20, 1991, messages were left for who lives at California to contact the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI). It will be noted that in a previous interview with who resides at, California, that would be reluctant to speak to the FBI based upon her past relationship with the Appointee, in which she had a baby born out of wedlock and the Appointee's initial lack of support for them. stated when interviewed on March 14, 1991, he would also contact and see if she would contact the FBI regarding this matter. To date, no response has been received by.

On March 22, 1991, the secretary for for Apple Computer, Inc., 20525 Mariani Avenue in Cupertino, California was contacted. This was a followup to several other messages left for to contact the FBI regarding the Appointee. When Office was first contacted on March 11, 1991, the secretary indicated he would not be available until March 18, 1991, however, when she was recontacted on March 18, 1991, she stated he would return the call on Tuesday or Wednesday of the week of March 18. To date, no return calls have been made by regarding the Appointee, in terms of setting up an appointment to interview.
SUBJECT: STEVEN PAUL JOBS; SPIN (B); BUDED: 3/7/91.

RE: SAN FRANCISCO TELETYPETO THE DIRECTOR, ET AL, DATED
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SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Will report results of investigation unavailable for inclusion in this report.
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Federal Bureau of Investigation
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Title: STEVEN PAUL JOBS
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Synopsis: Employment verified. U.S. Attorney's office records checked with negative results.
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DETAILS:
The following investigation was conducted by:

SA:

EMPLOYMENT

PIXAR
1001 West Cutting Boulevard
Richmond, California

On March 20, 1991, [request] [protect identity by request] [advised that he has known appointee approximately [years, originally meeting appointee when appointee was with Apple Computer].

stated that in 1986, appointee purchased from Lucas Films, the graphic and color technology which PIXAR uses to produce computer graphic software used in computer generated pictures, commercials and which is used to color cartoon motion pictures. PIXAR sells the graphic and coloring software and generates computer commercials. [stated that PIXAR is owned by appointee, was incorporated in California on February 3, 1986, and appointee owns 100 percent of the stock. There is no Board of Directors other then appointee.]

stated that his relationship with appointee is primarily a professional one; however, he has been to appointee's home on occasion.

[described appointee as an extremely intelligent individual, a true leader, who has made a difference in the computer industry and given the opportunity will make a positive contribution on the National scene. [stated that appointee is not an individual who can be intimidated; however, fits in and can talk with anyone. [further described appointee as an extraordinary person, who is an excellent business negotiator and recruiter of talent. [added that appointee is a demanding individual, expecting a lot from himself and others. [felt that most people including himself, believe appointee to be an extraordinary person with a good reputation, but when it comes to business there are frequently disagreements and sometimes hard feelings. [added that some people have a great respect for appointee and others dislike him. Appointee has the ability to listen to the suggestions and ideas of others and will adopt them; however, an individual dealing with appointee must know what he is talking about and]
present a strong case or appointee will disregard the discussion and sometimes the individual added that appointee is very truthful and straightforward with people and usually says exactly what he is thinking.

considered appointee to be of good moral character, to be a loyal American and to maintain reputable associates stated that appointee is very health conscious and had no suspicions or personal knowledge of illegal narcotic use on the part of appointee and did not know appointee to abuse alcohol. considered appointee to have a lifestyle and spending habits consistent with his financial means and has never known appointee to express or show any bias or prejudice against any individual or group concluded by stating that he would highly recommend appointee for a position of trust and confidence with the United States Government.
The following investigation was conducted by

AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

On March 19, 1991, the U.S. Attorney's Office, searched civil and criminal dockets without locating any record for STEVEN PAUL JOBS.
REFERENCE: Butel to San Francisco dated 2/21/91.

-P-

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Where appropriate, Privacy Act (e)(3), data was furnished to persons interviewed. Express promises of confidentiality, both limited and unlimited, have been noted where granted.

Investigation extends beyond Buded due to unavailability of Appointee and others for interview.

On 3/1/91, (3) checked ELSUR indices with negative results.
On 2/26/91, checked Confidential indices with negative results.

On 2/22/91, checked San Francisco General indices and located a 192 reference to the Appointee dating back to 2/11/85, when the Appointee was the victim in a bomb threat, at Apple Computer. Several references were located pertaining to a [ ] . One reference was located in storage in Pocatello, Idaho, and the other references were missing from the files. Efforts would be made to locate and interview the missing references.

On 3/19/91, checked San Francisco indices regarding [ ] date of birth, [ ] , with negative results.

LEADS:

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Will report results of investigation unavailable for inclusion in this report.
UNIVERSAL STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Copy to: 

Report of: SA
Date: March 20, 1991
Field Office File #: 161B-HQ-23908
Title: STEVEN PAUL JOBS

Office: San Francisco
Bureau File #: 161B-HQ-23908

Character: SPIN (B)


- - -

DETAILS:

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
The following investigation was conducted by

AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

BIRTH

On March 7, 1991, records at the San Francisco County Bureau of Vital Statistics contained no birth listing for STEVEN PAUL JOBS.

On March 18, 1991, San Francisco County Social Services Adoption Unit, could not verify the birth of STEVEN PAUL JOBS on February 24, 1955. He advised that information could be obtained through Adoptions Branch, Public Information Number, State Department of Social Services, Sacramento, 916/324-8044. That department has records of all adoptions in the state.

Contact with Adoptions Branch, State Department of Social Services, at the above number, determined requests for adoption information have to be in writing, directed to State Social Services, Adoptions Branch, 744 "P" Street, Mail Station 1931, Sacramento, California.
The following investigation was conducted by IA:

AT CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA

EDUCATION

On March 1, 1991, contact with Homestead High School Registrar revealed records dating back more than ten years are located in their district office.

On the same date, Fremont Union High School District, provided a copy of the transcript for STEVEN P. JOBS. A review of this file disclosed STEVEN P. JOBS, born February 24, 1955, enrolled at Homestead High School on September 10, 1968. JOBS attended Homestead High School until June 15, 1972, at which time he graduated. He earned an overall grade point average of 2.65 on a 4.0 scale.
The following investigation was conducted by Special Agent (SA) AT REDWOOD CITY, CALIFORNIA

EMPLOYMENT

NEXT COMPUTER INC.
September 1985 to March 1991

On March 13, 1991, NEXT Computer Inc., 900 Chesapeake Drive, advised that she had known the Appointee since when they were employed at Apple Computer. Her contact had been professional and social. In September of 1985, the Appointee and five other individuals formed NEXT Computer Inc. NEXT was a private California corporation, whose Board of Directors was made up of the Appointee, PAT CRECINE, and She considered the Appointee to be a person of high integrity who was ethical and honest. She had never seen reason to question his character, associates, reputation or loyalty. She had found him to be discreet and a superb manager. She had never witnessed any bias or prejudice and the Appointee was against any type of discrimination. The Appointee was an advocate of equal opportunity and was very employee oriented. She had never seen him use any kind of drugs although he had admitted to using drugs in his youth. The Appointee drinks occasionally, but only small amounts of wine. The Appointee was well off and had more money that he could spend in a lifetime and his chief concern was how that wealth would be used after he was gone. The Appointee liked brainstorming and was good at mediating. The Appointee understood the Japanese culture and had a great deal of contact in dealing with companies in the Orient. She was aware of nothing that would preclude him for being considered for a position of trust and responsibility and highly recommended him.
On March 14, 1991, [person] telephonically contacted the writer in response to an effort to locate and interview him at NeXT Computer Inc., on March 13, 1991. He advised that the Appointee was the President of NeXT Computer Inc., the Appointee founded NeXT in 1985, as a private corporation. Their relationship went back to [person] when he worked [person] at Apple Computer. He was professionally and socially acquainted with the Appointee and characterized the Appointee as an upfront individual who was work oriented. The Appointee had spent most of his adult life working in and around the Computer Industry and was not politically active. He felt, however, that the Appointee had a great deal of skill in dealing with overseas companies in Asia and Europe, and would be an asset to the Government. The Appointee had always conducted his business above board and took pride in his companies. The Appointee took personal interest in the employees of the companies and was sympathetic to problems and needs of others. He had found the Appointee to be adaptable to the situations at hand and felt he would make an excellent addition to any Board or Council. The Appointee had no prejudices or bias against any race, religion or sex and was very much for equality and competition. He felt the Appointee was personally responsible and there was nothing in the Appointee's lifestyle that was not in keeping with the Appointee's means. As near as he knew the Appointee had investments in NeXT and PIXAR, which were the two companies the Appointee was involved in. He had no knowledge of current drug usage or alcohol abuse on the part of the Appointee. He could think of nothing that would be embarrassing to the Appointee, and pointed that the Appointee had a daughter out of wedlock and had admitted to using drugs in the past, but these were things that were common knowledge and there was nothing he was aware of that was not public with regard to the Appointee's activities or associations. He had never been given reason to question the Appointee's character, associates, reputation or loyalty and considered him a suitable candidate for the appointment.
On March 13, 1991, NeXT Computer Inc., 900 Chesapeake Drive, advised she had worked for the Appointee for approximately 161B-HQ-23908
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She found him to be a fair and demanding employer. She liked the fact that the Appointee was a motivator who was interested in employee growth. She found him to be ethical and forthright. He had no biases against any race, religion or sex and was an advocate of equal opportunity. The Appointee was a vegetarian and did not smoke or drink to her knowledge. She had never seen any indications of drug usage and he appeared to be in excellent health. She considered him to be of the highest character, associates, reputation and loyalty. She was aware of nothing that would preclude him from being considered for a position of trust and responsibility and highly recommended the Appointee.

On March 14, 1991, NeXT Computer Inc., 900 Chesapeake Drive, telephonically contacted the writer and advised that she had to leave prior to the writer's arrival at NeXT on March 13, 1991. She advised she had worked for the Appointee approximately and had found him to be very open and upfront. The Appointee had extremely high standards and was demanding of his employees. However, he treated people fairly and was considerate of others problems and needs. She had seen no personal habits that were questionable and she considered him to be honest, loyal and ethical. He had never exhibited any prejudices or biases against any race, religion or sex and she had found him to be fair and against any discrimination. The Appointee was very responsible in all areas of his life and appeared to live well within his means. There was nothing she was aware of that would preclude him for being considered for a position of trust and responsibility and she highly recommended him.
The following investigation was conducted by SA [Redacted] at Cupertino, California.

**Employment**

On March 4, 1991, [Redacted], Apple Computer, Inc., 20525 Mariani Road verified that the Appointee worked there from January 1, 1977 until September 20, 1985 as the Chairman and Founder and no other information was available.

On instant date, the secretary for [Redacted] for Apple Computer, Inc. 20525 Mariani Road stated that she was out of town for the next two weeks and would not be available for interview before March 18, 1991. She added, however, that the secretary for the Company knew the Appointee quite well and would be able to comment on him.

On instant date, [Redacted] for Apple Computer, Inc. was contacted and advised that the Appointee had originally hired him years ago to work at Apple and he had nothing but the highest praise for the Appointee who he considered to be an individual of the highest character and integrity. He added that he knew of nothing which would reflect upon the Appointee in a negative manner, to include drug or alcohol abuse, which would be out of character for the Appointee. He added, however, that the Appointee when he was much younger may have experimented with drugs during the late sixties and early seventies, having come from that generation. In addition, he has never personally observed the Appointee indicate that he is either biased or prejudiced in any way. He also associates with reputable people. He recommended him highly for a position of trust and confidence.

On instant date, [Redacted] Apple Computer, Inc. was also contacted at his employment and he stated he knew the Appointee quite well and described him as an individual of excellent character and integrity. He also stated that the reason the Appointee was terminated from employment there was because of management style differences which the Appointee had with [Redacted]. He knew of nothing which would reflect upon the Appointee adversely, such as drug or alcohol abuse. He also stated he had never observed the Appointee indicate that he was biased or prejudiced in any way and the Appointee associates with reputable people. He recommended him for a position of trust and confidence.

On instant date, [Redacted] Apple Computer, Inc. was contacted at her employment and she verified that the Appointee had worked there during the time in question. She
characterized the Appointee as an excellent person and a brilliant computer scientist. She added that the Appointee enjoyed a good reputation and she knew of nothing which would reflect upon him in a negative manner, to include drug or alcohol abuse. She stated that the Appointee had been terminated from the Company due to differences in management philosophies with the Appointee exhibit in any way bias or prejudice toward anyone for any reason, racial or other. She added the Appointee associates with reputable people. She recommended him highly for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

On March 5, 1991, Apple Computer, Inc. was contacted at his employment and he stated the Appointee had worked there during the time period noted above. He described the Appointee as an individual of good moral character and integrity, without any known problems such as drug or alcohol abuse. He also stated he had never observed the Appointee exhibit any type of bias or prejudice toward anyone. He also stated that the Appointee associates with reputable people. He stated he had no reason not to recommend the Appointee for a position of trust and confidence. He added that the Appointee left Apple because of philosophical differences in management style with him. He recommended the Appointee for any position involving trust and confidence.

REFERENCE

On March 7, 1991, Next Inc. located at 900 Chesapeake Drive, Redwood City, California, stated he has known the Appointee for the past years. He characterized the Appointee as an individual of excellent character and reputation, without any known problems such as drug or alcohol abuse, however, the Appointee may have experimented with drugs in the late sixties or early seventies. He added that he had never observed the Appointee exhibit any form of bias or prejudice toward anyone and that the Appointee enjoys a comfortable lifestyle and associates with reputable people. He recommended him for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.
RESIDENCE

From July, 1990 - Present

On March 4, 1991, __________ was contacted at her residence located at ________ and she verified that the Appointee was her next door neighbor. She described him as a quiet and unassuming individual who had never caused any problems as a neighbor and who visited her last week to ensure that some landscaping he was having done would not cause any problems with her and her husband. She also stated that he appeared to live a relatively spartan existence in his home and she was unaware of any problems he might have with illicit drugs or alcohol abuse. She added that the neighbor on the other side of the Appointee, ________, had invited the Appointee over recently for a social gathering and may be able to comment on him, as well. She recommended him for a position of trust and confidence.

