Dear Mr. Greenwald:

The enclosed documents were reviewed under the Freedom of Information/Privacy Acts (FOIPA), Title 5, United States Code, Section 552/552a. Deletions have been made to protect information which is exempt from disclosure, with the appropriate exemptions noted on the page next to the excision. In addition, a deleted page information sheet was inserted in the file to indicate where pages were withheld entirely. The exemptions used to withhold information are marked below and explained on the enclosed Explanation of Exemptions:

- Section 552
  - (b)(1)
  - (b)(2)
  - (b)(3)
  - 26 USC 6103
  - (b)(4)
  - (b)(5)
  - (b)(6)

- Section 552a
  - (b)(7)(A)
  - (b)(7)(B)
  - (b)(7)(C)
  - (b)(7)(D)
  - (b)(7)(E)
  - (b)(7)(F)
  - (b)(7)(G)
  - (d)(5)
  - (j)(2)
  - (k)(1)
  - (k)(2)
  - (k)(3)
  - (k)(4)
  - (k)(5)
  - (k)(6)
  - (k)(7)

256 pages were reviewed and 237 pages are being released.

☐ Document(s) were located which originated with, or contained information concerning, other Government agency(ies) [OGA].

☐ This information has been referred to the OGA(s) for review and direct response to you.

☐ We are consulting with OGA(s). The FBI will correspond with you regarding this information when the consultation is finished.

☐ In accordance with standard FBI practice and pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(7)(E) and Privacy Act exemption (j)(2) [5 U.S.C. § 552/552a (b)(7)(E)/(j)(2)], this response neither confirms nor denies the existence of your subject's name on any watch lists.

For your information, Congress excluded three discrete categories of law enforcement and national security records from the requirements of the FOIA. See 5 U.S.C. § 552(c) (2006 & Supp. IV (2010)). This response is limited...
to those records that are subject to the requirements of the FOIA. This is a standard notification that is given to all our requesters and should not be taken as an indication that excluded records do, or do not, exist. Enclosed for your information is a copy of the Explanation of Exemptions.

☑ You have the right to appeal any denials in this release. Appeals should be directed in writing to the Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP), U.S. Department of Justice, 1425 New York Ave., NW, Suite 11050, Washington, D.C. 20530-0001, or you may submit an appeal through OIP’s eFOIA portal at http://www.justice.gov/oip/efoia-portal.html. Your appeal must be received by OIP within sixty (60) days from the date of this letter in order to be considered timely. The envelope and the letter should be clearly marked “Freedom of Information Appeal.” Please cite the FOIPA Request Number assigned to your request so that it may be easily identified.

☐ The enclosed material is from the main investigative file(s) in which the subject(s) of your request was the focus of the investigation. Our search located additional references, in files relating to other individuals, or matters, which may or may not be about your subject(s). Our experience has shown when ident, references usually contain information similar to the information processed in the main file(s). Because of our significant backlog, we have given priority to processing only the main investigative file(s). If you want the references, you must submit a separate request for them in writing, and they will be reviewed at a later date, as time and resources permit.

☑ See additional information which follows.

Sincerely,

David M. Hardy
Section Chief
Record/Information Dissemination Section
Records Management Division

Enclosure(s)

In response to your Freedom of Information (FOIA) request submitted to the Records Management Division at Winchester, Virginia, enclosed are processed copies of the following files: 9-20437; 94-41907; and 161-4165. This material is being released to you upon CD at no charge.

One record (161-BS-1452) which may be responsive to your FOIA request was destroyed in April of 1998. Since this material could not be reviewed, it is not known if it was responsive to your request. The retention and disposal of records are governed by statute and regulation under the supervision of the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA), Title 44, United States Code Section 3301 and Title 36, Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 12, Sub-chapter B, Part 1228. The FBI Records Retention Plan and Disposition Schedules have been approved by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and are monitored by NARA.

A search of the FBI Headquarters electronic surveillance indices has been conducted, and no responsive record which indicates that Vannevar Bush has ever been the target of electronic surveillance was located.
EXPLANATION OF EXEMPTIONS

SUBSECTIONS OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 552

(b)(1) specifically authorized under criteria established by an Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or foreign policy and (B) are in fact properly classified to such Executive order;

(b)(2) related solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of an agency;

(b)(3) specifically exempted from disclosure by statute (other than section 552b of this title), provided that such statute (A) requires that the matters be withheld from the public in such a manner as to leave no discretion on issue, or (B) establishes particular criteria for withholding or refers to particular types of matters to be withheld;

(b)(4) trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential;

(b)(5) inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available by law to a party other than an agency in litigation with the agency;

(b)(6) personnel and medical files and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;

(b)(7) records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, but only to the extent that the production of such law enforcement records or information (A) could reasonably be expected to interfere with enforcement proceedings, (B) would deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication, (C) could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, (D) could reasonably be expected to disclose the identity of confidential source, including a State, local, or foreign agency or authority or any private institution which furnished information on a confidential basis, and, in the case of record or information compiled by a criminal law enforcement authority in the course of a criminal investigation, or by an agency conducting a lawful national security intelligence investigation, information furnished by a confidential source, (E) would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law, or (F) could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any individual;

(b)(8) contained in or related to examination, operating, or condition reports prepared by, on behalf of, or for the use of an agency responsible for the regulation or supervision of financial institutions; or

(b)(9) geological and geophysical information and data, including maps, concerning wells.

SUBSECTIONS OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 552a

(d)(5) information compiled in reasonable anticipation of a civil action proceeding;

(j)(2) material reporting investigative efforts pertaining to the enforcement of criminal law including efforts to prevent, control, or reduce crime or apprehend criminals;

(k)(1) information which is currently and properly classified pursuant to an Executive order in the interest of the national defense or foreign policy, for example, information involving intelligence sources or methods;

(k)(2) investigatory material compiled for law enforcement purposes, other than criminal, which did not result in loss of a right, benefit or privilege under Federal programs, or which would identify a source who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence;

(k)(3) material maintained in connection with providing protective services to the President of the United States or any other individual pursuant to the authority of Title 18, United States Code, Section 3056;

(k)(4) required by statute to be maintained and used solely as statistical records;

(k)(5) investigatory material compiled solely for the purpose of determining suitability, eligibility, or qualifications for Federal civilian employment or for access to classified information, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence;

(k)(6) testing or examination material used to determine individual qualifications for appointment or promotion in Federal Government service he release of which would compromise the testing or examination process;

(k)(7) material used to determine potential for promotion in the armed services, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished the material pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence.
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To: SACs, Washington Field - Enc. (4)
Boston
St. Louis
Albany
New York

From: Director, FBI

VANNEVAR BUSH
SPECIAL INQUIRY
BUDED: 11/9/63

White House has requested investigation of Dr. Bush, who is being considered for White House staff position on "White House panel in connection with Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board." Born 3/11/1890, Everett, Massachusetts, and resides Cambridge, Massachusetts, where he is honorary Chairman of Corporation, MIT. See Who's Who for further background data.

Boston and WFO see urfiles which indicate preliminary inquiry conducted re Bush in June, 1948, under LGE program, at which time he was Chairman, Research and Development Board, Department of the Army.

Assign case to experienced Agents and conduct no neighborhood investigation unless some reason for doing so arises, at which time Bureau approval should be secured.

SPIN.

NOTE: Request received today by letter dated 10/21/65 from White House. Separate memo sent through re request.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Cartha D. DeLoach, FBI
FROM: Mildred Stegall
SUBJECT: FBI Investigation

Subject's Name: Dr. Vannevar Bush
Date of Birth: March 11, 1890
Place of Birth: Everett, Massachusetts
Present Address: Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Mass.

has requested:

- Copy of Previous Report
- Name Check
- Full Field Investigation

The person named above is being considered for:

- White House staff position (White House panel in connection with Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board)
- Presidential Appointment
- Position with another Agency

ATTACHMENTS:

- SF 86 (in duplicate)
- SF 87, Fingerprint Card
- Biography

REMARKS:

REPORT SHOULD BE DELIVERED BY FBI TO: MILDRED STEGALL

ENCLOSURE
4-22 (Rev. 1-22-60)
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Records Branch

Name Searching Unit - Room 6527
Service Unit - Room 6524
Send to: Bill Price
Attention: D.A.
Return to: Room Ext.