On instant date, ________, was contacted at her home located at ________ and she verified that the Appointee had moved in about a year ago. She did not, however, know the Appointee well enough to comment as to his suitability for employment with the Government, although he seemed to be a nice enough person.

On instant date, ________, was also contacted at his home at ________ and he stated that although he was aware of the Appointee having moved into the neighborhood, he did not know him at all and therefore could not comment as to his suitability for employment.

On March 4, 1991, attempts were made to contact ________, supra; however, ________ stated ________ was unavailable for interview and that she herself along with her husband were tenants in ________ home and were visiting from England. She did not know the Appointee sufficiently as to comment regarding his suitability for employment with the Government.

On March 4, 1991, ________, was contacted at his residence at ________, and he stated he did not know the Appointee but knew he had moved into the neighborhood. He stated he could not comment as to his suitability for employment.

On March 7, 1991, ________, who resides at ________, contacted the San Jose Resident Agency of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, responding to a message left for her and she verified that the Appointee resided across the street from her. She stated that he appeared to be a reputable individual although she did not know him well and that she knew of nothing which would reflect upon him in a negative way, including drug or alcohol abuse. She stated she had no reason not to recommend him for a position of trust and confidence.
The following investigation was conducted by SA:

AT WOODSIDE, CALIFORNIA

RESIDENCE

460 Mountain Home Road,
November, 1984 to July, 1990

On March 13, 1991, advised that the Appointee formerly resided at 460 Mountain Home Road and that she used to get his mail as well as people looking for his residence. Since the Appointee's residence was not located directly on Mountain Home Road, most people were not able to locate it without directions. She added that the dates November, 1984 to July, 1990 sounded plausible and that he did in fact live there. She did not have any personal contact and could not comment as to his suitability for a presidential appointment.

On March 13, 1991, efforts to locate anyone at 485 and 475 Mountain Home Road met with negative results.

On March 13, 1991, advised that she did not know the Appointee as a neighbor, even though he had lived in the area but that her husband knew the Appointee through his computer business. She added that her husband was out of town and would contact the writer upon his return.

On March 13, 1991, telephonically located the writer in response to a note that was left at his residence and advised that he knew the Appointee as a former resident at 416 Mountain Home Road. The Appointee was a good person and a good neighbor. He advised that the period from 1984 to 1990 sounded correct and that he was aware of nothing that would preclude the Appointee from being considered for a position of trust and responsibility. The Appointee was a very public figure and there had been much written about the Appointee but he had never seen anything personally in the way of personal habits, activities or associations that would be of a negative nature. He was happy to recommend the Appointee.
On March 14, 1991, ______ advised that the Appointee formerly lived at 460 Mountain Home Road from 1984 to 1990 when he moved to Palo Alto to be close to his daughter. She and her husband had met the Appointee through their son-in-law, ______. They had had the Appointee over for dinner and he didn't appear to drink or smoke. He was a vegetarian and did a great deal of jogging. They never saw as much of the Appointee as they liked since he was a very intense worker and spent a great deal of time with his work. She considered him to be a wonderful individual and was aware of nothing that would preclude him from being considered for a position of trust and responsibility. The Appointee was well off and didn't appear to be a big spender. The Appointee had kept the house at 460 Mountain Home Road and planned to build something on that property at a later date. She considered him to be of the highest character, associates, reputation and loyalty and he had never seen any indication of drug usage, prejudice, or unethical behavior on his part. She highly recommended him.

On March 16, 1991, ______ telephonically advised that he knew the Applicant as a former resident at 460 Mountain Home Road from approximately 1984 to 1990. He had also met with the Appointee a couple of times in his position as president and CEO of MIPS Computer Systems Inc., Sunnyvale, California. He was aware of nothing negative with regard to the Applicant's residency in Woodside, California, and had never had any unfavorable dealings with the Appointee. As far as he knew the Applicant enjoyed a good reputation and was a person of good character, reputation and associates. He had never seen any indication of drug usage or alcoholic abuse and he was aware of nothing in the Appointee's lifestyle that would not be commensurate with the Appointee's means. He could think of nothing that would preclude the Appointee from being considered for a position of trust and responsibility.
The following investigation was conducted by SA [ ]

AT LOS GATOS, CALIFORNIA

ASSOCIATES

On March 11, 1991, [ ] was contacted at

his employment at [ ] located at [ ] and he

was advised as to the nature of this investigation and advised as

follows. He did not request confidentiality.

He described

the Appointee as an individual who was not totally forthright and

honest and has a tendency to distort reality in order to achieve

his goals. He offered a comparison in that he [ ] has high

ethical standards and does business with people using this standard,

however, the Appointee will twist the truth in order to achieve

whatever goal he has set for himself. He therefore considered the

Appointee to be a deceptive person.

He provided an example of this deceptive characteristic

of the Appointee.

He also advised [ ]

he had heard reports from mutual friends and also an admission by

the Appointee that he freely used illicit drugs during his college

tenure, to include marijuana and LSD. He never actually observed

the Appointee use any drugs or consume alcohol to excess.

He also stated that the Appointee while living with his

high school girlfriend had a daughter out of wedlock. This woman's

name is [ ] and their daughter who was probably [ ] or

[ ] now was called [ ]. He advised that during their

early relationship, the Appointee mistreated both [ ] and her

daughter, by not supporting them; however, he understands that he

has, of late, been more supportive of his daughter and her mother.
He added that along these same lines, an individual named [redacted] would also be able to shed more light on the Appointee and this relationship he had with [redacted] He also provided names of others who would know the Appointee well as follows: [redacted] at Apple Computers, Inc.; [redacted] who was instrumental in developing and can be reached at Apple Computers; [redacted] for MacINTOSH products and can be still reached at Apple Computers; and [redacted] who is also at Apple.

He concluded by saying that although he does not consider the Appointee to be a personal friend, he believed the Appointee has what it takes to assume a high level political position within the Government, which in his opinion, honesty and integrity are not prerequisites to assume such a position. He therefore recommended the Appointee for a position of trust and confidence for the Government.

On March 13, 1991, [redacted], General Magic, Inc. located at 444 Castro Street in Mountain View, California, was contacted and has known the Appointee since [redacted] and met him while they were employed at Apple and worked closely with the Appointee from [redacted] when he left Apple. He stated the Appointee left Apple in September 1985 under less than desirable circumstances. He explained that he understood that the Appointee was fired by [redacted]; however, since he had already left the Company, he only knew what he had heard and was reported in the media. He added that he has remained friends with the Appointee and described him as an individual who was extremely strongwilled and stubborn. He added that from a positive perspective, the Appointee is also a very hardworking and driven individual and is successful as a result of these qualities but these same qualities act to his detriment in other respects such as in his personal relationships. He has never personally observed the Appointee use illicit drugs or abuse alcohol. He believed that other than what he noted above, the Appointee is an individual of good character and integrity; however, he qualified the Appointee's integrity that as long as the Appointee gets his way. He added that the Appointee lives within his means financially, however, his means are considerable and the Appointee is worth at least one hundred million dollars. He also believed the Appointee associates with reputable people and recommended him for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

On [redacted], of General Magic, Inc., 444 Castro Street, Mountain View, California was contacted at his employment and he stated he has known the Appointee for the past [redacted] years. He stated that the Appointee is an individual of good character and reputation and that he also is a man of integrity, providing he gets his way. He knows of nothing which would reflect [redacted].
upon the Appointee in a negative way, to include drug and/or alcohol abuse. He added that he has never observed the Appointee express any prejudicial or racist sentiments and considers him to be fairly open minded. He added that the Appointee lives within his considerable means, financially and associates with reputable people. He recommends the Appointee for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

On March 14, 1991, [redacted] was contacted at his employment at Apple Computers, where he works as a [redacted]. He stated he has known the Appointee since [redacted]. He characterized the Appointee as an individual of good character and integrity and knows of nothing which would reflect upon the Appointee in a negative way, to include drug or alcohol abuse. He added that the Appointee is a loyal U.S. citizen and has never expressed any bias or prejudice toward anyone for whatever reason, racial or other. He stated the Appointee lives within his means and associates with reputable people. He recommended the Appointee for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

On instant date, [redacted] was contacted at his residence at [redacted], Palo Alto, California. [redacted] stated he was [redacted] is in the process of starting up a company. He stated he has known the Appointee since [redacted]. He stated he was a good friend of the Appointee then. He added that although the Appointee is basically an honest and trustworthy person, he is a very complex individual and his moral character is suspect. He stated he is no longer friends with the Appointee and feels bitter toward him and somewhat alienated, [redacted]. He explained that he did not receive any stock as a result, which would obviously have made him quite wealthy. He stated the Appointee alienated a large number of people at Apple, as a result of his ambition. He added that the Appointee is technically oriented but is in the opinion of many, not an engineer, since he never actually got an engineering degree from College and has been successful in business by delegating tasks to people. In this respect, he considers the Appointee a successful individual and has what it takes to succeed in politics, to include governmental politics.

He advised that the Appointee, [redacted] met in High School and they were high school sweethearts. He added that she and the Appointee had a baby girl out of wedlock, whose name was [redacted] She is about [redacted] years old now and was born in [redacted] or [redacted]. He added that [redacted] has been mentioned in many books which have been written about the Appointee but has always used assumed names so as to protect her daughter from the possibility of being kidnapped for ransom. He stated she would be reluctant to speak to the FBI, based upon her negative experiences with the Appointee, in which the Appointee basically abandoned her
and her daughter; however, lately, the Appointee has been more supportive of both of them and has even purchased a home near where the Appointee resides, for them to be close to him.

He stated that although he does not consider the Appointee a friend now, he believes the Appointee could succeed in any political position, based upon his method of operation. He added that he has never observed the Appointee use illicit drugs or abuse alcohol and that he associates with reputable people. He added that he had never observed the Appointee express any racist sentiments and would recommend him for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

On instant date, ADOBE Systems, Inc. 1585 Charleston Road, Mountain View, California, was contacted at his employment. He stated he has known the Appointee since and the Appointee had an interest in the Company. He described the Appointee as an individual of good character and integrity. He stated he knows personally of nothing which would reflect upon the Appointee in a negative way, to include drug or alcohol abuse. He added, however, that it is well documented in books concerning the Appointee, that he used drugs freely while in College and in the early days at Apple. He considers the Appointee to be a loyal citizen and a competent individual. He added that he had never observed the Appointee to be in any way biased or prejudiced toward anyone for any reason, racial or otherwise. He recommended him for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.
The following investigation was conducted by SA

AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

ASSOCIATE

On March 18, 1991, 1755 Embarcadero Road, Palo Alto, California, telephonically contacted the writer and advised that he had been an associate of the Appointee's since. He was in the marketing and consulting business and was active in doing work for the Appointee when Apple Computer was formed. He described the Appointee as a very genuine person who was extremely creative. He had never been given reason to question the Appointee's character, associates, reputation or loyalty. He had been in the Appointee's home and had had the Appointee in his home and had never encountered any drug usage on the Appointee's part and the Appointee's consumption of alcohol had always been minimal. The Appointee was wealthy and lived well within his means. He had never seen any prejudice or bias on the Appointee's part against any race, religion or sex. He felt the Appointee would make a good representative and was very knowledgeable in the area of computers. He was personally aware of nothing that would reflect unfavorably on the Appointee with regard to the Appointee's activities or associations and he was happy to recommend the Appointee.
The following investigation was conducted by SA:

AT PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA

On March 14, 1991, advised that she had met the Appointee in at

She described the Appointee as a person of great character who associated with high integrity people and all of his associates she had met, were reputable. The Appointee drank only a little wine and did not use any kind of illegal drugs. She felt he was very responsible in the area of his finances and she could think of nothing in his activities or associations that would be considered questionable. She had never seen any evidence of prejudice or bias on his part against any race, religion or sex and felt he was for equality and against discrimination. She had never been given reason to question his character, reputation or loyalty. She felt he would make an excellent representative for the country and highly recommended him.
The following investigation was conducted by IA 

AT REDWOOD CITY, CALIFORNIA

SUPERIOR COURT RECORDS

On March 14, 1991, [Redacted], Civil Records, Superior Court for San Mateo County provided the file regarding STEVEN PAUL JOBS, number 319154. A review of this file revealed on May 29, 1987 a complaint was file by Woodside Design Associates, Inc., et al vs. Apple Computers, Inc., et al, for declaratory relief, bad faith denial of existence of contract, breach of contract, fraudulent misrepresentation, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, interference with contract, interference with prospective economic advantage, and punitive damages. JOBS was named as an Officer of Apple Computer. A dismissal was filed on September 6, 1988, with prejudice (cannot file again) of entire action.
The following investigation was conducted by IA:

AT SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA

COURT RECORDS

On March 18, 1991, the records of the Santa Clara County Superior Court were reviewed and the following information obtained:

Case Number 584898
Apple Computer, Inc.
vs.
Steven P. Jobs

The original complaint in this matter was filed September 23, 1985, alleging that Defendants secretly planned the formation of an enterprise to compete with Apple Computer, lured top executives away from Apple Computer to head up the new enterprise, sold Apple stock under false pretenses in order to finance new enterprise, and planned to use Apple technology which would be a misappropriation of confidential and proprietary information. On January 21, 1986, after an out of court settlement was reached, case was dismissed without prejudice.