Type of Reference:
- Regular Request (Analytical Search)
- All References (Subversive & Nonsubversive)
- Subversive References Only
- Nonsubversive References Only
- Main References Only

Type of Search Requested:
- Restricted to Locality of
- Exact Name Only (On the Nose)
- Buildup

Subject: DR. VANNEVAR BUSH
Birthdate & Place: 3/1/1890, Cavendish Mass
Address: Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Mass.
Localities:

Ref. Date: 10/25
Searcher: JAC
Prod.

FILE NUMBER SERIAL
121-9524 55 3.4.48
9-20-43
121-9524 34

12-19409 29 3.4.48
62-89750 27 3.4.48
62-89225 1533
62-83024 17
62-89223 17

62-89225 17
62-89225 17
62-89225 17
62-89225 17
62-92712 3

62-92712 3
62-92712 3
62-92712 3
62-92712 3
62-92712 3

62-10548 1
62-10548 1
62-10548 1
62-10548 1
62-10548 1

65-58234 1
65-58234 1
65-58234 1
65-58234 1
65-58234 1
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FILE NUMBER</th>
<th>SERIAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NP 100-355226-17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 100-361887-17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 100-391697-232</td>
<td>4606-48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 105-0-A</td>
<td>4-30-59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 105-14119-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 105-38575-72</td>
<td>4-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 116-179274-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 116-402822-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| NP 121-2673-3 | 1966213
| NP 121-4200-A | 4-9-55 |
| NP 121-26805-23 |        |
| NP 123-12568-13 |        |
| NP 140-0-A | 2-20-55 |
| NP 140-24167-28 |        |
| NP 42-58854-308 |        |
| NP 100-75234-7 |        |
| NP 104-52891-94 |        |

**SUBJ:** Bush, Vannevar

**Supervisor:**

**Room:**

**Searcher:**

**R#:**

**Date:** 10/25

**Initial:** 542
### Numerous Reference Search Slip

**Subject:** Basic Vannevar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supervisor</th>
<th>Room</th>
<th>Searcher</th>
<th>Initial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SYL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Initial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/15</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**File Number (4 cont)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Film</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vannevar (var)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-359834.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Van (aka) |
| NR |

| Van de Moor (var) |
| 100-17878-49B |

| Van der meer (var) |
| 62-58854-303 |

| Van der var (var) |
| 62-80750-1419 |

| Van der var (var) |
| 101-1391-87 |

| Van der var (var) |
| 100-905971-12 |

| Van der var (var) |
| 65-56402-1-1141 |

| Van (aka) |
| CT to 94-1-732 |

| Van (aka) |
| 62-37629-14 |

| Van (aka) |
| 65-56402-1855 |

| Van (aka) |
| 62-25621-55 |

| Van (aka) |
| 77-13677-111.24 |

| Van (aka) |
| 100-350597-3.28 |
**NUMEROUS REFERENCE SEARCH SLIP**

**Subj:** Bush, Vannear

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supervisor</th>
<th>Room</th>
<th>Searcher</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prod.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Initial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FILE NUMBER</th>
<th>(5 cont)</th>
<th>SERIAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vannevar (vat)</td>
<td>100-349899.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vannevar (vat)</td>
<td>83-1198-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vannevar (vat)</td>
<td>100-190625-A</td>
<td>1-8-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vannevar (vat)</td>
<td>100-334195.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Nevar (vat)</td>
<td>100-17828.115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-1326-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-17828-264</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100-355404-79</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NP</th>
<th>File</th>
<th>Room</th>
<th>1315 58</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|               |     | 100-354477-36 |        |
|               |     |               |        |

**Comments:**

- [Signature]

**Date:** [Signature] 2-23-75
TO
Mr. Gale

DATE: 10/25/65

FROM
W. V. Cleveland

SUBJECT: VANNEVAR BUSH SPECIAL INQUIRY - WHITE HOUSE

The White House has today requested investigation of Dr. Bush, who is being considered for a staff position at the
White House involving a White House panel in connection with the
Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board. Dr. Bush is the prominent
atomic scientist who has been associated with the Massachusetts
Institute of Technology for many years.

A quick check of main files only indicates that Dr. Bush has been on the list of persons not to be contacted without prior
Bureau approval since June, 1954. The reason for that action was
due to Dr. Bush's attitude when interviewed in an applicant case in
1954. He asked the investigating Agent if the Agent knew all about
him (Bush) and told the Agent he should know all about him before
interviewing him and he did not have time to answer the questions.
Bureau files indicated that Dr. Bush had previously been cooperative
with the Bureau going back to 1936.

The files also indicate we conducted limited preliminary
inquiry under the Loyalty of Government Employees program of
Dr. Bush in 1948, as information in Bureau files indicated he
possibly associated with individuals sympathetic to the Soviet Union.
The inquiry revealed no derogatory information concerning Dr. Bush.

ACTION:

The case has been ordered separately to the field and the
field has been instructed to assign case to experienced Agents and
to conduct no neighborhood investigations without prior Bureau
approval. Bureau files are being completely checked and you will be
advised of any unusual developments.

1 - Mr. Belmont
1 - Mr. DeLoach
1 - Mr. Gale
1 - Mr. Cleveland
1 - Mr. Young

DHY: Jan
(6) D
53 Jan 6 1966
TO: SAC, NEWARK
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (161-1923) (P)
SUBJECT: VANNIEVAR BUSH
SPI
BUDED: 11/9/65

Re Bureau airtel 10/25/65.

White House has requested investigation of
Dr. BUSH, who is being considered for White House
Staff position on "White House panel in connection
with Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board." Born 3/11/90,
Everett, Mass., and resides Cambridge, Mass., where
he is honorary Chairman of Corporation, MIT. See
Who's Who for further background data.

Assign case to experienced agents and
conduct no neighborhood investigation unless some
reason for doing so arises, at which time Bureau
approval should be secured.

Who's Who reveals position as Chairman,
Merck and Co., Inc. NK handle.

NOT RECORDED
10/27/65
AIRTEL

TO: SAC, ST. LOUIS
FROM: SAC, WFO (161-3350)

VANNEVAR BUSH

Re Buairtel dated 10/25/65.

White House records indicated following appointments for BUSH.

6/28/41 - Appointed by President as Director, Office of Scientific Research and Development, Office of Emergency Management, Executive Office of the President.

No date recorded - Appointed Chairman, Joint Research and Development Board (Established by Secretaries of War and Navy under charter 7/11/46)

11/29/46 - Appointed member, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics (Term 5 years from 12/1/46)

2 - St. Louis (AN)
1 - Bureau
1 - WFO

ELN: bad
(4)

161- 4/65- 4
NOT RECORDED
16 JAN 3 1966

AIRTEL

53 JAN 6 1966
229
9/25/47 - Appointed Chairman, Research and Development Board.

6/25/48 - Commissioned as Chairman, Research and Development Board and Member, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics.

St. Louis check records at Federal Records Center.

SPIN.
TO: SAC, WASHINGTON FIELD
FROM: SAC, ST. LOUIS (161-2081)-P-
SUBJECT: VANNEVAR BUSH
SPI
Buded: 11/9/65

Re Bureau airtel, 10/25/65.


FRC records disclosed appointee refused to execute "Declaration of Appointee" section of an SF-61 (Appointment Affidavits) dated 11/20/53, stating as follows: "...I have no objection to taking an oath, since I have taken oaths of allegiance many times. I do object to the other statements on the oath, but this is not the time to go into the basis for my objection." No additional details concerning appointee's reason for this refusal were noted in the records at FRC.

A review of the Civil Service Commission (CSC) - Service Record Division locator files at FRC indicated the following additional prior Federal appointments for appointee:

Appointed 8/23/38, as Member, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics (NACA), Washington, D.C., termination not shown.

2 - Washington Field
1 - St. Louis

NOT RECORDED
18 NOV 3 1965
Appointed ("Re-appointed") 11/19/41, as Member, NACA, Washington, D.C., termination not shown.

Appointed 7/1/42, as Member of Visiting Committee, Dept. of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C., termination not shown.

Appointed (date not shown) as Director, Office of Scientific Research and Development, Washington, D.C., termination not shown.

Appointed 8/6/46, as Consultant to the Secretary of War, War Dept., Joint Research and Development Board, Washington, D.C., terminated 9/29/47, reason not shown.

Appointed 9/30/47, as Chairman, Research & Development Board, National Military Establishment (fore-runner of Dept. of Defense), Washington, D.C., terminated on 10/14/48, by resignation, "To return to private industry."

Appointed 5/26/48, as Collaborator, Dept. of Interior, National Park Service, Washington, D.C., terminated 6/30/62, by separation "due to inactivity of this appointment for many years."