Case Number 641354
vs.
Steven Jobs/NeXT, Inc.

Original complaint was filed in this matter on October 22, 1987, by alleging she left Apple Computer to go with JOBS/NeXT, Inc. at $80,000 a year, plus a large stock option. She stated she had the impression that the job would be long term in nature. alleged this was breach of implied contract.

JOBS' reply to the allegation was that he offered her the job with NeXT, Inc.; however, he did not make her leave Apple. She accepted the position in September, 1985, but had great difficulty getting people to work for her. Other positions were offered to her at the same salary, but none of them were acceptable to her.
On November 15, 1989, a judgment was filed against [blank] in the amount of $11,698.19. An appeal was filed by [blank] but has since been dismissed.

On March 15, 1991, records of U.S. District Court, San Jose, California, were reviewed with regard to file C84-20148 RPA. This file consisted of ten volumes, only five of which could be located. Volume one containing the original complaint and details of the matter was one of the unavailable volumes. As a result, a copy of the docket was obtained, as it was the only document available which gives a history of the case. It is noted that STEVEN P. JOBS is mentioned as a defendant in the case, and the case is still pending. The copy of the docket is attached hereto and considered a part of this communication.
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C-84-20158 RPA
C-84-20149 RPA
C-84-20148 RPA

REOPENED
JS-5 Prep 3-7-90
JS-6 Prep 7-7-90
Assmt Card 2-7-90
Closed

CAUSE
(CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH THE CASE IS FILED AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE)

MILBERG WEISS BERSHAD SPECTHIE
& LERACH (Co-Lead Counsel)
2000 Central Savings Tower
225 Broadway
San Diego, CA 92101
(619) 231-1058

BERGER & MONTAGUE (CO-Lead Counsel)
1622 Locust Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 875-3900

BARRACK, RODOS & BACINE
Suite 2100
1845 Walnut Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 963-0600

COTCHETT & WING
California-First-Bank-Building
4-West-Forth-Ave-7-Suite-500
San-Mateo, CA 94402
(415) 342-9060

KOHN, SAVETT, MARION & GRAF
1214 IVB Building
1700 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 665-9900

HELLER, EHRLANG, WHITE & MCGAUFLIFFE
4-Montgomery Street, 31-Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
(415) 772-6000

PETTIT & MARTOM
Michael F. Perlis
Alvin L. Fishman
Pamela J. Roberts
101 California St, 35th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 434-4000
FOR: Arthur Rock, Philip S.
Schlein, Peter G. Crisp, Hen 
E. Singleton

HELLER, EHRLANG, WHITE & 
MCGAUFLIFFE
333 Bush Street
San Francisco, CA. 94104-287
(415) 772-6000
PRETRIAL ORDER No. 1: Order of consolidation with C-84-20148 RPA, C-84-20149 RPA & C-84-20158 RPA; all subsequently related actions to consolidated, if no objections are filed

STIPULATED AND ORDER: Protective order re: confidential information produced by 3rd party defendant Dataquest (see original document for details)

Plaintiff's letter to clerk re: Court dates

Defendants Arthur Rock, P. S. Schlein, P. O. Crisp & H. E. Singleton's notice of motion for summary judgment; hearing 3-29-85 at 9
- memo of points & authorities in support of #4
- declaration of Alvin L. Fishman
- declaration of Arthur Rock
- declaration of Philip S. Schlein
- declaration of Henry E. Singleton
- declaration of Peter O. Crisp

RECEIVED: Proposed order & judgment

Defendants A. Rock, P. Schlein, P. Crisp & H. Singleton's declaration of Philip S. Schlein

STIPULATION AND ORDER: Protective order re: material confidential be designated "Confidential Material" by counsel & place under seal (see original document for details)

Party John L. Roler's certificate re: confidentiality

Plaintiff's amended notice of 3rd party deposition & request for production of documents of Venrock Associates on 3-1-85 issued

Defendant's memo of points & authorities in opposition to motion to dismiss the counterclaim; 3-29-85 at 9

Plaintiff's memo of points & authorities in opposition to motion for summary judgment; 3-29-85 at 9, UNDER SEAL, Sealed

Defendants Venrock Associates's (3rd Party) notice of deposition & requests for production of documents

Plaintiff's notice of 3rd party deposition of Morris Decision Systems & requests for production of documents

Defendants A. Rock, P. Schlein, P. O'Crisp & Dr. Singleton's reply memo in support of motion for partial summary judgment; 3-29-85 at 9

Defendant's reply memo of points & authorities in support of motion for partial summary judgment; 3-29-85 at 9

See Sheet "A"
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>NR.</th>
<th>PROCEEDINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**PLAINTIFFS**

Alvin J. Ivers  
Suite 700, 4 Penn Center Plaza  
16th & JFK Blvd.  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
(215) 977-8899

COTCHETT & ILLSTON  
Susan Illston  
San Francisco Airport Office Center  
840 Malcolm Rd., Ste 200  
Burlingame, CA. 94101  
(415) 697-6000

LOVITT & HANNAN, INC.  
900 Front St., Ste 300  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
(415) 362-8769

**DEFENDANTS**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>NR.</th>
<th>PROCEEDINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mar 15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Defendant's declaration of Meryl Macklin in support of #20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Plaintiff's reply memo in support of motion to dismiss counterclaim 3-29-85 at 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Plaintiff's reply memo in support of #22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>MINUTES: (C/R Lavonne Fraboni) Plaintiff's motion for class certification, granted; motion to dismiss counterclaim and motion for summary judgment, denied; pretrial 5-12-86 at 2; 6-16-86 at 9 for trial &amp; 9-12-85 at 1:30 for Rule 16 conference; discovery close 4-1-86 RPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 19</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Defendant's 2nd set of contentions interrogatories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>ORDER: The motion of defendants A. Rock, P.S. Schlein, P.O. Crisp &amp; H.E. Singleton for summary judgment is denied in its entirety RPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>ORDER: Defendant's motion for summary judgment as to the Cohns &amp; those similarly situated, is denied RPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 13</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>ORDER: Plaintiffs William Schneider, Charles &amp; Jeanne Cohn &amp; Estelle Ellis are hereby certified to represent a plaintiff class &amp; this action shall proceed as a plaintiff class action; The attorneys of record for the named plaintiff are hereby appointed as counsel RPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Plaintiff's proof of service re: #28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 31</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Plaintiff's amended response to 2nd set of interrogatories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 6</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Plaintiff's discovery conference statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Defendants P.S. Schlein, A. Rock &amp; P.O. Crisp's statement for Rule 16 conference; 9-12-85 at 1:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>- supplemental statement re: Rule 16 conference; 9-12-85 at 1:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>ORDER: Rule 16 conference agenda RPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>MINUTES: (C/R R. Pastorino) Rule 16 discovery conference held &amp; order submitted RPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Plaintiff's notice of change of address re: Alvin J. Ivers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td></td>
<td>ORDER re class notice and Rule 16 update. Plaintiffs shall prepare proposed class notice and submit it to defendants for their comments no later than 1-13-86. A joint statement shall be filed no later th l-31-86. RPA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(OVER)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>NR.</th>
<th>PROCEEDINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 10</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' interrogatories, set no. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 4</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Parties joint supplemental Rule 16 statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Defendants' responses to 2nd set of interrogatories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td>Plaintiff's affidavit of Jeanne A. Markey in support of motion to extend discovery cut-off and trial dates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td>Defendants' proof of service re # 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>RECEIVED: Defendants' motion to extend discovery cut-off and trial dates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' notice of hearing that they will move the court on 3-14-86 at 9 am to extend discovery cut-off and trial dates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>Defendant's memo in opposition to motion to extend discovery cut-off and trial dates; 3-14-86, 9 am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 3</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>RECEIVED: Proposed order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Plaintiffs' notice of depositions as to Richard Jordan, 3-4-86, 9:30 am; Randall Battat, 3-6-86, 9:30 am; Lawrence Tesler, 3-12 and 13, 19, 9:30 am and Howard Furier, 3-19-86, 9:30 am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Plaintiff's reply memo in further support of motion to extend discovery cut-off and trial dates; 3-14-86, 9 am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Parties stipulation re entry of findings and order directing class notice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td>MINUTES: (3-14-86)(CR: Jan Hammerman); Plaintiff's motion to extend discovery cut-off and trial dates granted. Case continued to 2-9-87 at 2 pm for pretrial; 2-16-87, 9 am for trial; discovery cut-off, 12-31-86; Defendants to submit certain answers to interrogatories 9-30-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>ORDER AND FINDINGS DIRECTING CLASS NOTICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plaintiffs' notice of depositions all at 9:30 am as to David Bowm on 4-4-86, Wilfred Houde, 4-9 and 10, 1986, Robert Erickson, 4-16 17, 1986 and David Craft, 4-22-86.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(SEE SHEET "B")