FRC personnel advised that Official Personnel Folder(s) (OPF) for the referenced prior employments as indicated in the CSC locator files could not be located at this center and further advised that the OPF(s) (separate or consolidated) would possibly be located at the indicated agencies or at the National Archives, Washington, D.C.

Washington Field handle.
Transmit the following in ________________

Via ____________ ________________

TO: SAC, WASHINGTON FIELD

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (161-1923)

SUBJECT: VASNEVAR BUSH
SPECIAL INQUIRY

SIGNED: 11/9/65

The White House has requested investigation of Dr. BUSH, who is being considered for White House staff position on "White House panel in connection with Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board." Born 3/11/1890, Everett, Massachusetts, and resides Cambridge, Massachusetts, where he is honorary Chairman of Corporation, MIT. See Who's Who for further background data.

Assign case to experienced Agents and conduct no neighborhood investigation unless some reason for doing so arises, at which time Bureau approval should be secured.

Enclosed herewith for each office is one copy of a biographical sketch prepared in December, 1959.

All offices verify degrees received by appointee,

UACB.

SIN.

Washington Field (Encl. 1)
Bureau (Encl. 1)
Albany (Encl. 1)
Baltimore (Encl. 1)
Chicago (Encl. 1)
Buffalo (Encl. 1)
Boston (Encl. 1)
Newark (Encl. 1)
New Haven (Encl. 1)
Philadelphia (Encl. 1)
Pittsburgh (Encl. 1)
St. Louis (Encl. 1) (AM)
New York

JAT: map
(14)

Approved 53 JAN 6 1966
Sent ________ Per ________

Special Agent in Charge

1-10-11
(Dr. Vannevar Bush), honorary chairman of the Corporation of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, has been one of the nation's leaders in the rapid development of science and engineering during the last forty years. He is best known as a pioneer in computer technology and for his services in mobilizing science during World War II. He is former dean of the School of Engineering at M.I.T. and former president of the Carnegie Institution. He was one of the founders of Raytheon Manufacturing Company and has contributed to other industrial developments. He is chairman of the board of Merck and Company.

The son of the Rev. Richard Perry Bush, a Universalist clergyman, Dr. Bush was born March 11, 1890 in Everett, Mass., near Boston. As a boy he lived in Chelsea, Mass., and was graduated from Bellingham High School there. Although he has spent most of his life in the Boston area, his friends think of him as a Cape Cod Yankee, for his forebears were from Cape Cod and he has a summer home at Dennis on the Cape and spends as much time there as possible.

He received his B.S. and M.S. degrees from Tufts College in 1913 and a D.Eng. degree in 1916 from Harvard University and M.I.T. under a joint arrangement between the two institutions which then existed. He worked in the test department of the General Electric Company in 1913 and in the inspection department of the U.S. Navy in 1914. He was an instructor in mathematics at Tufts in 1914-15 and assistant professor in electrical engineering in 1916-
17. During World War I he did research in submarine detection for the Navy.

In 1919 Dr. Bush returned to M.I.T. as associate professor of electric power transmission. He became professor in 1923 and in 1932 was named dean of engineering and vice president of the Institute.

Dr. Bush was a key figure in the modern development of computers. Early work had been done by Charles Babbage and Lord Kelvin during the Nineteenth Century on construction of machines capable of solving complex mathematical problems. By the 1920s great advances had been made in calculating and accounting machines, but there had been no progress toward machine analysis.

In his work on the stability of electric power transmission systems, Dr. Bush acutely felt the need for a machine which could perform analytical tasks too formidable for mathematicians to undertake. Applying mathematical theories of M.I.T.'s Prof. Norbert Wiener, he constructed two such machines during the 1920s. The first was a "bread-board" model and the second was an electrical network on which one could simulate the performance of power systems under stress.

In 1930, he developed, with other members of the Department of Electrical Engineering, a differential analyzer, and in 1935, construction was started on an advanced model, which weighed 100 tons and used 2,000 electronic tubes, 150 motors, several thousand relays and nearly 200 miles of wire. This machine was capable of solving differential equations involving as many as 18 variables, in such areas as atomic physics, acoustics, ballistics, structures (more).
and electrical machine transients.

Prof. Douglas Hartree of Cambridge University came to M.I.T. to confer regarding the new kind of machine and Dr. Bush then went to Cambridge to work with him on the plans for the first differential analyzer machine in England.

Modern analogue computers are the direct descendants of the Bush machines. He did not personally work on the development of digital computers, which M.I.T. has had an important role in developing, but they, too, are based in part on his research.

Dr. Bush also contributed early concepts regarding the use of the computers in controlling gunfire. While on a cruise on the U.S.S. Texas in 1925, as a lieutenant commander in the Naval Reserve, he began to speculate on whether naval guns could be made effective against fast-flying airplanes by the use of computers. Ideas for such anti-aircraft weapons were developed in collaboration with Hannibal Ford of the Ford Instrument Company, which later was absorbed by Sperry Gyroscope Company, a leading manufacturer of computer-controls for guns.

In 1922, Dr. Bush and two associates organized the American Appliance Company for the manufacture of thermostats. The name was changed to Raytheon Manufacturing Company in 1924 and the company became one of the most important in the field of electronics. He also was one of the founders of Spencer Thermostat Company of Attleboro, Mass., which later became Metals and Controls Corporation, a leading manufacturer of nuclear fuels.

In 1938 Dr. Bush left M.I.T. to become president of the Carnegie Institution in Washington, D.C., one of the outstanding American scientific research organizations. His administration was marked by increasing effectiveness in research through coordination with other institutions.
Meanwhile, Dr. Bush made early contributions to the scientific planning which became crucial with the approach of World War II. In 1940 President Roosevelt appointed him chairman of the National Defense Research Committee, established to supplement Army and Navy research. In 1941 he became director of the Office of Scientific Research and Development, formed to assume enormous responsibilities in mobilizing scientific efforts and to conduct military research.

The National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, of which Dr. Bush was chairman in 1939-41, the National Academy of Sciences, the National Research Council and various other groups worked closely with the OSRD in what was probably the largest research program that had ever been undertaken. Dr. Bush served as chairman in this great effort until the end of 1947.

Dr. Bush was a central figure in the development of nuclear fission, culminating in the utilization of atomic bombs to bring the war to an end. His official connection with the project began in June, 1940, when the Uranium Committee became a subcommittee of the NDRC. The NDRC, with Dr. James B. Conant of Harvard University succeeding Dr. Bush as chairman, became a major division of OSRD and had general supervision of the nuclear program until its administration was taken over by the Army in 1943. Dr. Bush, as a member of the Top Policy Group, headed by President Roosevelt, and as chairman of the Military Policy Committee, shared responsibility for determination of policy throughout the program.

President Roosevelt in the fall of 1944 called on Dr. Bush for recommendations on ways whereby the lessons learned through the OSRD in time of war might be applied in time of peace "for the improvement of the national health, the creation of new enterprises bringing new jobs, and the betterment of the national standard of living." In response to this request, Dr. Bush prepared a report,
issued in July, 1945, under the title Science, the Endless Frontier, which drew on studies by four committees of eminent scientists, engineers, and educators, and made specific proposals for the consolidation and utilization of the scientific skill of the nation for the general good, which were reflected in legislation considered by the Congress. He served as a member of the President's Research Board as well.

In July, 1946, Dr. Bush was appointed Chairman of the Joint Research and Development Board of the War and Navy Departments to carry out "a strong, unified, integrated, and complete research and development program in the field of national defense." Passage of the National Security Act of 1947 replaced the JRDB with the Research and Development Board of the National Military Establishment; Dr. Bush served from September, 1947, to October 15, 1948, as the first chairman of this organization. From November 19, 1953, to November 18, 1955, he was a member of the National Science Foundation Advisory Committee on Government-University Relationships.

Dr. Bush retired as president of the Carnegie Institution at the end of 1955 and returned to M.I.T. as chairman of the Corporation in March 1957. He remained active in that position until he became honorary chairman on January 1, 1958, being succeeded as chairman by Dr. James R. Killian, Jr., the former president.

Since 1956 Dr. Bush has been chairman of the executive committee of the Graphic Arts Research Foundation, of which he is a life member and director. This Foundation has supported development of Photon, a photographic type-composing machine which makes it possible to dispense with movable type in printing. He had done early independent research in this field, developing a (More)
device to scan and select items from microfilm and a self-justifying typewriter. He holds a number of patents. In recent years he has done research in the development of devices for use in biology and medicine.

Since his early days, Dr. Bush has been interested in the operation of the Patent Office and he was chairman of the Advisory Committee on the Application of Machines to Patent Office Operations. The New Jersey Patent Law Association awarded him the 1957 Jefferson Medal.

Dr. Bush is the author of Operational Circuit Analysis (1929) and many technical articles, and the coauthor, with William Henry Timbie, of Principles of Electrical Engineering, now in its fourth edition. A collection of his papers and addresses was issued in 1946, under the title Endless Horizons, with a foreword by the late Frank B. Jewett. Dr. Bush's most widely known publication is Modern Arms and Free Men, a discussion of the role of science in preserving democratic institutions; it was the Book-of-the-Month Club selection for December, 1949, and has been translated into French, Spanish, Swedish, and Japanese.

Dr. Bush was awarded the Louis Edward Levy Medal by the Franklin Institute in 1928 for his work on analyzing devices and the Lamme Medal in 1935 by the American Institute of Electrical Engineers for "his development of methods and devices for application of mathematical analysis to problems of electrical engineering."

He was granted the Research Corporation award by Columbia University in 1939, and the Ballou Medal by Tufts College in 1941. In 1943 he received the Edison Medal of the American Institute of Electrical Engineers, the Holley Medal of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers, and the John Scott Award of the Philadelphia City Trust; in 1945 he was awarded the Gold Medal of the National Institute
of Social Sciences for distinguished service to humanity, the Roosevelt Memorial Association's Distinguished Service Medal, and the Marcellus Hartley Public Welfare Medal of the National Academy of Sciences. The Washington Award was presented to him in 1946 by the Western Society of Engineers. In January, 1947, the Hoover Medal for 1946 was awarded by the American Institute of Electrical Engineers, the American Society of Civil Engineers, the American Institute of Mining and Metallurgical Engineers, and the American Society of Mechanical Engineers to him as an "engineer, educator, and administrator, who, in critical time of need, was in a most special sense an organizer, guiding spirit and driving force of the nation's achievements in physical and medical science." The same four Founder Societies bestowed the 1951 John Fritz Medal upon him for "outstanding contributions to his country and to his fellow men." The Tufts Alumni Council Distinguished Service Award of Tufts College came to him in June, 1947. On May 27, 1948, he received the Medal for Merit with Bronze Oak Leaf Cluster from President Truman in ceremonies at the White House. The medal of the Industrial Research Institute, Inc., was presented to him in February, 1949. On October 19, 1953, he received the 1953 Award of Merit of the American Institute of Consulting Engineers. The John J. Carty Medal and Award for the Advancement of Science was presented to him at the meeting of the National Academy of Sciences on April 26, 1954; and on December 30, 1954, he received the William Proctor Prize from the Scientific Research Society of America. On November 12, 1957, the Engineering Societies of New England presented him with the New England Award, given annually to a New England engineer who "merits recognition of his accomplished work as well as his character."
In 1932 Dr. Bush received an honorary Sc.D. from Tufts College, and since then he has also received honorary degrees from Brown University, Colby College, Middlebury College, Johns Hopkins University, the University of Pennsylvania, Yale University, Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, Stevens Institute of Technology, Williams College, Rutgers College, Washington University, Harvard University, Trinity College, the University of Buffalo, West Virginia University, Columbia University, Princeton University, the Carnegie Institute of Technology, Boston University and Cambridge University.

On February 11, 1948, Dr. Bush was made Knight Commander of the civilian division of the Most Excellent Order of the British Empire; in 1955, by decree of the President of France, he became an officer in the Legion of Honor, as a token of the high esteem in which France holds his outstanding achievements in the field of scientific research.

Honorary membership in the Franklin Institute was conferred upon him January 15, 1947. Long a Fellow of the American Institute of Electrical Engineers, Dr. Bush was inducted as Honorary Member on January 30, 1950, on which day he received Eminent Membership in the honorary electrical engineering society Eta Kappa Nu. In 1951 he was made an Honorary Member of the Society of Naval Architects and Marine Engineers and on February 16, 1955, an Honorary Member of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers. In October, 1956, he became an Honorary Fellow of the American College of Surgeons. He is a Fellow of the American Physical Society, and a member of the American Philosophical Society, the American Academy of Arts and Sciences, the National Academy of Sciences, and the American Society for Engineering Education. He is a Trustee of Tufts College. He is a Life Member of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology Corporation.
and also a Life Member and Director of The Graphic Arts Research Foundation, Inc. (Chairman of Executive Committee since 1956). In January, 1947, he became a Director of the American Telephone and Telegraph Company and in November, 1949, of Merck and Company (elected Chairman on December 17, 1957). He has been a trustee of the George Putnam Fund of Boston since 1956.

Dr. Bush is a member of Phi Beta Kappa, Tau Beta Pi, Alpha Tau Omega, and Sigma Xi, and of the St. Botolph Club in Boston and the Century Club in New York. He was married at Chelsea, Mass., September 5, 1916, to Phoebe Davis, daughter of William Hathaway Davis, a merchant of Chelsea. Richard Davis, a merchant of Chelsea, Mass.

He and Mrs. Bush live in Belmont, Mass.

December, 1959
TO SACS WASHINGTON FIELD (BSM)
BOSTON
ST. LOUIS
ALBANY
NEW YORK
NEWARK

FROM DIRECTOR, FBI

VANNEVAR BUSH, SPECIAL INQUIRY.

BECAUSE THIS CASE IS BEING CHANGED TO COB MONDAY, NOVEMBER EIGHT NEXT, WHICH MUST BE MET WITHOUT FAIL.
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TO WASHINGTON FIELD, BOSTON, ST. LOUIS, ALBANY, NEW YORK AND NEWARK

FROM DIRECTOR 1 P

VANNEVAR BUSH, SPECIAL INQUIRY.

BUDED THIS CASE BEING CHANGED TO COB MONDAY, NOVEMBER EIGHT NEXT, WHICH MUST BE MET WITHOUT FAIL.

END
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FBI NEWARK

FBI WASH DC

1058AM URGENT 11-3-65 RPP

TO WASHINGTON FIELD, BOSTON, ST. LOUIS, ALBANY, NEW YORK AND NEWARK
FROM DIRECTOR IP

VANNEVAR BUSH, SPECIAL INQUIRY.

BUDE THIS CASE BEING CHANGED TO COB MONDAY, NOVEMBER EIGHT NEXT, WHICH MUST BE MET WITHOUT FAIL.

END

PLS HOLD HOLD FOR 1 MORE
FBI ALBANY

FBI WASH DC
1040AM URGENT 11-3-65 RPP
TO WASHINGTON FIELD, BOSTON, ST. LOUIS, ALBANY, NEW YORK AND NEWARK FROM DIRECTOR IP

VANNEVAR BUSH, SPECIAL INQUIRY.

BURED THIS CASE BEING CHANGED TO COB MONDAY, NOVEMBER EIGHT NEXT, WHICH MUST BE MET WITHOUT FAIL.
END
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FROM DIRECTOR 1P

VANNEVAR BUSH, SPECIAL INQUIRY.

BUDEO THIS CASE BEING CHANGED TO COB MONDAY, NOVEMBER EIGHT NEXT, WHICH MUST BE MET WITHOUT FAIL.

END

PLS HOLD FOR ONE MORE INSTEAD OF THREE
FBI BOSTON

FBI WASH DC
1034AM URGENT 11-3-65 RPP
0 WASHINGTON FIELD, BOSTON, ST. LOUIS, ALBANY, NEW YORK AND NEWARK
FROM DIRECTOR 1P

VANNEVAR BUSH, SPECIAL INQUIRY.

BUDED THIS CASE BEING CHANGED TO COB MONDAY, NOVEMBER
EIGHT NEXT, WHICH MUST BE MET WITHOUT FAIL.
END
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5-01 PM EST URGENT 11/3/65 M.F.R.
TO DIRECTOR, FBI //7//, BALTIMORE, BUFFALO, NEW HAVEN, PHILADELPHIA
AND PITTSBURGH
FROM NEW YORK /161-1923/ /P/

VANNEVAR BUSH, SPECIAL INQUIRY.

RENYAIRTEL TO WASHINGTON FIELD, ELEVEN TWO LAST.
BUDED: THIS CASE CHANGED TO COB MONDAY, NOVEMBER EIGHT NEXT, WHICH
MUST BE MET WITHOUT FAIL.