-28-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>NR.</th>
<th>PROCEEDINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 24</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' certificate of service re findings and order directing class notice executed 3-21-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 21</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>- notice of depositions as to Elizabeth L. Shannon, 5-3-86, 9:30 am; Joseph P. Roebuck, 5-14-86 and 5-15-86, 9:30 am; Barry H. Smith; 5-21-86 and 5-22-85, 9:30 am and Stan DeVaughn, 5-29-86 and 5-30-86, 9:30 am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
<td>- amended notice of deposition of Robert Erickson on 4-29-86 and 4-30-86 at 9:30 am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 28</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>EXCLUSION REQUEST by Margot G. Charles (part of Notice of Certification of plaintiff class form)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
<td>EXCLUSION REQUEST by Claire N. Mangasarian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
<td>EXCLUSION REQUEST by Herbert Lecovin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 3</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>EXCLUSION REQUEST by Karin Peterson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>DEPOSITION of Richard Jordan, 3-4-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Plaintiff's notice of depositions as to David Craft, 6-11-86, 9:30 am; Joseph Roebuck, 6-16 and 6-17, 9:30 am; Peter W. Cressman, 6-27-86, 9:30 am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>EXCLUSION REQUEST by John Wilcoxson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>EXCLUSION REQUEST by Michael E. Terek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
<td>RECEIVED: Exclusion request of Averal Brady and John Brady</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Defendants' notice of change of address of counsel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 7</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>RECEIVED: EXCLUSION REQUEST by Jean Lombard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Plaintiff's notice of deposition as to Wayne Rosing, 7-29-86 and 7-30 and 7-31 (no time specified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>- notice of deposition of Paul Dail on 7-16-86, 9:30 am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RECEIVED: Exclusion request, Marion Waddell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
<td>RECEIVED: Letter of 7-16-86 by James Parentc to Sherrie Savett with cc to USDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plaintiff's notice of depositions as to Deme Clainos, Aug. 26-27, '86 9:30 am and Gene Carter, September 4-5, 1986, 9:30 am</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(OVER)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>NR.</th>
<th>PROCEEDINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aug 1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Plaintiff's notice of change of address and telephone no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>notice of depositions of Timothy Hawkins, 8-15-1986, 9:30 am; John Couch, 8-20 and 21, 1986, 9:30 am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>amended notice of depositions as to Timothy Hawkins, 8-15-86, 9 am and John Couch, 8-20, 21 and 22, 1986, 9:30 am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>RECEIVED: Exclusion request of Geneva Redmon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 8</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>ORDER: Defendants' Apple Computer, Inc., Sculley, Yocam, Houde, Couch, Carter, Zerbe, Markkula and Vennard may withdraw t Counterclaim asserted in their Amended Answer without prejudice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>ORDER: Re Length of memorandum RPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Defendants' memo in support of motion for summary judgment 10/17 9:00am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*15</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Defendants' Apple Computer Statement of Material facts not in di 10/17/86, 9:00am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td>Defendants' Apple Computer Notice of Motion for summary judgment 10/17/86, 9:00am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td>Declaration of J. P. Hayden in support of #73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Exhibits to #74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Appendix of exhibits to #74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td>Declaration of K. R. Zerbe in support of #73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td>Declaration of J. Sculley in support of #73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td>Declaration of W. Rosing in support of #73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
<td>Declaration of M. Muller in support of #73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td>Declaration of A. C. Markkula, Jr., in support of #73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td>Declaration of W. J. Houde in support of #73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
<td>Declaration of S. P. Jobs in support of #73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td>Declaration of J. D. Couch in support of #73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td>Declaration of G. P. Carter in support of #73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td>Declaration of R. Battat in support of #73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>NR.</td>
<td>Proceedings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 15</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Defendants' Apple Computer declaration of J. Vennard in support of motion for summary judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Declaration of D. W. Yocam in support of #73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>RECEIVED: Notice of certification of a plaintiff class action (Glady Rhein)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>Proof of service re stipulation and order setting briefing schedule executed 9-29-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-- RECEIVED stipulation and order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 1</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>ORDER AND STIPULATION: Setting Schedule as follows: Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment due Nov. 3, 1986; Defendants' Reply due by Nov. 21, 1986; Hearing date for Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment is Dec. 5, 1986 at 10:30 am.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' Second Supplemental Response to Defendants' Contention Interrogatories (FILED UNDER SEAL)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Oct 7 | 90  | Plaintiffs' Notice of Taking Depositions of the following:  
Frederick M. Hoar on Oct. 8, 9 & 10, 1986 at 9:30 am.  
John Vennard on Oct. 15, 16 & 17, 1986 at 9:30 am.  
Joe Graziano on Oct. 29 - 30, 1986 at 9:30 am.  
Delbert W. Yocam on Oct. 30 - 31, 1986 at 9:30 am.  
E. Floyd Kvmme on Nov. 6 - 7, 1986 at 9:30 am.  
John Sculley on Nov. 18 - 19, 1986 at 9:30 am.  
Peter O. Crisp on Nov. 20 - 21, 1986 at 9:30 am. No Subpoenas Issued. |
| 21    | 92  | Defendants Rock, Schlein, Crisp and Singleton's Proof of Service of #91.                                                                      |
| 24    | 93  | Defendants Rock, Schlein, Crisp and Singleton's Amended Proof of Service of #91.                                                           |
| Oct 31| 94  | Plaintiffs' Notice of Taking Depositions of: Kenneth R. Zerbe on Nov. 5 - 6, 1986 at 9:30 am.  
(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>NR.</th>
<th>PROCEEDINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 7</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel's LETTER dated Nov. 6, 1986 to Judge Aguilar re: filing of Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment and noting that they are filing a corrected copy of Plaintiffs' Second Supplemental Response to Defendants' Contention Interrogatories.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 7</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Support of MOTION to Strike Defendants' Declarations in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>-Second Supplemental Response to Defendants' Contention Interrogatories. (CORRECTED) (FILED UNDER SEAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>-Memorandum in Opposition to Apple Computer and the Officer Defendants' MOTION for Summary Judgment (FILED UNDER SEAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' Declaration of Frederic F. Nagel, III in Opposition to Defendants' MOTION for Summary Judgment, Vol. 1 with Exhibits 1 - 40 attached. (FILED UNDER SEAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-Declaration of Frederic F. Nagel, III in Opposition to Defendants' MOTION for Summary Judgment, Vol. 2 with Exhibits 41 - 90 attached (FILED UNDER SEAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>-Declaration of Frederic F. Nagel, III in Opposition to Defendants' MOTION for Summary Judgment, Vol. 4 with Exhibits 149 - 181 attached. (FILED UNDER SEAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 10</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application for Leave to File Memorandum Exceeding 25 pages. Dec. 5, 1986 at 9:00 am.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>-Memorandum in Opposition to the Outside Director Defendants' MOTION for Summary Judgment. (FILED UNDER SEAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 13</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>Defendant Peter Crisp's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 12*</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>RECEIVED: Letter from Frederic F. Nagel, III dated Nov. 10, 1986 noting that Exhibit 172 of the Declaration of Frederic Nagel III in Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment was actually submitted as Exhibit 115. Attached to the letter is a one page notation to be inserted in the Declaration which directs one to look at Exhibit 115 for Exhibit 172.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 14</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' Declaration of John B. Torkelsen in Support of Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. (Continued)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>NR.</td>
<td>PROCEEDINGS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>Defendants' Memorandum in Opposition to Plaintiffs' MOTION to Strike Defendants' Declarations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>RECEIVED: Defendants' Proposed Order re: filing of Memorandum in Excess of 25 pages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>RECEIVED: Defendants' Memorandum in Excess of 25 pages, in support of Motion for Summary Judgment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>Proof of Service re: 108, 109, 110 and 111.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 21</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' Declaration of John B. Torkelsen in Support of Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, with corrected Exhibit B, Exhibits A-B attached.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>Defendant Rock's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>Defendant Singleton's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>Defendant Schlein's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' First Request for Production of Objects for Inspection, Examination and testing directed to Defendants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>First Request for Admissions Propounded upon all Defendants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>Interrogatories, Set number Three, directed to Defendant Apple Computer and to Individual Defendants with Exhibit A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 20</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Defendants Outside Directors' (Rock, Schlein, Crisp and Singleton) Interrogatories directed to Plaintiffs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' Exhibit Errata Sheet in Support of Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Apple Computer and Officer Defendants' MOTION for Summary Judgment. FILED UNDER SEAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>NR.</th>
<th>PROCEEDINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' Rebuttal Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' MOTION for Summary Judgment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td></td>
<td>Memorandum in Response to Defendants' Memorandum in Opposition to Plaintiffs' MOTION to Strike Defendants' Declaration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td></td>
<td>Supplemental Video Tape Interrogatory to all Defendants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td></td>
<td>UNDER: LIMITING THE PLAINTIFF'S BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT TO A STRICT 90 PAGE MAXIMUM. Denying Defendants' Application to file an oversized reply brief of 71 pages; allowing them to file an oversized brief of 45 page maximum. Plaintiffs' Brief in Opposition to Defendants' MOTION for Summary Judgment is due by Dec. 26, 1986 by 5:00 pm. Defendants reply brief by Jan. 2, 1987 by 5:00 pm. Oral argument is set for Jan. 9, 1987 at 9:00 am. RPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td></td>
<td>RECEIVED: Exclusion Request of Alfred Lawrence dated Dec. 10. 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td></td>
<td>Plaintiffs' Amended Declaration of John B. Torkelsen in Support of Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
<td>Memorandum in Opposition to Apple and Officer Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. (FILED UNDER SEAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td></td>
<td>Plaintiffs' Supplemental Declaration of Frederic F. Nagel, III in Opposition to Apple and Officer Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. (FILED UNDER SEAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>Defendants Apple and Officers' Notice of Motion to Strike Portions of Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Summary Judgment Motion. (hearing date Jan. 9, 1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td></td>
<td>Memorandum in Support of Motion to Strike Portions of Plaintiff Memorandum in Opposition to Summary Judgment Motion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td></td>
<td>Declaration of Paul W. Sugarman in Support of Motion to Strike.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reply Memorandum in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td></td>
<td>Supplemental Declaration of Christopher M. Patti in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td></td>
<td>Defendants Outside Directors' Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories addressed to Apple and to Individual Defendants - Set 3 (Continued)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>NR.</td>
<td>PROCEEDINGS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 6</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>Defendants Outside Directors' Response to Plaintiff's First Request for Admissions directed to all Defendants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>Response to Plaintiff's Request for Production of Objects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>Defendants Apple and Directors' Response to Plaintiffs' First Request for Production of Objects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>Responses to Plaintiffs' Interrogatories No. 8 - 10 directed to Defendant Apple and to the Individual Defendants - Set # 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>Response to Plaintiffs' First Request for Admissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants Apple and Officers' Motion to Strike Portions of Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' Summary Judgment Motion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>RECEIVED: Proposed Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Strike Portions of Plaintiffs' Brief.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants Apple and Officers' Motion to Strike Portions of Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' Summary Judgment Motion with Attachment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 9</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>MINUTES: (1/9/87) Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment and to Strike heard/Motion to Strike -Denied, Motion for Summary Judgment submitted. Order to be prepared by Court. Trial and Pretrial Conference dates are vacated, Court will set trial setting, conference dates in its Order ruling on Motions. C/R: Jo Ann Bryce RPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 13</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>Defendants Apple and Officers' Responses to Plaintiffs' Supplemental Videotape Interrogatory to all Defendants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>Responses to Plaintiffs' Interrogatories No. 1 - 7 and 12 - 14, Set No. 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 14</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk forwarded Exclusion Request of Alfred Laurence to Plaintiffs' counsel Milberg, Weiss, Bershad this date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 21</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' objections to outside Directors' interrogatories to re: Peter O. Crisp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 17</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT of 1/9/87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>Defendants' response to plaintiffs' interrogatory no. 11 and supplemental responses to interrogatories no.2 and 12 addressed to defendants' apple computer, and to the individual defendants - set 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEE OVER

-35-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>NR.</th>
<th>PROCEEDINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apr 30</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>ORDER: Defendants' motion for summary judgment on the issue of scienter is DENIED.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 8</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Letter from John E. Grasberger to clerk re: publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>STIPULATION &amp; ORDER: Plaintiffs' may file a motion for partial reconsideration of the Court's order granting in large part defendants' motion for summary judgment to 5/26/87.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' ex-parte application for leave to file brief in excess of 25 pages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>ORDER: Plaintiffs' may file a memo in excess of 40 pages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' memo in support of motion for reconsideration of order partially granting motion for summary judgment (FILED UNDER SEALE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>Declaration of Jeffifer Imes in support of motion for recon of order partially granting motion for summary judgment 7/10/87, 9:00am (FILED UNDER SEALED)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration of order partially granting motion for summary judgment 7/10/87, 9:00am (FILED UNDER SEALED)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>Appendix of cases</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 12</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' Notice of Motion &amp; Motion for partial summary judgment 7/10/87, 9:00am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' statement of material facts not in dispute (FILED UNDER SEALED)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' memo in support of §158 (UNDER SEALED)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>Declaration of Frederic F. Nagel III in support of §158 (UNDER SEALED)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>ORDER: That Apple Computer, and officer defendants may file a single brief not more than 50 pages respond to motion for recon of 4/29/29 summary judgment order &amp; for partial summary judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>Defendants' Outside director memo in opposition to motions for reconsideration &amp; for partial summary judgment 7/10/87, 9:00am</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEE SHEET "F"
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Nr.</th>
<th>Proceedings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>164</td>
<td>Defendants' responses of to purported statement of material facts not in dispute &amp; to their proposed Order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>165</td>
<td>Declaration of Cynthia Zollinger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>166</td>
<td>Declaration of David S. Schwartz in opposition to motion for partial summary judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>167</td>
<td>Declaration of Marie Amoruso in opposition to motion for partial summary judgment 7/10/87, 9:00am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>168</td>
<td>Memo in opposition to motions for reconsideration &amp; for partial summary judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' supplemental declaration of Frederic F. Magel III in support of motion for reconsideration &amp; for partial summary judgment (FILED UNDER SEALED)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>170</td>
<td>reply memo in support of motion for summary judgment (FILED UNDER SEALED)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>171</td>
<td>reply re: statement of material facts not in dispute (FILED UNDER SEALED)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' supplemental declaration of John B. Torkelsen in support of motions for reconsideration &amp; for partial summary judgment 7/24/87 9:00am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>173</td>
<td>Defendants' supplemental memo in opposition to motion for reconsideration &amp; for partial summary judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>MINUTES: (7/24/87)(C/R Unreported) Plaintiffs' motion for partial summary judgment, motion for reconsideration off calendar submitted on the papers. RPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>ORDER: The Outside Directors' motion for summary judgment is GRANTED. Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration of Court's order of 4/30/87 is DENIED. Plaintiffs' motion for partial summary judgment is DENIED. RP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 21</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>Plaintiffs' Notice of Motion &amp; Motion for leave to amend complaint 10/23/87, 9:00am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>177</td>
<td>- Memo in support of #176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>178</td>
<td>- Declaration of F. F. Nagel III in support of #176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RECEIVED: Proposed order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Nr.</td>
<td>Proceedings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 2</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>Defendants' Notice of Motion for summary judgment 10/30/87, 9:00a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
<td>- Memo in support of #179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>181</td>
<td>- Declaration in support of #179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>182</td>
<td>- Statement of material facts not in dispute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECEIVED: Proposed Order

19 | 183 | ORDER: Defendants' motion for summary judgment on the issue of materiality with respect to all plaintiffs' case excerpt statements #5 and #10 are GRANTED. Defendants' motion for summary judgment on the issue of scienter is DENIED. RP |

20 | 184 | STIPULATION & ORDER: The hearings on both motions shall be continued to 12/4/87, 9:00am, opposition filed by 11/9/87, reply filed by 11/24/87 RPA |

Nov 9 | 185 | Defendants' memo in opposition to motion for leave to amend complaint; 12/4/87, 9:00am |
|      | 186 | - Declaration of M. Macklin in opposition to motion for leave to amend complaint, 12/4/87, 9:00am |
|      | 187 | Plaintiffs' memo in opposition to motion for summary judgment; 12/4/87, 9:00am |
|      | 188 | - response to statement of material facts not in dispute |

24 | 189 | Defendants' reply memo in support of motion for summary judgment; 12/4/87, 9:00am |

25 | 190 | Plaintiffs' reply memo in support of motion to amend complaint; 12/4/87, 9:00am |

Dec 14 | 191 | ORDER: Defendants' motion for summary judgment is GRANTED. Plaintiffs' motion to amend the complaint is DENIED. RP ENTERED: 12/14/87, copies mailed to counsel. |
|      | 192 | JUDGMENT: Judgment is ENTERED against plaintiff & judgment is entered in favor of defendants'. RPA ENTERED: 12/14/87, copies mailed to counsel. |