END
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On November 1, 1965, a review of the personnel records on file at the Federal Records Center disclosed VANNEVAR BUSH was employed on June 15, 1915, as a Subinspector (Electrical) with the Department of the Navy, New York, Navy Yard (Brooklyn Naval Yard), Manufacturing Department, Machinery Division, at New York, New York. This employment was terminated on September 15, 1915, as a Subinspector (Electrical), by reason of resignation, details shown as "lack of opportunity for rapid advancement."
Appointee was employed on April 28, 1952, as a Consultant under a temporary appointment (not to exceed one year) on a "without compensation" basis with the Department of State, Bureau of United Nations Affairs, Office of the Assistant Secretary of State, at Washington, D.C. This employment was terminated on January 19, 1953, as a Consultant, by reason of separation due to "Completion of assignment."

The records showed he was employed on November 19, 1953, as a Consultant under a temporary appointment (not to exceed two years) on a "without compensation" basis with the National Science Foundation, Advisory Committee on the Influence of Government-Financed Research and Development on Colleges and Universities, at Washington, D.C. This employment was terminated on November 19, 1955, as a Consultant, by reason of separation due to expiration of term of appointment.

He was employed on July 1, 1962, as a Consultant under a temporary appointment (not to exceed one year) on a "without compensation" basis with the National Science Foundation, Advisory Panel on the History of the National Science Foundation at Washington, D.C. This employment was terminated on June 30, 1963, as a Consultant, by reason of separation due to expiration of term of appointment.

In connection with the references period of 1953-1955 National Science Foundation employment, appointee refused to execute the "Declaration of Appointee" section of a standard form 51, "Appointment Affidavits" dated November 20, 1953. The following notations were set forth by appointee regarding this matter:

"...I have no objections to taking an oath, since I have taken oaths of allegiance many times. I do object to the other statements on the oath, but this is not the time to go into the basis for my objection."

Additional details concerning the above comments were not contained in the records at the Federal Records Center.

A review of the Civil-Service Commission - Service Record Division locator files at the Federal Records Center.
indicated the following additional Federal appointments, however the Official Personnel Folder(s) for these periods of employments could not be located at the Federal Records Center:

Appointed August 23, 1938, as Member, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Washington, D.C., termination not shown.

"Re-appointed" November 19, 1941, as Member, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics; Washington, D.C., termination not shown.

Appointed July 1, 1942, as Member of Visiting Committee, Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C., termination not shown.

Appointed (date not shown), as Director, Office of Scientific Research and Development, Washington, D.C., termination not shown.

Appointed August 6, 1946, as Consultant to the Secretary of War, War Department, Joint Research and Development Board, Washington, D.C., terminated September 29, 1947, reason not shown.

Appointed September 30, 1947, as Chairman, Research and Development Board, National Military Establishment (fore-runner of Department of Defense, Washington, D.C., terminated on October 14, 1948, by resignation; "To return to private industry."

Appointed May 26, 1948, as Collaborator, Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Washington, D.C., terminated on June 30, 1962, by separation, due to "Inactivity of this appointment for many years."

Appointee's date and place of birth were shown in the records at the Federal Records Center, as March 11, 1890, at Everett, Massachusetts.
A review on November 3, 1965, by IG of the naval service records on file at the Military Personnel Records Center, St. Louis, Missouri, indicated VANNEVAR BUSH, Serial Number 59 094, enrolled in the U. S. Naval Reserve Force and was issued a provisional appointment as a Lieutenant Commander on September 30, 1924, at Boston, Massachusetts in the Technician Class Six (Electricity). He served in an inactive status until January 8, 1926, when he was issued an indefinite term commission in the U. S. Naval Reserve in the rank of Lieutenant Commander for engineering duties for special service with the date of rank still shown to be September 30, 1924. He continued to serve in an inactive status until June 2, 1933, at which time his resignation of his commission was accepted as a Lieutenant Commander.

The service records indicated he had training duty aboard the U. S. S. Texas from June 20, 1925, to July 4, 1925, and aboard the U. S. S. Marblehead from November 25, 1928, to December 3, 1928.

His military occupation was not shown and his civilian occupation was shown as Vice-President of the Corporation of Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Dean of Engineering at Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

He had no foreign service and received no medals, awards or decorations.

His character and efficiency ratings were not shown and there is no record of any courts-martial or absence without official leave.

The date and place of birth were shown as March 11, 1890, Everett, Massachusetts.
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No record of General Electric Co. employment located. No credit or criminal record located, Schenectady, N. Y. Middlebury College conferred Honorary Doctor of Laws Degree on BUSH in June, 1939.

AT SCHENECTADY, NEW YORK

Employment

On November 4, 1965, __________ Records Section, General Electric Company, advised that she could locate no record for BUSH. She pointed out that their records only date back to 1930. She made inquiries and could locate no one nor is there believed to be anyone who is presently employed by the company that was there in 1913.
Credit

On November 4, 1965, Credit Bureau of Schenectady, advised that she could locate no record for BUSH.

Criminal

On November 4, 1965, Schenectady Police Department, advised that he could locate no record identifiable with BUSH.

The following investigation was conducted by SA JAMES C. MEE, at Middlebury, Vermont:

On November 4, 1965, Middlebury College, advised that their records reveal Middlebury College conferred Honorary Doctor of Laws Degree on VANNEVAR BUSH in June, 1939, and that it was presented with the following citation:

"VANNEVAR BUSH, scholar, engineer, administrator, not only in recognition to your service of science in which the Navy of this country has benefited but also in recognition of your long association with and service to scientific advancement in education in this country, the President and fellows of Middlebury College confer upon you the Doctor of Laws; and by virtue of the authority vested in me I admit you to all honors and privileges anywhere appertaining to this Degree."
TO: SAC, TAMPA
FROM: SAC, WFO (161-3339)

VANNEVAR BUSH, SPI, BUREAU NOVEMBER EIGHT NEXT.
BE BUAIRTEL OCTOBER TWENTY FIVE LAST.

WHITE HOUSE REQUESTED INVESTIGATION OF DR. BUSH FOR
WHITE HOUSE STAFF POSITION ON PANEL IN CONNECTION WITH FOREIGN
INTELLIGENCE ADVISORY BOARD. BORN NOVEMBER THREE EIGHTH
NINETY, EVERETT, MASSACHUSETTS, RESIDES CAMBRIDGE, MASS., WHERE
HE IS HONORARY CHAIRMAN OF CORPORATION, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY. SEE WHO'S WHO FOR FURTHER BACKGROUND.

BUSH WAS PRESIDENT, CARNEGIE INSTITUTION OF WASHINGTON
NINETEEN THIRTY NINE TO NINETEEN FIFTY FIVE.

ASSIGN TO EXPERIENCED AGENTS AND CONDUCT NO NEIGHBORHOOD
INVESTIGATION PER BUREAU INSTRUCTIONS. 161-4165 NOT RECORDED
TAMPA INTERVIEW BUREAUS ADVISED.

SPIN P
1 - Teletype Unit
1) - Bureau
1 - WFO
ELN: elm
(3)
TO: SAC, NEW YORK
FROM: SAC, WFO (161-3359)
VANNEVAR BUSH, SPI.

RE BUAI RTEL OCTOBER TWENTY FIVE LAST.

BUSH SERVED AS DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (OSRD) NINETEEN FORTY ONE TO FORTY SEVEN AND PRESIDENT, CARNEGIE INSTITUTION OF WASHINGTON NINETEEN THIRTY NINE TO NINETEEN FIFTY FIVE.

DR. CARYL P. HASKINS, PRESIDENT, CARNEGIE INSTITUTION OF WASHINGTON PRESENTLY IN NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT AND NOT AVAILABLE FOR CONTACT. WILL BE IN NEW YORK CITY NOVEMBER EIGHT NEXT. HASKINS CONTACTED IN CONNECTICUT BY PHONE, INSTANT DATE, AND ASCERTAINED DR. HASKINS AVAILABLE FOR INTERVIEW ELEVEN FIFTEEN AM NOVEMBER EIGHT NEXT AT THE COFFEE HOUSE (A PRIVATE CLUB) FIVE FOUR WEST FOUR FIVE STREET, NEW YORK CITY. HASKINS WORKED WITH APPOINTEE IN OSRD AND WELL ACQUAINTED.

NEW YORK INTERVIEW DR. HASKINS AT TIME INDICATED AS HASKINS HAS ANOTHER APPOINTMENT SHORTLY THEREAFTER.

BUREAU ADVISED.