CONTINUATION SHEET "G" -38-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>NR.</th>
<th>PROCEEDINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>ORDER OF CORRECTION:</strong> in the order dated 12/14/87.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>193</td>
<td>Defendants' BILL OF COSTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Defendants' A. Rock, P. S. Schlein, P. O. Crisp &amp; H. E. Singleton declaration of Philip F. Atkins-Patterson in support #194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Defendants' Arthur Rock, Philip S. Schlein, Peter O. Crisp &amp; Henry Singleton COST BILL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Copy of #192 mailed to Kohn, Savett, Marion returned from Post Office undeliverable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF proceedings 12/11/87</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Docket fee payment notification form mailed to USCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Costs taxed in the amount of $33,682.95 in favor of the outside director defendants Arthur Rock, Philip S. Schlein, Peter O. Crisp and Henry E. Singleton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>201</td>
<td><strong>Appellate's Transcript Designation &amp; Ordering Form of dates 1/9/87, 12/11/87</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Mailed certificate of record to USCA &amp; counsel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>202</td>
<td>Mailed Reporter's Transcript to record on appeal to USCA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Acknowledgment receipt of transcripts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Copy of order mailed to Kohn, Savett, Marion returned undeliverable from Post Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Copy of order mailed to A. J. Ivers returned undeliverable from Post Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>NR.</td>
<td>PROCEEDINGS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>Acknowledgment Receipt of transcript</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 25</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>STIPULATION &amp; ORDER: The parties agree the pending appeal the &quot;under seal&quot; designation of the following filings in this Court may be removed, and that such documents now on file in this Court may be removed, and that such documents now on file in this Court may be treated by the Court as though they were not filed under seal; (a) all documents included by either party in the excerpt of record filed with the Ninth Circuit; and (b) all documents which Ninth Circuit personnel request be transmitted to that court.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 7</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>USCA ORDER: the judgment of the said District Court in this cause is affirmed in part, reversed in part, and remanded. Each party shall bear its own costs on appeal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>Clerk's notice of status conference on 4-20-90 at 10:30 a.m. parties are to submit to the Court no later than 4-13-90 a status conference statement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>Clerk's notice of status conference mailed to Kohn Savett Marion &amp; Graf returned by Post Office - undeliverable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>Clerk's notice of continuance, the status conference scheduled on calendar for 4-20-90 has been continued to 4-24-90 at 10:30 a.m., before Judge Aguilar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>Letter of change of address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>MINUTES: (4-24-90); (c/r: Unreported); status conference off calendar reset to 4-27-90 at 10:30 a.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>Plaintiff's joint status conference statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>MINUTES: (4-27-90); (c/r: Unreported); status conference held; case continued to 11-12-90 at 2:00 p.m. for pretrial conference. case continued to 11-26-90 at 9:00 a.m. for trial, jury trial. Expert discovery cutoff 8-31-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td></td>
<td>STIPULATION &amp; ORDER: parties stipulate to extend the expert discovery cut-off, currently set at 8/31/90, to 9/18/90. This extension is to prepare for trial, set to commence on 11/28/90.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONTINUED
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>NR.</th>
<th>PROCEEDINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sep 28</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>Letter from Paul Sugarman to Judge Ingram re trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 18</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>ORDER: all in limine motions shall be filed by 1/28/91. Memorandum in opposition to in limine motions shall be filed by 2/14/91. Reply memoranda in support of in limine motions filed by 2/25/91. Oral argument on in limine motions heard on 3/8/91 at 9:00 a.m. Trial briefs and pre-trial statements filed by 3/13/91. Pretrial conference on 3/18/91 at 2:00 p.m. Trial on 3/25/91 at 9:00 a.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 9</td>
<td></td>
<td>REASSESSMENT HEARING TO ORDER OF THE ASSIGNING COMMITTEE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>Plaintiff's notice of motion and motion to modify class definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>219</td>
<td>- memorandum in support of #218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RECEIVED: (proposed) order modifying class definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>Order filed 11/9/90 mailed to the firm of Kohn, Savett, Marion &amp; Graf returned by Post Office, unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 7</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>Defendant's memorandum in opposition to #218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>222</td>
<td>- notice of cross-motion for partial summary judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>223</td>
<td>- statement of material facts not in dispute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>224</td>
<td>- notice of deposition and request for production of documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>225</td>
<td>- declaration of Douglas M. Schwab in opposition to #218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>226</td>
<td>- declaration of service mail served #221 thru #225 to all parties of record, executed 12/7/90.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>STIPULATION AND ORDER continuing hearing date and setting briefing schedule. Hearing on Plaintiffs' motion to modify class definition and definition Defendants' cross motion for partial summary judgment currently set for 12/21/90 is now scheduled for 1/18/91 at 9:00 am. Plaintiffs' reply to defendants' opposition and opposition to defendants' cross motion shall be filed by 12/28/90, and defendants' reply to plaintiff's opposition shall be filed by 1/11/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>Plaintiff's memorandum in support of #218 and in opposition to #222</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONTINUED
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>NR.</th>
<th>PROCEEDINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>Plaintiff's declaration of Dennis A. Gilardi, Sr.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further Docketing on CIVIL
The following investigation was conducted by IA

AT REDWOOD CITY, CALIFORNIA

LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY

On March 1, 1991, Records Clerk, San Mateo County Sheriff's Office, which covers all San Mateo County advised no identifiable criminal record could be located regarding STEVEN PAUL JOBS, born February 24, 1955.
The following investigation was conducted by IA [Redacted] at San Jose, California.

Law Enforcement Agency

On March 1, 1991, the records of the Santa Clara County Criminal Justice Information Control System, which covers the entire county, were reviewed and found to contain no arrest record identifiable with Steven Paul Jobs.
The following investigation was conducted by IA

AT SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA

BETTER BUSINESS BUREAU OF
SAN MATEO COUNTY

On March 20, 1991, [______], Better Business Bureau of San Mateo County, 20 North San Mateo Drive, advised no complaints have been filed against STEVEN PAUL JOBS, or Next Incorporated, Redwood City, California.

AT REDWOOD CITY, CALIFORNIA

CONSUMER FRAUD OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

On March 20, 1991, [______], Consumer Fraud of District Attorney's, San Mateo County, 401 Marshall, advised no complaints have been filed against STEVEN PAUL JOBS or Next Incorporated, Redwood City, California.
The following investigation was conducted by IA

AT SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA

BETTER BUSINESS BUREAU

On March 15, 1991, the records of the Better Business Bureau were searched regarding STEVEN PAUL JOBS and Apple Computer, Inc. This search revealed no record regarding JOBS. It did, however, reveal several complaints with regard to Apple Computer, as with any large firm in the Silicon Valley. It was noted that Apple has always been responsive to all complaints filed, and its reputation is considered outstanding.
0595 MRI 02173
PP RUCNFBB FBISC
DE FBISF #0021 0782351
ZNR UUUUU
P 192255Z MAR 91
FM FBI SAN FRANCISCO (1618-HQ-23908) (P) (SQ 4)
TO DIRECTOR FBI/PRIORITY /
FBI SACRAMENTO/PRIORITY /
BT
UNCLAS
CITE: //3790//

SUBJECT: STEVEN PAUL JOBS; SPIN(B); BUDED: 3/7/91.

REFERENCE BUFAX TO SACRAMENTO, 2/21/91.

ON 3/11/91, IA [REDACTED] CHECKED THE SAN FRANCISCO
COUNTY BUREAU OF VITAL STATISTICS WITH NEGATIVE RESULTS REGARDING
THE BIRTH OF STEVEN PAUL JOBS. NO JOBS WERE LISTED.

DURING INTERVIEW OF APPOINTEE, HE ADVISED THAT HE WAS
ADOPTED.

ON 3/18/91, [REDACTED], SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY SOCIAL
SERVICES ADOPTION UNIT, ADVISED THAT HE COULD LOCATE NO RECORD

CC DESTROYED
OF STEVEN PAUL JOBS WITH A BIRTHDATE OF 2/24/55. HE ADVISED THAT INFORMATION COULD BE OBTAINED THROUGH THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES, ADOPTIONS BRANCH, THAT MAINTAINED RECORDS OF ALL ADOPTIONS IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. HE PROVIDED A TELEPHONE NUMBER OF 916-324-8044.

ON 3/18/91, I CONTACTED AT THE ABOVE NUMBER WHO ADVISED THAT SHE COULD NOT PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION AND THAT THE REQUEST WOULD HAVE TO BE IN WRITING DIRECTED TO THE STATE SOCIAL SERVICES, ADOPTIONS BRANCH, 744 P STREET, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814.

SACRAMENTO. AT SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA: IN AN EFFORT TO EXPEDITE THIS MATTER, SACRAMENTO IS REQUESTED TO CONTACT THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES, ADOPTIONS BRANCH, 744 P STREET, AND ATTEMPT TO VERIFY THE APPOINTEE'S BIRTH.

BT

#0021

NNNN
WMFO RECORD CHECK ROUTING SLIP

FROM: SAC, WMFO (1018-HQ-23908) (A-1) [Redacted] DATE: 3/20/91

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
   (ATTENTION: SPIN UNIT, FBHQ, ROOM 4371)

SUBJECT: Steven Paul Jobs
   SPECIAL INQUIRY
   DEPT: BUREAU

BUDGET: 3/10/91

REFERENCE COMMUNICATION DATED: ________

IN ACCORDANCE WITH REQUEST CONTAINED IN REFERENCED COMMUNICATION, THE FOLLOWING IS SUBMITTED:

   ELECTRONIC SEARCHED 3/13/91, BY CLERK
   GENERAL SEARCHED 3/19/91, BY CLERK
   ELSUR SEARCHED 3/19/91, BY CLERK
   CFR SEARCHED 3/18/91, BY CLERK

A REVIEW OF WMFO ELECTRONIC, GENERAL, ELSUR, AND CFR INDICES FAILED TO REVEAL ANY REPORTABLE INFORMATION IDENTIFIABLE WITH CAPTIONED INDIVIDUAL, RELATIVES, REFERENCES, ASSOCIATES, OR ROOMMATES, AS APPLICABLE, IN THE WMFO AREA, EXCEPT WITH REGARD TO OTHER APPLICANT MATTERS UNLESS NOTED BELOW.

BUREAU (1018-HQ-23908) (ATTN: PSS)
A review of WMFO's electronic, general, ELSUR, and CFR indices revealed no reportable information on captioned individual, other family members or roommates (as applicable) in the WMFO area, except for this investigation or other applicant matters.
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
450 5th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C.

On March 12, 1991, __________, OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT, SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (SEC), advised Investigative Assistant (IA) __________ that their files contained no derogatory information concerning STEVEN PAUL JOBS.

___________ advised SEC records contained no derogatory information for the companies of which JOBS is associated.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE
DALLAS

OFFICE OF ORIGIN
BUREAU

DATE
3/19/91

INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD
3/18/91

TITLE OF CASE
STEVEN PAUL JOBS

REPORT MADE BY
SA

TYPOED BY:
lcs

CHARACTER OF CASE
SPIN (B)

BUDED: 3/7/91 (Past)


- RUC -

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Persons interviewed appraised of Privacy Act.

Dallas Indices (General, Confidential, and Elsur) were not found to contain any reference known to be identical to appointee.

It is to be noted that Dallas, of course, was unable to investigate this matter in the time of the Bureau deadline inasmuch as the lead to conduct investigation was not received until after the Bureau deadline.

APPROVED

SPECIAL AGENT
IN CHARGE

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

COPIES MADE:

1. Bureau (161B-23908)
   (ATTN: SPIN UNIT, RM.4371, FBIHQ)
   1-Dallas (161B-HQ-23908)

CC DESTROYED

DISSEMINATION RECORD OF ATTACHED REPORT

Agency
Request Rec'd.
Date Fwd.
How Fwd.
By

Notations

COVER PAGE
March 19, 1991

Field Office File #: 161B-HQ-23908

Title: STEVEN PAUL JOBS

Character: SPECIAL INQUIRY

Interviewed at advised he has known the appointee for approximately years, He feels the appointee is a very qualified individual and recommends candidate for a position of trust and confidence with the U.S. Government.

- RUC -

DETAILS:

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
The following investigation was conducted by SA

INTERVIEW OF A BUSINESS OFFICIAL

AT DALLAS, TEXAS

On 3/18/91, advised SA with the following information having to do with his knowledge of the appointee, STEVEN PAUL JOBS:

He has known the appointee for about ____ years.

continued that his knowledge of the appointee is based strictly on his knowledge of the appointee in a business situation. He stated that he feels that the appointee is well thought of and has done an excellent job as a member of the COMPUTER INDUSTRY in the United States.

He also noted that he recalled that the appointee was recently married, and that his wife had something to do with Stanford Business School. He described the appointee as being a "genius" in the area of computers.

He stated that he is not personally well acquainted with the appointee with the exception of his meetings He stated that he knows nothing of a derogatory nature regarding applicant's moral character, associates, or loyalty. Neither does he have any personal information with regard to any drug use, prescription medicine or alcohol abuse, personal financial problems, or prejudices that he is aware of.

He also noted that it is his understanding that the appointee previously invented the personalized type computers produced by the APPLE COMPUTER COMPANY, and he is under the understanding that the appointee actually invented the APPLE COMPUTER.

He has been told that the appointee actually did not graduate from college but was able to conduct such an invention due to his extreme intelligence and willing to work. He also noted that apparently many articles and possibly books regarding the appointee have been previously been written because of the appointee's invention of the above-described APPLE COMPUTER CORPORATION.
noted that he recommends the appointee for a position of trust and confidence with the U.S. Government, particularly in anything having to do with computerized information.
NEW ROCHELLE RESIDENT AGENCY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

TO:    DIRECTOR FBI

ATTN:   

DATE:   3/15/91

FROM:   SA 

SUBJECT: STEVEN PAUL JOBS, SPIN, BUD 3/15

COMMENTS: 


THE FAX, MAN, AND NOTHING BUT THE FAX.

NUMBER OF PAGES (INCLUDING COVER SHEET)
FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-78)

FBI

TELETYPE  PRIORITY  UNCLAS
3/15/91

/PRIORITY/

NEW YORK (161-HQ-23908) (NRC) (A-4)

/PRIORITY/
DIRECTOR FBI 

BT

UNCLAS

CITE: //3540:3649//

1 - New York
1 - Supervisor (A-6)

GMM:nbl037D.074
(2)

Approved:______________ Transmitted___________ Per ____________

NOTE: AFTER APPROVAL, PLEASE ROUTE THIS DOCUMENT BACK TO THE WORD PROCESSING SUPERVISOR, NOT TO THE TELETYPE ROOM.