1 - Teletype Unit
2 - Bureau
1 - WFO
EIN: elm

161-4165-1
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TO: SACS, BALTIMORE, BOSTON
FROM: SAC, WFO (161-3359)
VANNEVAR BUSH, SPI.
RE: NEW YORK AIRTEL NOVEMBER TWO, LAST.
ONI FILE RE APPOINTEE REVEALED APPOINTEE HAS BEEN ISSUED VARIOUS CLEARANCES BY DEFENSE AGENCIES INCLUDING SECRET CLEARANCE BY ARMY IN NINETEEN FIFTY SIX, AS DIRECTOR OF MERCK AND COMPANY AND CLEARANCE BY OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH, BOSTON AS OFFICIAL OF MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY IN NINETEEN FIFTY SEVEN.
BALTIMORE REVIEW G-TWO FILE.
BOSTON ASCERTAIN STATUS OF CLEARANCES IN CONNECTION WITH MIT.

SPIN
BUREAU ADVISED. P

1 - Teletype Unit
1 - Bureau
1 - WFO

161-4165-13
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16 JAN 8 1966

ELN: g1h
(3)

53 JAN 6 1966
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE
BOSTON

OFFICE OF ORIGIN
BUREAU

DATE
11/5/65

INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD
10/29 - 11/5/65

TITLE OF CASE
VANNEVAR BUSH

CHARACTER OF CASE
SPI


ADMINISTRATIVE


Information contained in referenced Bureau letter is not being set out in this report because it in no way questions Doctor BUSH's loyalty to the United States.

COVER PAGE

Agency
Bureau

Copies Made:
1 - Boston (161-1452)

Dissemination Record of Attached Report

Agency
Request Recd.
Date Fwd.
How Fwd.
By

53 JAN 6 1966

NOT RECORDED
25 NOV 8 1965

229
Copy to:

Report of: SA
Date: 11/5/65
Office: Boston, Mass.

Field Office File #: BS 161-1452
Bureau File #: B6 161-1452

Title: VANNEVAR BUSH

Character: SPECIAL INQUIRY

Synopsis: Birth verified from school records. No public record of birth located. Education, employment and residence verified. All persons interviewed recommend appointee highly re ability, leadership, character, reputation, associates and loyalty to the United States. Results of credit and arrest record checks set out. The awarding of certain honorary degrees verified.

- RUC -

DETAILS:

Investigation at Massachusetts Institute of Technology conducted by SA at Harvard University by SA CARL J. EVENSEN; at Lynn and Salem, Massachusetts by SA WALTER F. BRADY; at Providence, Rhode Island by SA at Williamstown, Massachusetts by SA GEORGE E. HANLON; and the birth, credit and arrest record checks at Boston, Massachusetts were made at the request of Investigative Clerk.
BIRTH

On November 1, 1965 the records of Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts, were reviewed and disclosed VANNEVAR BUSH was born at Everett, Massachusetts on March 11, 1890.

On November 2 and 3, 1965 the files of the Bureau of Vital Statistics, State House, Boston, Massachusetts, were reviewed and disclosed no reference to the birth of VANNEVAR BUSH at Everett, Massachusetts on March 11, 1890.

On November 4, 1965 City Clerk's Office, City Hall, Everett, Massachusetts, advised she could locate no record of the birth of the appointee.
On November 1, 1965, the Records Office, Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts, advised VANNEVAR BUSH, born March 11, 1890 in Everett, Massachusetts, entered this college in September, 1909. The record indicated he had graduated from the Chelsea, Massachusetts, High School; but his years of attendance there were not listed. He was graduated from Tufts College on June 18, 1913, at which time he was awarded a BS degree in electrical engineering, and also a Master of Science degree. He stated the record indicated he was a straight "A" student, and graduated summa cum laude in electrical engineering. She stated he was also awarded the honorary degree of Doctor of Science on June 13, 1932. Stated there was nothing in the record which would reflect adversely on his character, reputation, associates or loyalty to the United States.
Personnel, Alumni Records Office, Harvard University, 8 Everett Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts, made available a record card which contained the following information concerning VANNEVAR BUSH:

He attended the School of Engineering during 1915-1916 and received a Doctor of Electrical Engineering degree during 1916. He was the recipient of degrees from the following institutions:

Tufts College - S.M. - 1913
Tufts College - S.D. (Honorary) - 1932
Brown University - LLB - 1939
Middlebury College - S.D. (Honorary) - 1939; Williams College - 1941
Harvard College - S.D. (Honorary) - 1941
Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn - Dr. Eng. - 1941
Rutgers University - Eng. - 1942
Yale University - LLD - 1942; Stevens Institute of Technology - 1943
Columbia University - S.D. (Honorary) - 1947
Princeton University - LLD - 1947
Colby College - LLD - 1951
Boston University - S.D. (Honorary) - 1959

His residence as of August, 1964 was shown as 304 Marsh Street, Belmont, Massachusetts.

He was born March 11, 1890, Everett, Massachusetts. His parents were Rev. RICHARD PERRY BUSH and EMMA LINWOOD BUSH.
On November 1, 1965, President, Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts, advised records of her office disclosed the appointee served as an instructor in mathematics during the academic year 1914 to 1915, and was an Assistant Professor of electrical engineering for the academic years 1915 to 1919. She stated that the record also disclosed that for the academic year 1916 to 1917 he was a Professor in pre-medical physics. She stated she could provide no specific dates concerning the above employment other than it was for the academic years stated. She stated the records of this office also disclosed he served as a member of the Board of Trustees from 1933 to 1962 when he retired, and is presently a Trustee Emeritus.

She stated the appointee is undoubtedly one of Tufts most distinguished graduates, whose reputation throughout the nation for excellence in teaching and service to his country is almost unsurpassed.

On November 1, 1965, President, NILS Y. WESSEL, President of Tufts College, was unavailable for interview, but that she had talked to him telephonically and he stated that he could not add anything to the known reputation of Dr. BUSH, in which he is known as an outstanding scholar and teacher and a fine citizen who has served his country for many years.

On November 2, 1965, the Board of Trustees, Tufts University, advised he has known Dr. BUSH personally for many years and has been acquainted with him by reputation since he was a student at Tufts University in the 1920s. He stated that he served on the Board of Trustees with the appointee for many years, and stated he regards the appointee as
one of the most remarkable and outstanding gentleman he has ever known. He said he is an extremely learned, fine scientist and teacher, and a dedicated citizen of the United States, who has served on many commissions for the United States Government. He recommended him without reservation for any high position of trust and confidence with the United States Government.

On November 4, 1965, CARL L. GILBERT, Chairman of the Board of Directors, Gillette Safety Razor Company, Boston, Massachusetts, advised he has known Dr. VANNEVAR BUSH since 1936 as a fellow member of the Board of Trustees of both Tufts University and Carnegie Institute of Technology. He said he considers him the most outstanding man he has ever met. He said he is a person of the highest integrity, highly intelligent, abounds with energy, is an extremely hard worker, outstanding as a scholar, scientist and teacher, and one whose contributions to the country in the field of science and scientific research of the most secret nature are unequalled. He recommended him without reservation for any high position of trust and responsibility with the United States Government.

On November 4, 1965, Judge JACOB LEWITON, Boston Municipal Court, Boston, Massachusetts, a member of the Board of Trustees of Tufts University, advised he is not personally acquainted with the appointee, having served at only two meetings with him. He stated, however, he is familiar with the appointee's reputation as an outstanding scientist and teacher, and a dedicated citizen who has spent many years in serving the United States Government. He stated that on the basis of his knowledge of the appointee's reputation, he would have no hesitation in recommending him without qualification for a position of trust and confidence with the United States Government.
On November 2, 1965, Vannevar Bush was born March 11, 1890 at Everett, Massachusetts, and received his BS and MS Degree from Tufts University in Medford, Massachusetts in 1913 and his Ph.D. Degree in Electrical Engineering in 1916 from MIT and Harvard University. In 1929 he was in charge of the Electrical Engineering Department at MIT and from 1923 to 1933 he held a Professorship in the same department. In 1932 to 1939 he was made Vice-President and Dean of Engineering at MIT. In 1939 he left MIT and became President of Carnegie Institute in Washington, D.C. He returned to MIT in 1957 when he was made Chairman of the MIT Corporation and in 1959 he became Honorary Chairman and a life member of the MIT Corporation.

She stated that from she was Doctor Bush's and she described him as a careful and outstanding man. She stated that at the present time he spends approximately four days a week at MIT, has his own office and is working just as hard today as he did in the past. She stated that he has approximately twenty honorary degrees and has been offered several more but he will not bother to go after them. She stated that words could not describe what an outstanding man Doctor Bush is and the work that he has performed for this country. She stated that he stands willing and able today to help the Government in any way possible.