WP Initials:__________
SUBJECT: STEVEN PAUL JOBS; SPECIAL INQUIRY; BUDED: 3/15/91.
RESAN FRANCISCO TELETYPE TO NEW YORK, DATED 3/15/91.

REFERENCE:

ON MARCH 15, 1991, ____________________________, IBM CORPORATION, OLD ORCHARD ROAD, ARMONK, NEW YORK, ADVISED HE HAS KNOWN THE APPOINTEE APPROXIMATELY _______ YEARS. HE EXPLAINED HE INITIALLY MET JOBS THROUGH MUTUAL BUSINESS ASSOCIATES AND THAT FRIENDSHIP CONTINUES TILL TODAY. HE STATED THE APPOINTEE HAS ALWAYS BEEN AN IMPRESSIVE INDIVIDUAL FROM EVERY ADVANTAGE POINT AND HE WAS UNAWARE OF ANY INFORMATION CONCERNING THE
APPOINTEE WHICH MIGHT BE TERMED DERROGATORY. HE NOTED HE HAS BEEN WITH JOBS IN SOCIAL SETTINGS BUT HAS NEVER WITNESSED ANY ILLEGAL DRUG USAGE OR ALCOHOL ABUSE BY THE APPOINTEE. HE NOTED THE APPOINTEE SEEMINGLY LIVES WITHIN HIS FINANCIAL MEANS AND HE HAS NEVER WITNESSED ANY EXAMPLES OF AN EXTRAVAGANT LIFESTYLE HAVING BEEN PRACTICED BY JOBS. HE NOTED THE APPOINTEE IS AN INTELLIGENT, CHEERFUL INDIVIDUAL TO BE WITH AND NOTED HE HAS NEVER WITNESSED ANY RASH OR FRIVOLOUS BEHAVIOR BY THE APPOINTEE. HE STATED THE APPOINTEE IS A MAN OF GOOD SOLID JUDGEMENT AND NOTED HE SEEMS TO BE A MORALLY UPRIGHT INDIVIDUAL. HE FELT THE APPOINTEE HAS THE ABILITY
TO GET ALONG WELL WITH ALL TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS AND HE HAS NEVER HEARD JOBS UTTER ANY COMMENTS WHICH MIGHT BE CONSTRUED OR PREJUDICED TOWARDS ANY INDIVIDUAL OR GROUPS OF INDIVIDUALS BECAUSE OF RACE, COLOR, CREED, OR ETHNIC BACKGROUND. HE FELT THE APPOINTEE HAS DONE AN OUTSTANDING JOB IN THE COMPUTER INDUSTRY AND BASED ON PAST OBSERVATIONS WOULD HAVE NO HESITANCY IN RECOMMENDING HIM FOR A WHITE HOUSE APPOINTMENT.

ON MARCH 15, 1991, IBM CORPORATION, OLD ORCHARD ROAD, ARMONK, NEW YORK, ADVISED HE HAS KNOWN THE APPOINTEE APPROXIMATELY YEARS. HE
EXPLAINED HE MET JOBS THROUGH MUTUAL BUSINESS ASSOCIATES AND THAT FRIENDSHIP CONTINUES TO TODAY. HE STATED HE KNOWS THE APPOINTEE ON A PROFESSIONAL BASIS BUT HAS ALSO SEEN HIM IN SOCIAL SETTINGS AS WELL. HE NOTED THE APPOINTEE HAS A LIVELY PERSONALITY AND SEEMINGLY GETS ALONG WITH ALL TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS HE COMES IN CONTACT WITH. HE FELT THE APPOINTEE IS AN HONEST, TRUSTWORTHY INDIVIDUAL, ONE Whose BEHAVIOR HAS ALWAYS BEEN ABOVE REPROACH. HE FELT THE APPOINTEE WAS NOT GIVEN TO ANY ILLEGAL DRUG USAGE NOR WAS HE AWARE OF ANY ALCOHOL ABUSE EVER HAVING BEEN SHOWN BY JOBS. HE NOTED THE APPOINTEE HAS NEVER EXHIBITED AN EXTRAVAGANT OR FLASHY LIFESTYLE AND
TO THE BEST OF HIS KNOWLEDGE FELT THE APPOINTEE LIVED WITHIN HIS
FINANCIAL MEANS. HE NOTED THE APPOINTEE SEEMS TO BE A HARDWORKING
INDUSTRIOUS INDIVIDUAL, ONE WHO IS LOW KEYED AND ASSURED AT ALL
TIMES. HE STATED THE APPOINTEE ENJOYS A HIGH DEGREE OF RESPECT IN
THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY AND NOTED HE HAS ACHIEVED MUCH IN HIS LIFE.
HE BELIEVES THE APPOINTEE IS A STABLE IMPRESSIVE INDIVIDUAL AND
NOTED HE IS ENDOWED WITH MUCH COMMON SENSE. HE FELT THE APPOINTEE
IS NOT GIVEN TO ANY IMPULSIVE OR FLIGHTY DECISIONS AND NOTED HE HAS
NEVER HEARD JOBS UTTER ANY COMMENTS CONCERNING ANY INDIVIDUAL OR
GROUPS OF INDIVIDUALS BECAUSE OF RACE, COLOR, CREED OR ETHNIC
BACKGROUND. HE FELT THE APPOINTEE IS AN IMPRESSIVE, OUTSTANDING INDIVIDUAL AND KNEW OF NO REASON NOT TO RECOMMEND HIM FOR A WHITE HOUSE APPOINTMENT.
SUBJECT: STEVEN PAUL JOBS; SPIN (B); BUDED: 3/7/91.

REFERENCE BUTEL TO SAN FRANCISCO 2/21/91.

FOR INFORMATION OF RECEIVING OFFICES MR JOBS IS BEING CONSIDERED
FOR A PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENT TO THE PRESIDENT'S EXPORT COUNCIL.
APPOINTEE IS CURRENTLY PRESIDENT OF NEXT COMPUTER, INC, REDWOOD CITY,
CALIFORNIA. APPOINTEE FORMERLY CHAIRMAN OF APPLE COMPUTER.

APPOINTEE INTERVIEWED 3/13/91. THROUGH INTERVIEW OF APPOINTEE
AND OTHERS AT NEXT COMPUTER, INC., THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUALS WERE IDENTIFIED AS PEOPLE WHO WOULD KNOW THE APPOINTEE AND COULD COMMENT ON HIS SUITABILITY FOR THE APPOINTMENT.

PAT CRECINE, PRESIDENT, GEORGIA TECH, ATLANTA, GEORGIA, TELEPHONE NUMBER (404) 894-5051, IS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF NEXT COMPUTER, INC.

DALLAS, TEXAS, 

TELEPHONE NUMBER

OF NEXT COMPUTER INC.

1565 CHARLESTON ROAD, MOUNTAIN VIEW, CALIFORNIA, TELEPHONE NUMBER

APPLE COMPUTER, CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA, TELEPHONE NUMBER OR HOME NUMBER

AT

APPLE COMPUTER, CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA, TELEPHONE NUMBER

IBM, OLD ORCHARD ROAD,

ARMONK, NEW YORK, TELEPHONE NUMBER

IBM, OLD ORCHARD ROAD,

ARMONK, NEW YORK, TELEPHONE NUMBER
SUN MICROSYSTEMS,
2550 GARCIA AVENUE, MOUNTAIN VIEW, CALIFORNIA, TELEPHONE NUMBER

SUN MICROSYSTEMS, 2550 GARCIA AVENUE, MOUNTAIN VIEW, CALIFORNIA, TELEPHONE NUMBER

PIXAR, SOFTWARE COMPANY, 1001 WEST CUTTING BOULEVARD, RICHMOND, CALIFORNIA, TELEPHONE NUMBER

LEADS

ATLANTA

AT ATLANTA, GEORGIA: INTERVIEW PAT CRECINE.

DALLAS

AT DALLAS, TEXAS: INTERVIEW ________________

NEW ROCHELLE

AT ARMONK, NEW YORK: INTERVIEW

IBM.

SAN FRANCISCO

AT SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA: INTERVIEW AND

AT SUN MICROSYSTEMS. AND AT

APPLE COMPUTER. INTERVIEW AT ADOBE SYSTEMS.

SAN FRANCISCO
AT CONCORD, CALIFORNIA: INTERVIEW AT PIXAR SOFTWARE COMPANY WHICH IS OWNED BY THE APPOINTEE.

BT
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REFERENCE: Bureau airtel to WMFO dated 2/21/91 and telcall on 3/6/91.

- P -

ADMINISTRATIVE: Where appropriate, all persons interviewed were advised of the provisions of the Privacy Act (e) (3), and promises of confidentiality were made where noted.

LEAD: Outstanding are indices and an SEC check.
Copy to:

Report of: PSS  
Date: March 7, 1991

Office: WMFO

Field Office File #: 161B-HQ-23908
Bureau File #: 161B-HQ-23908

Title: STEVEN PAUL JOBS

Character: SPIN B

Synopsis: White House Office satisfactory. PIS/DOJ negative. USSS checks negative.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
THE WHITE HOUSE OFFICE (WHO)

The Executive Clerk's Office
Old Executive Office Building
17th and Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C.

The following investigation was conducted by SA on 2/27/91 regarding STEVEN PAUL JOBS.

No record of appointment or nomination by the President of the United States could be located in this office.
On February 25, 1991, Investigative Assistant (IA) caused a search to be made of the files of the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (DOJ), Public Integrity Section, Criminal Division, N.W., Washington, D.C., concerning STEVEN PAUL JOBS.

On March 5, 1991, Public Integrity Section, DOJ, advised IA that a search of the files concerning STEVEN PAUL JOBS was met with negative results.
MISCELLANEOUS

IA caused a search to be made of the files of the United States Secret Service, Department of the Treasury, and was advised on 2/28/91, that no record was located concerning the appointee.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE: SACRAMENTO
OFFICE OF ORIGIN: BUREAU
DATE: 3/6/91
INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD: 3/6/91

TITLE OF CASE: STEVEN PAUL JOBS

REPORT MADE BY: IA b6 b7c
TYPED BY: mcn

CHARACTER OF CASE: SPIN (B)

REFERENCE
Bureau airtel 2/21/91.
- RUC -

ADMINISTRATIVE
Sacramento general, special, ELSUR and FOIMS indices negative.

APPROVED

SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

2 - Bureau (...)
1 - Sacramento (161B-HQ-R-23908)

CC DESTROYED

Dissemination Record of Attached Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Request Recd.</th>
<th>Date Fwd.</th>
<th>How Fwd.</th>
<th>By</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Notations

COVER PAGE
Copy to:

Report of: IA  
Date: MARCH 6, 1991  
Office: SACRAMENTO

Field Office File #: SC 161B-HQ-R-23908  
Bureau File #: b6 b7c

Title: STEVEN PAUL JOBS

Character: SPECIAL INQUIRY

Synopsis: Appointee's birth verified.

- RUC -

Details: At Sacramento, California

On March 6, 1991, Bureau of Vital Statistics advised appointee was born February 24, 1955, at San Francisco, California, to and CLARA HAGAPIAN. Appointee has birth certificate number 55-075100.

On March 6, 1991, contact with the California Attorney General's Office, Public Inquiry Unit, determined they have received no complaints regarding PIXAR or NEXT, INC. They advised they file complaints regarding companies only.

- 1* -
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE  OFFICE OF ORIGIN  DATE  INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD
CINCINNATI  BUREAU  3/6/91  2/25/91

TITLE OF CASE  REPORT MADE BY  TYPED BY:
STEVEN PAUL JOBS  IA  b6  JSG

CHARACTER OF CASE  SPECIAL INQUIRY (B)

REFERENCE:

Director airtel to Cincinnati, 2/21/91.

-RUC-

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Where appropriate, Privacy Act (e)(3) data was furnished to persons interviewed. Express promises of confidentiality, both limited and unlimited, have been noted where granted.

Cincinnati Office General Indices, Elsur Index and Confidential Indices were negative regarding the appointee.
Copy to:

Report of: IA
Date: March 6, 1991
Field Office File #: 161-CI-C58081-38
Title: STEVEN PAUL JOBS

Office: CINCINNATI
Bureau File #: 161B-23908

Character: SPECIAL INQUIRY

Synopsis: Records, DEFENSE INDUSTRIAL SECURITY CLEARANCE OFFICE (DISCO), Columbus, Ohio, reflect appointee has a terminated Top Secret clearance.

- RUC -

DETAILS:

DEFENSE INDUSTRIAL SECURITY CLEARANCE OFFICE (DISCO)

On February 25, 1991, Security Clerk, Status and Inquiry Branch, DISCO, Columbus, Ohio, was personally contacted and she advised she located the following security clearance in their files identifiable with the appointee, STEVEN PAUL JOBS, SSAN: 549-94-3295:

Top Secret clearance dated November 3, 1988, based on a Background Investigation by the Defense Investigative Service dated August 30, 1988. This clearance terminated July 31, 1990, and the employing agency is:

PIXAR
San Rafael, California

- 1* -
SUBJECT: STEVEN PAUL JOBS; SPIN; BUDED: MARCH 9, 1991.

RE BU FACSIMILE TO SAN DIEGO FEBRUARY 27, 1991.