On November 2, 1965, MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts, advised that he has known Doctor Bush for several years and stated that for his age, Bush is an outstanding man and is working just as hard today as he ever did, furnished a biographic sketch dated September, 1965 of Doctor Vannevar Bush which is
attached to this report as an appendix.

Doctor JULIUS A. STRATTON, President, MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts, advised on November 4, 1965 that Doctor VANNEVAR BUSH lead the scientific effort during World War II and directed the assembly of the atomic bomb. He is a dedicated public servant and has been advisor to several Presidents and to Congress.

Doctor STRATTON stated the only thing one might think in the case of Doctor BUSH is that he is growing old and due to his age of seventy-five, he may have changed his ideas. Doctor STRATTON stated this is not true in the case of Doctor BUSH. His mind is clear, he is the same man that took this country through World War II. His judgment and decisions have not changed.

Doctor STRATTON stated "there is no one alive today that has had the responsibility of Doctor BUSH".

Doctor STRATTON stated that Doctor BUSH is still capable of performing any duty that the Government may call upon him to do and he would recommend him for any position of confidence and trust.

Doctor STRATTON stated that the newly erected building at a cost of six and one half million dollars, was dedicated on October 1, 1965 as the Vannevar Bush Building. He further stated that the following inscription appears on the marble wall in the lobby of the Vannevar Bush Building:

"Dedicated to Vannevar Bush
Class of 1916

"An engineer distinguished for his
creative contributions to Science,
Engineering and the nation."
"Honored for his achievements in research and education

"For his devoted service to the Massachusetts Institute of Technology as teacher, administrator and corporation member

"For his acclaimed leadership of the Carnegie Institution of Washington

"For his mobilization during World War II of the nation's scientific resources to achieve advances in Military Technology device in winning of the war

"For his statesmanship in formulating and advocating sound policies for the advancement of science, engineering and education.

"October 1, 1965"

Doctor STRATTON stated that Doctor J. R. KILLION, Chairman of the Corporation, MIT, is not available for comments but if he were, he would have the same complimentary praise for Doctor BUSH.
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On November 1, 1965, Dr. HAROLD C. CASE, President, Boston University, 147 Bay State Road, Boston, Massachusetts, advised he has known the appointee since 1952 or 1953. He said the appointee was awarded an honorary degree of Doctor of Science by Boston University on June 7, 1959. Dr. CASE stated the appointee is one of the most outstanding individuals he has known. He stated that he holds him in the highest regard, and there is no question whatsoever of his great contribution to the welfare of this country. He stated his distinguished record proves he has dedicated his talents to various projects, and his performance has been excellent in both efficiency and quality of leadership. He stated he had no hesitation in recommending him without reservation for any high position of trust and responsibility with the United States Government.
On November 3, 1965 Judge ROBERT W. HILL, 70 Washington Street, Salem, Massachusetts, advised he has known the appointee socially and professionally since World War I and mainly through Tufts College activities such as Alpha Tau Omega Fraternity, the Board of Trustees, and other committees of the college.

Mr. HILL stated that BUSH is a person of excellent character, reputation and associates; he is a brilliant professional man; there is absolutely no reason for anyone to question or doubt his loyalty to the United States, and he highly recommends him for any position of trust and confidence.
On November 5, 1965 Board of Trustees of the George Putnam Fund, Inc., and the Putnam Growth Fund, 60 Congress Street, Boston, Massachusetts, advised Doctor BUSH became a member of the Board of Trustees of the George Putnam Fund in 1956 when he left Carnegie Institute. He became a member of the Board of Trustees of the Putnam Growth Fund a year later in 1957. Both are mutual funds operated as trusts.

He stated that in April, 1964, the funds took over Incorporated Investors and Incorporated Income Fund both of which are corporations but are also mutual funds. He stated that the time they were taken over, Doctor BUSH became a member of the Board of Directors of the latter two corporations.

Mr. PUTNAM stated that the appointee is an outstanding individual of rare ability, is a hard worker and a dedicated public servant. He recommended him without reservation for a high position of trust and confidence with the United States Government based upon integrity, character, reputation, associates and loyalty to the United States.

On November 5, 1965 GEORGE PUTNAM, JR., President, Putnam Management Company, 60 Congress Street, Boston, advised he has served with Doctor BUSH as a trustee of the Putnam Funds above mentioned and the two corporations above mentioned as members of the Board of Directors. He stated that since 1956 he has been in frequent contact with Doctor BUSH and considers him one of the most outstanding men he has ever met. He stated there is no compulsory retirement provision in connection with his positions and he recently agreed to serve another year.

Mr. PUTNAM stated the appointee is very bright, alert and active for his age. He said Doctor BUSH would not permit himself to serve if he thought that his faculties were failing in any way. He recommended the appointee without reservation for a position of high trust and responsibility with the United States Government based upon ability, energy, good character, reputation, associates and loyalty to the United States.
On November 1, 1965, General Electric Company, 1100 Western Avenue, Lynn, Massachusetts, advised that there is no office in this company where any records for former employees would be kept for more than fifteen years after the termination of their employment and there is no way to verify the appointee's employment with this firm in 1913.
RESIDENCES

On November 1, 1965 DONALD ROBINSON, Chief, Belmont, Massachusetts Police Department, advised Doctor VANNEVAR BUSH and his wife, PHOEBE, reside at 304 Marsh Street in that town.

Chief ROBINSON said they [Box: Doctor RICHARD D. BUSH] a surgeon who resides at 11 Locust Street, Belmont, Massachusetts.

CREDIT

On November 2, 1965 personnel of the Credit Bureau of Greater Boston, 11 Beacon Street, Boston, Massachusetts, which agency covers Belmont, Massachusetts, advised the files of this bureau disclosed Doctor VANNEVAR BUSH and his wife, PHOEBE D. BUSH, 304 Marsh Street, Belmont, Massachusetts, have a satisfactory credit rating.

The record noted that Doctor BUSH is retired, is a former Vice President of MIT and formerly President of Carnegie Institute of Washington, D.C.

On November 1, 1965 personnel of the Credit Bureau of Greater Lynn, 100 Monroe Street, Lynn, Massachusetts, advised he could locate no information in the records of that bureau identifiable with the appointee.

ARREST

On November 3, 1965 personnel, Office of the Massachusetts Commissioner of Probation, Suffolk County Courthouse, Boston, Massachusetts, a central repository for all traffic and criminal conviction records in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, advised the records of this office disclosed the following.
The above personnel of the Office of the Massachusetts Commissioner of Probation, advised the files of this office contain no record identifiable with Doctor VANNEVAR BUSH, Mrs. PHOEBE BUSH, nee DAVIS, also known as Mrs. VANNEVAR BUSH, or RICHARD D. BUSH.

On November 1, 1965 Chief DONALD ROBINSON, Belmont, Massachusetts Police Department, advised the files of this police department contain no information identifiable with the appointee or members of his family as named above.

On November 4, 1965 Records Office, Cambridge, Massachusetts Police Department, advised the files of this department contain no record identifiable with the appointee.

On November 4, 1965 Chief DANIEL HENDERSON, Needham, Massachusetts Police Department, advised the files of this department contain no record identifiable with Doctor VANNEVAR BUSH.

On November 4, 1965 Chief JOSEPH S. ZOITO, Williamstown, Massachusetts Police Department, advised the files of this department contain no record identifiable with Doctor VANNEVAR BUSH.

On November 4, 1965 Chief JOSEPH S. ZOITO, Worcester, Massachusetts Police Department, advised the files of this department contain no record identifiable with Doctor VANNEVAR BUSH.
On November 4, 1965, the State Bureau of Identification, Maine State Police Headquarters, Augusta, Maine, advised SA JOHN H. KENOYER that the arrest records of that agency are negative concerning VANNEVAR BUSH.

On November 4, 1965, the Waterville, Maine Police Department advised SA JOHN H. KENOYER that VANNEVAR BUSH has never been arrested by that police department.
1.
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[Box: Bureau of Criminal Identification, Providence County Courthouse, Providence, Rhode Island, a central repository for all criminal conviction records within the State of Rhode Island, advised Investigative Clerk [Box: on November 4, 1965 that the files of her office reflect no record for VANNEVAR BUSH.]

[Box: Identification Division, Providence. Rhode Island Police Department, advised Investigative Clerk [Box: on November 4, 1965 that the files of his office reflect no record for VANNEVAR BUSH.]
On November 1, 1965, the Record Bureau, Lynn, Massachusetts, Police Department, advised she could locate no information in the records of that department identifiable with the appointee.
the President, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, advised on November 4, 1965 that the files of his office reflect that in June, 1939 VANNEVAR BUSH was awarded an honorary degree of Bachelor of Laws by this university.