REVIEW OF SAN DIEGO REFERENCE SD 1838-870-36-56 REVEALED
THAT BY LETTER DATED JUNE 1, 1989, LAW OFFICES
OF TROY AND GOULD, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION, 1801 CENTURY PARK
EAST, 16TH FLOOR, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067, FURNISHED A COPY
OF VARIOUS NEWSPAPER ARTICLES STORED IN NEXIS AND OTHER
COMMERCIAL DATA BASES, REGARDING

A REVIEW OF INFORMATION FURNISHED BY REVEALED THAT

CC DESTROYED
(DESCRIBED AS A FORMER "HIPPIE"), HAD AT ONE TIME RUN AN APPLE ORCHARD IN PORTLAND, OREGON, IN PARTNERSHIP WITH STEVEN JOBS. JOBS LATER CO-FOUNDED APPLE COMPUTER, INC. INFORMATION SET FORTH THAT IN APPROXIMATELY THE EARLY 1970'S WITH STEVEN P. JOBS, DESCRIBED AS AFTER LEAVING THE APPLE ORCHARD PARTNERSHIP, JOBS STARTED THE APPELS COMPUTER BUSINESS.

THE REFERENCE ALSO SET FORTH INFORMATION THAT JOBS WAS AT ONE TIME PART OWNER OF TIMBERLAND IN OREGON, WHICH INCLUDED A MINE.

NO FURTHER INFORMATION WAS CONTAINED IN ABOVE REFERENCE, AND NO FURTHER DESCRIPTIVE DATA, OR DEROGATORY INFORMATION WAS NOTED.

SAN DIEGO GENERAL INDICES (BY IA, MARCH 4, 1991); CONFIDENTIAL INDICES (BY VI, MARCH 4, 1991) AND ELSUR INDICES (BY, MARCH 5, 1991) ALL NEGATIVE RE STEVEN PAUL JOBS.

BT
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FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE
NEW YORK

OFFICE OF ORIGIN
BUREAU

DATE
3/1/91

INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD
2/28/91-3/1/91

REPORT MADE BY
SA

TYPED BY:
b6 tcn

b7C

CHARACTER OF CASE
SPIN (B)

REFERENCE
BuFax to New York on 2/21/91.

ADMINISTRATIVE

All individuals were advised of the provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974 and none requested confidentiality.

On February 25, 1991, support employee conducted a search of the NYO CIPU indices and found no positively identifiable information regarding the candidates, candidate's family or associates.

On February 25, 1991, support employee conducted a search of the NYO ELSUR indices and found no positively identifiable information regarding the candidate, candidate's family or associates.

On February 25, 1991, support employee conducted a search of the NYO GENERAL indices and found no positively identifiable information regarding the candidate, candidate's family or associates.
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Copy to:

Report of: SA
Date: March 1, 1991

Field Office File #: 161B-HQ-23908
Bureau File #: 161B-HQ-23908

Title: Steven Paul Jobs

Character: SPIN(B)

Synopsis:
Candidate's listed ownership of apartment verified. Candidate has not lived in the apartment yet because it is undergoing extensive renovation therefore there were no tenants familiar with the candidate.

RUC
On March 1, 1991, contacted the San Remo Apartments, 146 Central Park West, New York, New York, 10023. verified that the candidate was the owner of an apartment in the San Remo. He also stated that the candidate has never occupied the apartment because upon the purchase of the apartment, the candidate proceeded to completely renovate the apartment. He further indicated that there was nobody who would be familiar with the candidate. He stated that he did not know the candidate personally but the fact that the candidate was allowed to buy an apartment in the San Remo is an indication that he is of good character. He was then unable to provide any other information about the candidate.
REQUEST FOR CREDIT CHECK

TO: CONTRACTOR
FROM: SPECIAL INQUIRY UNIT (SPIN), CID
ATTENTION: ROOM 4371 EXT. 2587

RESPONSE CRITERIA: 5 WORK DAYS
TYPE OF REQUEST: SUITABILITY

DATE OF BIRTH (DOB): 02/24/55 PLACE OF BIRTH (POB): SAN FRANCISCO, CA
SEX: M SOCIAL SECURITY ACCOUNT NUMBER (SSAN): 549-94-3295

SUBJECT'S NAME: JOBS, STEVEN PAUL
MAIDEN:

SPouse'S NAME: 
MAIDEN:

SUBJECT'S CURRENT ADDRESS:

SUBJECT'S ADDRESS(ES) FOR LAST SEVEN (7) YEARS:
460 MOUNTAIN HOME RD, WOODSIDE, CA 94062
15900 WEST RD, LOS GATOS, CA 95030

ENCLOSURE - RELEASE FORM TO BE ATTACHED
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Carefully read this authorization to release information about you, then sign and date it in ink.

AUTHORITY FOR RELEASE OF INFORMATION

I Authorize any duly accredited representative of the Federal Government, including those from the U.S. Office of Personnel Management, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the Department of Defense, to obtain any information relating to my activities from schools, residential management agents, employers, criminal justice agencies, financial or lending institutions, credit bureaus, consumer reporting agencies, retail business establishments, medical institutions, hospitals or other repositories of medical records, or individuals. This information may include, but is not limited to, my academic, residential, achievement, performance, attendance, personal history, disciplinary, criminal history record, arrest, conviction, medical, psychiatric/psychological, and financial and credit information.

I Further Authorize the U.S. Office of Personnel Management, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Department of Defense, and any other authorized agency, to request criminal history record information about me from criminal justice agencies for the purpose of determining my eligibility for access to classified information, or assignment to, or retention in, sensitive national security duties, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 9101.

I Direct You To Release such information upon request of the duly accredited representative of any authorized agency regardless of any agreement I may have made with you previously to the contrary.

I Understand that the information you release is for official use by the Federal Government, and that these users may redisclose the information you release as authorized by law.

I Release any individual, including records custodians, from all liability for damages that may result to me on account of compliance or any attempts to comply with this authorization. This release is binding, now and in the future, on my heirs, assigns, associates, and personal representative(s) of any nature. Copies of this authorization that show my signature are as valid as the original release signed by me.

Signature (Sign in ink)  

Full Name ( Typed)  

Social Security Number  

Other Names Used  

Date  

Parent/Guardian Signature (if Required)  

Page 10
JOBS, STEVEN PAUL

SUBJECTS NAME
MS DEP DOB SSN

CURRENT ADDRESS
460 MOUNTAIN HOME RD WOODS,
FORMER ADDRESS

CURRENT EMPLOYER
APPLE COMPUTER 10495 BANDLEY DR CA

OCCUPATION

FORMER EMPLOYER

FORMER OCCUPATION

************ TRADE ************

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBR NAME</th>
<th>ECOA TYPE</th>
<th>ACCOUNT NUMBER</th>
<th>MOP HIST</th>
<th>PAYMENT REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUBR CODE</td>
<td>DLR  DO DIA</td>
<td>HIGH TERM</td>
<td>BAL</td>
<td>PAST PATTERN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 60 90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B OF A</td>
<td>1 18</td>
<td></td>
<td>000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3200699</td>
<td>07-87 1-81 01-91</td>
<td>0010000</td>
<td>NNNNNNNNNNNN</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B OF A</td>
<td>1 18</td>
<td></td>
<td>005749</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3201276</td>
<td>01-91 01-84 01-91</td>
<td>0025000</td>
<td>CCCCCCCCCCCC</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

********** DECODE **********

3200699 BANK OF AMERICA (415) 622-5776
BOX 37114 RINCON ANN, SAN FRANCISCO CA 94137

3201276 BANK OF AMERICA (415) 953-6359
ONE SOUTH VAN NESS, SAN FRANCISCO CA 94103

********** END OF REPORT **********
TO: FACILITY ACCESS & SECURITY UNIT  
ROOM 4370  
ATTN:  

FROM: SPECIAL INQUIRY UNIT  
ROOM 4371  
ATTN: X 4773  

BUDED: N/A

*************************************************************************************************************************************
*** NOTICE OF PERSONNEL INVESTIGATIONS ***
*************************************************************************************************************************************

TYPE OF APPOINTMENT XXXXXXXXXX  
DATE OF APPOINTMENT XX/XX/XXXX

POSITION SENSITIVITY: 3  
(1=NS, 2=NCS, 3=CS, 4=SS)

INVESTIGATING AGENCY: SOI - DJ90

INVESTIGATION TYPE: 30(11=PRI, 15=MBI, 20=LBI, 25=BI, 30=SBI, 39=OTHER)

DATE INVESTIGATION INITIATED: N/A

AGENCY FILE #: N/A

AGENCY FILE LOCATION: SOI - DJ90

OR IF NOT AT SOI, ENTER LOCATION BELOW:

AGENCY NAME: XXXXXXXXXX

AGENCY LOCATION: XXXXXXX

*************************************************************************************************************************************
*** SUBJECT IDENTIFICATION ***
*************************************************************************************************************************************

NAME: JOBS STEVEN PAUL  
(LAST) (FIRST) (MIDDLE) (SUFFIX)

SSN: 549-94-3295  
DOB: 02/24/55

POB: SAN FRANCISCO CA  
(CITY) (COUNTRY) (STATE)

(COUNTRY IF OTHER THAN U.S)

AKA: LAST FIRST MIDDLE SUFF FROM TO NEE

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SII SEARCH REQUESTED? Y  
SII FILE RELEASE REQUESTED? Y

*************************************************************************************************************************************
NOTICE OF INVESTIGATION COMPLETED - NO SII RECORD FOR SSN 549-94-3295

FUNCTION: ______ NOTICE OF PERSONNEL INVESTIGATION ______

TYPE OF APPOINTMENT: ______________________ DATE OF APPOINTMENT: __ / __ / _____

POSITION SENSITIVITY: ______ (1=NS, 2=NCS, 3=CS, 4=SS)

INVESTIGATING AGENCY: SOI - ______

INVESTIGATION TYPE: ______ (11=PRI, 15=MBI, 20=LBI, 25=BI, 30=SBI, 39=OTHER)

DATE INVESTIGATION INITIATED: __ / __ / _____

AGENCY FILE #: ________________

AGENCY FILE LOCATION: SOI - ______

OR, IF NOT AT SOI, ENTER LOCATION BELOW:

AGENCY NAME: ______________________

ADDRESS: __________________________

(A) AGENCY MENU (E) END

4B* G2
From: Director, FBI (161B-23908) 2/21/91

To: SACs, Cincinnati - (SF-86 Enclosed)
   New York - (SF-86 Enclosed)
   Sacramento - (SF-86 Enclosed)
   San Francisco - (SF-86 Enclosed)
   Washington Field Office - (SF-86 Enclosed)

STEVEN PAUL JOBS
SPIN (B)
BUDED: 3/7/91

Bureau has been requested to conduct a Level III background investigation of Mr. Jobs for a Presidential appointment to the President's Export Council, which does not require Senate confirmation.

Field Offices are reminded that the investigative status of a background investigation (BI), all deadlines and the position for which the appointee is being considered shall not be disclosed to any interviewees. If during the course of the investigation, interviewees provide the nature of the position, that information is not to be further divulged outside the FBI. Inquiries by interviewees concerning the nature of the position are to be handled as set forth in MIOG, Part II, Section 17-5 (5). Appointees making inquiries regarding the status of their BI are to be referred to the client agency.

Conduct investigation in accordance with guidelines set forth in airtel to all offices dated 11/29/90, captioned "Changes in Special Inquiry (SPIN) Background Investigations (BI) and Procedures".

Appointee is described as born on February 24, 1955, in San Francisco, California; has SSAN 549-94-3295; resides at and is currently president of NEXT, Incorporated, Redwood City, California.
STEVEN PAUL JOBS

Appointee will be required to make decisions concerning policy and personnel matters; therefore, during interviews, determine if appointee has expressed or manifested any bias or prejudice against any individual or group based upon sex, race, color, religion, national origin, handicap or age. Determine if appointee lives within his financial means. Also determine if appointee uses illegal drugs or abuses alcohol.

Investigation should receive immediate attention and receiving offices should telephonically advise FBHQ of any derogatory information developed, confirm by teletype, and record pertinent interviews relating to derogatory information on FD-302s.

Receiving offices note that the last ten years of appointee's life must be accounted for and, if during the course of investigation unexplained or unaccounted for gaps are identified, leads should be directed to the field office covering appointee's current employment to have appointee contacted and to have his activities during specific periods identified.

As this is a Presidential appointment, field offices are expected to meet deadline.

LEADS:

San Francisco: Immediately interview appointee in accordance with MIOG, Part II, Section 17-5.6 and will report results on an FD-302. At an absolute minimum, the FD-302 should clearly set forth the appointee's responses to those questions labeled a-m under Section 17-5.6 cited above. Determine date and place of birth of daughter, Also, determine who her mother is and interview her or set lead.

Obtain comments from Mr./Jobs concerning any past/current drug use and his termination from Apple Computers.

San Francisco: Insure at least fifteen persons knowledgeable concerning appointee are interviewed. Check Better Business Bureau concerning any complaints that may have been lodged against him or his companies. Through records and interviews, determine reason for termination from Apple Computers. Obtain details of listed lawsuits through appointee and court records. Determine dispositions and if appointee was ever personally named as a defendant. Contact the United States Attorneys' Offices wherever appointee has lived or worked as an adult to determine if any indication appointee has been involved in a matter handled by the U. S. Attorney's Office (either criminal or civil, in closed or current files).
STEVEN PAUL JOBS

Cincinnati: Check DISCO.

Sacramento: Verify date and place of birth through Bureau of Vital Statistics. Check State Attorney General's office for any complaints/grievances against appointee or companies.

WMFO check White House, United States Secret Service, and Public Integrity Section, Department of Justice.

Submit results of completed investigation to reach FBIHQ no later than COB BUDED; Use express mail or overnight delivery service if necessary.

Direct results to the attention of [REDACTED] SPIN [REDACTED] Unit, Room 4371, Extension 2587.
IDENT CHECK  ROOM: 112  TUBE: J1, ATTN:  
FROM: SPECIAL INQUIRY UNIT, DIV 6, RM 4371, TL114  EXT: 2587 ATTN: PSS  
SUBJECT: STEVEN PAUL JOBS  BUDGED: 03/07/91  

THE BUREAU HAS BEEN REQUESTED TO CONDUCT AN EXPEDITED BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION OF THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED SUBJECT, WHO IS BEING CONSIDERED FOR PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENT. YOU ARE REQUESTED TO CHECK APPROPRIATE INDICES BASED UPON AVAILABLE INFORMATION CONCERNING SUBJECT, EMPLOYMENT, AND ALL CLOSE RELATIVES. IT IS REQUESTED THAT THE RESULTS OF YOUR CHECK, WHETHER POSITIVE OR NEGATIVE, BE INDICATED IN THE SPACES PROVIDED BELOW, AND RELAYED TO THE SPECIAL INQUIRY UNIT, RM 4371, VIA ROUTING SLIP MARKED 'URGENT'.

SUBJECT IS DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

RESULT NAME: STEVEN PAUL JOBS
DOB: 02/24/55
POB: SAN FRANCISCO, CA
SSN: 549-94-3295
CURRENT ADDRESS:

EMPLOYMENT: NEXT, INC
900 CHESAPEAKE DR REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063

CLOSE RELATIVES

RESULT NAME  DOB  RESIDENCE

CHECK CONDUCTED BY: ___________________________ ON ____________

* BASED UPON INFORMATION FURNISHED, A SEARCH OF THE IDENTIFICATION DIVISION FILES FAILED TO DISCLOSE ANY IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION. MAR 1 '93

* UNABLE TO IDENTIFY WITH ARREST RECORD ON BASIS OF INFORMATION FURNISHED. FBI IDENTIFICATION DIVISION. MAR 1 '97
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Date Filed</th>
<th>To be returned</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5-14-86</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Miscellaneous notes:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2/7/85 wasn't counted at Apple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5-14-86</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Patent FO impressions:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Public telephone: 377-9366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Care : Level 5 storage: SF1A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5-14-86</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Original notes: interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>of</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

192 B-196 - Jul-1 A

MAY 14 1986
FBI - SAN FRANCISCO
Field File No. SF 192 B-196-1A-1

Date Received 2/7/85

From FBI

(Address of Contributor)

SS CA

By SA

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☐ No

Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☐ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rules 6(e), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure

Description:

Misc. notes on 2/7 situation at apple

192B-196-1A-1
(415) 877-9566

CPE G Level 5

No one in area of phone

is proper

will dust for prints

To A.D. Hett

Gin to ent
turn I there is
a candy patch tabi
insubstantial under
taped
look for info.
Object (413) 877-9566

@ Disc
tell by Convex

Appears to be R. P. Hilton's
full candy magazine + a
fatalism un service table

No chart
Per Central till 4 pm
1/25/30
Said Called back and put on hold by
hang up, waiting for call back

x369
See tabs if anyone phone who to route to?

3:24 - CF

Team standing by at site (from city)
SF
Field File No. 1928-196-1A-2
00 and File No. SF 1928-
Date Received: 2/5/85
From: (NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR) Snico5b
(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR) 97C
Redwood City, Ca
(CITY, AND STATE)
By: (NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT)

To Be Returned □ Yes □ No □ No □ Yes
Receipt Given □ Yes

Grand Jury Material-
Disseminate Only
Pursuant to Rules
6(e), Federal Rules
of Criminal Procedure

Description:

Latent FP
impressions put
all 877-9566:
Floor 6, Level 5
Garage, SF1A
1928-196-1A-2
Case No. ____________________________ Offense ____________________________

Complainant ____________________________

Address ____________________________ SFIA.

Processed by ____________________________ Star No. ____________________________

Date 2-7-85 ____________________________ Time ____________________________

Print from object Telephone Holder ____________________________

Button (□) Airport Level 5 ____________________________

Code G # 415-877-9566 ____________________________
Case No.__________________________Offense________________________

Complainant__________________________

Address ____________________________

Processed by__________________________Star No.__________________________

Date 2-7-85 Time__________________________

Print from (object) Mid Portion of Telephone

Receiver__________________________Airport Level 5

Core 6 3415-577-9566
Case No.  
Offense  

Complainant: SFLA  

Address  

Processed by:  Star No:  

Date: 2-7-85  Time:  

Print from (object): Top portion of Telephone  
Receiver  

Airport Level: 5  
Code: G  Phone: 815-877-9566
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field File No.</th>
<th>SF 192B-196-1A-3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00 and File No.</td>
<td>SF 192B-196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Received</td>
<td>3/7/85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From</td>
<td>FBI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)</td>
<td>San Jose, CA 95001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By</td>
<td>b6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NAME OF SPECIFIC AGENT)</td>
<td>b7C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To Be Returned</td>
<td>☐ Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipt Given</td>
<td>☐ Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grand Jury Material- Disseminate Only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pursuant to Rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6(e), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>☑ Original notes re interview of 1928-196-1A-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2/7/85

Apple Computer, Inc.
1061 N. De Anza Blvd., Cupertino, CA

415-872-9566

1. ( ) Do not want to talk

2. ( ) I called John, "Hello, this is John. I'm in a personal family matter, I don't want to know about it in a meeting all day. Most important. Have to give him some idea of it. I do like his little device. That thing is very, very good. I'll call you in 15 minutes.

3. ( ) I called John, "Hello, this is John. We're going..."

4. ( ) Wanted John. We worked it out. He's going to come down and speak to the police about it.

5. ( ) We decided - We have some problems with delivery on part.

6. ( ) got better put this -
1) 10:00 AM: Phone call - Inevitable:
    paid water bill
2) (V) Family picnic 
    left 11th which
    - go to

S.E. Helton who wrote under title: The
want to cook machine. - if they by 2:30 PM -
If not 1st band see off at 3:00 PM
FM San Francisco (9A-New)(P)(SJRA)

TO DIRECTOR (IMMEDIATE)

BT UNCLAS

UNSUB, AKA STEVEN JOBS,

VICTIMS: EXTORTION. CO: SAN FRANCISCO.

DURING MORNIGN HOURS OF THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 1985, AN UNIDENTIFIED MALE CALLER MADE A SERIES OF TELEPHONE CALLS TO

OF APPLE COMPUTER, INC., 10201 NORTH DE ANZA BOULEVARD, CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA, AND ADVISED THAT "DEVICES"

HAD BEEN PLACED IN HOMES OF CAPTIONED INDIVIDUALS, AND ONE MILLION DOLLARS MUST BE PAID. CALLER ALSO STATED THAT A FOURTH BOMB HAD BEEN PLACED, BUT REFUSED TO GIVE LOCATION AND STATED THIS ONE WOULD GO OFF IF AUTHORITIES NOTIFIED.

DURING ONE CALL, UNSUB FIRST STATED THAT SHOULD TAKE A BART TRAIN, BUT REFUSED BEFORE UNSUB COULD ELABORATE.

DURING SUBSEQUENT CALL, UNSUB STATED THAT SHOULD GO TO

Approved: (1) Transmitted 11:25 Per (1)
THE SAN FRANCISCO HILTON HOTEL WHERE A TABLE IS LOCATED NEXT TO A CANDY MACHINE, AND UNDER THIS TABLE THERE WOULD BE A NOTE WITH FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS. CALLER STATED THIS MUST BE DONE BY 2:30 P.M. ON FEBRUARY 7, 1985, OR BOMBS WOULD GO OFF.

FIRST TWO CALLS MADE BY UNSUB FOR _______ WERE TAKEN BY STAFF PEOPLE. DURING FIRST CALL, NO THREATS MADE AND UNSUB IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS _______ AND STATED _______ SHOULD CALL HIM AT (415)877-9566 BECAUSE THERE WAS A FAMILY EMERGENCY.

SUBSEQUENTLY TELEPHONED THIS NUMBER AND CONVERSED WITH UNSUB, WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS _______. UNSUB INFORMED THAT _______ IN MEETINGS ALL DAY AND UNAVAILABLE. UNSUB STATED THIS WAS PERSONAL FAMILY MATTER. _______ TOLD UNSUB SHE NEEDED SOME IDEA OF PROBLEM IN ORDER TO INFORM _______ AND UNSUB STATED TO TELL HIM THAT THERE ARE "DEVICES" THAT CAN GO OFF AND THAT HE WILL CALL _______ BACK IN FIFTEEN MINUTES.

UNSUB SUBSEQUENTLY TALKED TO _______ ABOUT DEMANDS PREVIOUSLY SET OUT IN THIS COMMUNICATION.

_______ REFUSED TO COMPLY WITH UNSUB'S DEMANDS AND INFORMED HIM THAT HE FELT THREAT NOT A REAL ONE. CONSEQUENTLY, NO ATTEMPT
PAGE THREE

Made by □ OR FBI TO FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS.

TELEPHONE NUMBER (415) 877-9566 DETERMINED TO BELONG TO PUBLIC TELEPHONE BOOTH IN PARKING GARAGE AT SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT.

IMMEDIATE COVERAGE AFFORDED SAN FRANCISCO HILTON AND TELEPHONE BOOTH WITH NEGATIVE RESULTS. NO NOTE LOCATED UNDER TABLE AS DESCRIBED BY UNSUB.

TELEPHONE TRAP PLACED BY TELEPHONE COMPANY ON VICTIM'S OFFICE TELEPHONE IN THE EVENT FURTHER CALLS RECEIVED, HOWEVER, NO FURTHER CALLS MADE TO DATE BY UNSUB.

SANTA CLARA COUNTY; CALIFORNIA SHERIFF'S OFFICE CANINE UNIT MADE SWEEP OF VICTIMS' RESIDENCES AND AUTOS, AS WELL AS BUILDING HOUSING OFFICES OF □ AND NO DEVICES LOCATED.

□ VOLUNTARILY FURNISHED INFORMATION TO LOCAL NEWSPAPER AFTER MEDIA INQUIRED OF UNUSUAL ACTIVITY.

SAN FRANCISCO MAINTAINING CONTACT WITH APPLE COMPUTER IN EVENT ADDITIONAL INFORMATION DEVELOPS.

BT
FROM SAN FRANCISCO (192B-196) (P) (SJRA)

TO DIRECTOR (ROUTINE)

BT

UNCLASSIFIED

TITLE CHANGED: UNSUB; APPLE COMPUTER, INC; 10201 NORTH DE ANZA BOULEVARD, CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA - VICTIM; VICTIM; STEVEN JOBS - VICTIM; VICTIM; FEBRUARY 8, 1985; HOBBS ACT - COMMERCIAL INSTITUTIONS; OO: SAN FRANCISCO

RE SAN FRANCISCO TELETYPE TO DIRECTOR FEBRUARY 8, 1985, CAPTIONED, UNSUB, AKA STEVEN JOBS; VICTIMS; EXTORTION; OO: SAN FRANCISCO (9A-NEW)." TITLE MARKED CHANGED TO REFLECT CORRECT TITLE AND CLASSIFICATION.

BT

JRH/1al (1)

Approved: ___________ Transmitted 33 (Number) 10/21/85 (Time) Per ___________

1928-196-2
San Mateo County Sheriff's Office
FORENSIC LABORATORY
Technician Section **
#31 Tower Rd.
San Mateo, CA. 94402

MOBILE REPORT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complainant or Victim</th>
<th>Type of Case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extortion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location of Scene</th>
<th>Time Rec'd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SFIA GARAGE Level 5 Core &quot;G&quot;</td>
<td>1330</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle</th>
<th>Time Arr'vd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Make</td>
<td>1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POE</th>
<th>Time left scene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1430</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photos</th>
<th>Other Photo Info</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Latents turn over to FBI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By Whom</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latent Prints</th>
<th>Other Latent Print Info</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Usable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Usable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmprints</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Evidence</th>
<th>Weather Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Obtained</td>
<td>Rain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab Personnel at Scene</th>
<th>Officer In Charge</th>
<th>Agent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

Requested to respond to the above location to process a Telephone for possible latent prints. The Telephone (415) 877-9566 was dusted for prints and three latent lifts were obtained and released to the above FBI Agent.

b6
b7c
Memorandum

To: SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (192B-NEW) (P)  Date: 4/8/85

From: SA

Subject: UNSUB, aka
Apple Computer Corporation - Victim
Extortion;
OO: San Francisco

On 2/7/85, a physical surveillance and search of the San Francisco Airport Hilton Hotel was conducted to locate captioned Unsub and written instructions allegedly secreted by the Unsub under a table at the hotel. Also, Deputy of the San Mateo County Sheriff's office, Forensic Laboratory, responded to the SFIA parking garage, Level 5, Core G, to lift latent fingerprints from a pay phone allegedly used by the Unsub to make his verbal threats against Apple Computer. A copy of Deputy's report is attached to this memo.
MEMORANDUM

DATE: 8/9/85

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (192B-196) (C)

SUBJECT: UNSUB:
  aka
  STEVEN JOBS;
  VICTIMS;
  ROBBS ACT - COMMERCIAL INSTITUTIONS
  QO: SAN FRANCISCO

Reference SF teletype to the Bureau 2/8/85.

Contact has been maintained by the SF Division with
APPLE COMPUTER, Cupertino, California, and no additional
threatening calls received by unsub and no new information has
been developed regarding this matter.

In view of the fact that no further investigation is
logical at this time regarding captioned matter, it is being
placed in a closed status by the SF Division.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA
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