Recalled that BUSH was here on only one previous occasion when he was awarded the degree, but knew of him as a brilliant scientist and an outstanding United States citizen. He stated he would have no hesitancy in recommending him for a position of trust and confidence.

Stated that he knew of no others at the university at the present time who might have recalled BUSH when he was here.
On November 4, 1965, the President, Colby College, Waterville, Maine, advised Mr. JOHN H. KENoyer that in June, 1951, Colby College conferred an Honorary Doctor of Laws Degree upon VANNEVAR BUSH.
On November 4, 1965, the President, Williams College, Williamstown, Massachusetts advised VANNEVAR BUSH was awarded an Honorary Doctor of Science Degree at the Williams College commencement exercises in June, 1961.

stated she is unable to locate the citation that accompanied Dr. BUSH's award nor is there any one at the college who knows Doctor BUSH.
On November 4, 1965, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, Worcester, Massachusetts, advised Doctor Bush received an Honorary Degree of Doctor of Science from this institute in October, 1964.
REFERENCE:


- RUC -
VANNEVAR BUSH received honorary Doctor of Science Degrees at Carnegie Institute of Technology, 2/22/48, and at West Virginia University, Morgantown, W. Va., 4/26/47.

- RUC -
PG 161-363.

DETAILS: MISCELLANEOUS

Investigation at Morgantown, W. Va., conducted by SA EUGENE C. JONES; the remaining investigation conducted by SA

On November 4, 1965, the Special Projects Office, Department of Public Relations, Carnegie Institute of Technology, Pittsburgh, Pa., advised that VANNEVAR BUSH was granted an honorary Doctor of Engineering Degree during the Institute’s commencement ceremonies, February 22, 1948. He stated that this degree was presented by Dr. ROBERT F. MEHL, Metallurgical Engineering Department, Carnegie Institute of Technology. He added that BUSH gave the commencement address at this commencement ceremony.

On November 4, 1965, Registrar’s Office, West Virginia University, Morgantown, W. Va., advised that that University granted an honorary Doctor of Science Degree to VANNEVAR BUSH, April 26, 1947.
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**53 JAN 6 1966**
Appointee received honorary degrees from Rutgers University, Princeton University, and Stevens Institute of Technology. Appointee was a member of the Board of Directors, Merck and Co., Inc., from 11/22/49 to 4/24/62, and was Chairman of the Board from 12/17/57 to 4/24/62. VANNEVAR BUSH still acts as consultant to Merck and Co., Inc. Associates at Merck and Co., Inc., recommend appointee. No credit or arrest record for appointee.

DETAILS:

HONORARY DEGREES:

STEVENS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
HOBOKEN, NEW JERSEY

advised on November 4, 1965, that VANNEVAR BUSH gave the commencement address at this institute on May 1, 1943. She advised that he was given a Doctor of Science degree on May 1, 1943. She advised that the President and the Vice President of this institute are no longer connected with Stevens Institute of Technology and she knows of no one who would know the appointee. stated that her records do not contain a citation for the appointee or information concerning his background.
On November 5, 1965, the Public Relations Department, Rutgers, the State University, New Brunswick, New Jersey, advised that VANNEVAR BUSH was awarded the following honorary Doctor of Engineering Degree, in May, 1942:

Successful investigator, inspiring teacher, capable administrator, you have attributed notably to the Science of Electrical Engineering today without thought of self. You are devoting your rare talents to the service of this country in her hour of trial. The future beyond this present darkness is bright with the promise of a better life for mankind flowing from your researches and those of your fellow scientists. In recognition of your achievements as an engineer and of your far-reaching contribution to society, I confer upon you Honoris Causa, a degree of Doctor of Engineering.

Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, advised SA on November 4, 1965, that VANNEVAR BUSH received an honorary Doctor of Laws Degree from this university on June 17, 1947. She stated that the citation for BUSH indicates the following information:

VANNEVAR BUSH, President, Carnegie Institute, Washington, D. C., is a man of science whose distinguished career as an electrical engineer, inventor, and administrator, found fitting fruition in his selection as Director of the Office of Scientific Research and Development, Washington, D. C., during the war years. In this position, he mobilized with striking effectiveness the country's scientific personnel and resources and became their brilliant Commander in Chief.

EMPLOYMENT:

MERCER AND COMPANY, INCORPORATED, 26 EAST LINCOLN AVENUE, RUTHERFORD, NEW JERSEY, advised on November 5, 1965, that the records of this company indicated VANNEVAR BUSH was elected as a member of the Board of Directors on November 22, 1949. He was made Chairman of the Board of Directors on December 17, 1957. He would not stand for re-election and retired from the Board of Directors on April 24, 1963. He noted that it is a company policy that the members of the Board of Directors remain in this official capacity only until age 72, which would have been the reason why the appointee left the Board of Directors of this company.
advised that since April 24, 1962, the appointee has done some consulting work for Merck and Company, Inc., and he is still considered a consultant for the company. He noted that the appointee’s records indicate his home address is 304 Marsh Street, Belmont, Massachusetts, and he was born March 11, 1890, in Everett, Massachusetts.

noted that BUSH has received the following clearances in connection with his work with Merck and Company, Inc.;

On November 23, 1953, VANNEVAR BUSH was granted a secret clearance by the Head of the First Army, Governor’s Island, New York, New York;

On November 8, 1959, VANNEVAR BUSH was granted a secret clearance by the Head of the First Army, Office of Assistant Chief of Staff G-2, Intelligence Industrial Security Division, 35 Irving Place, New York 3, New York;

On October 26, 1959, BUSH was granted a secret clearance by the Head of the First Army, Office of Assistant Chief of Staff G-2, Intelligence Industrial Security Division, 35 Irving Place, New York, New York;

On August 29, 1960, BUSH was granted a secret clearance by the Inspector of Naval Material, 1130 Route #22, Mountainside, New Jersey;

stated that the above clearances for BUSH would have terminated when he left the Board of Directors of Merck and Company, Inc., on April 24, 1962.

stated that he did not feel he was well enough acquainted personally with BUSH to comment on his character or loyalty. He noted, however, that BUSH has the highest type of recommendation as to character and loyalty to his country.

Merck and Company, Inc., advised on November 4, 1965, that he has known the appointee over a business, professional and social basis. He stated that while the appointee was on the Board of Directors of Merck and Company, Inc., he would normally meet for Board of Directors meetings about once a month and would probably attend other company meetings three or four times a year.
left the company as a member of the Board of Directors, he has acted as a consultant for the company and visits the company about every three or four months on consulting work.

stated that the appointee is of the highest intelligence, competent, excellent character, and a completely loyal United States Citizen. He said the family and associates of the appointee are people of excellent character and loyal United States citizens. He recommended the appointee for a position of trust and confidence.

Dr. MAX TISHLER, President, Merck, Sharp, and Dohme Research Laboratories, Division of Merck Company, Inc., who was also a member of the Board of Directors at Merck and Company, Inc., advised he has known the employee on a business and professional basis for over 20 years. He stated the appointee is of impeccable character and one of the outstanding men of our age. The appointee has unusual qualities of intelligence, ability, and character. He stated that the appointee's associates are also people of good character and loyal citizens. Dr. TISHLER recommended the appointee for employment in a position of trust and confidence. He was interviewed on November 4, 1964.

CREDIT AND ARREST:

Central New Jersey, Plainfield, New Jersey, which covers Rahway, New Jersey, advised SE on November 4, 1965, that the appointee has no record at this credit bureau.

Railway, New Jersey Police Department, advised IC on November 4, 1964, that the appointee has no record at this department.

MISCELLANEOUS:

"Newark Evening News", Market Street, Newark, New Jersey, on November 5, 1965, made available the file on VANNEVAR BUSH at that newspaper. This file contained no pertinent information concerning this investigation.
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53 JAN 6 1966
Report of:  
Date: 11/5/65  
Office: New York, New York  
Field Office File #: 161-1923  
Title: VANNEVAR BUSH  
Bureau File #: 

Character: SPECIAL INQUIRY  

Synopsis: Appointee received honorary degrees from Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn. Memberships in Carnegie Corporation of New York, American Telephone and Telegraph Company and Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., set forth. Appointee received 1953 Award of Merit from American Institute of Consulting Engineers. No credit or arrest record. Newspaper files contain biography and articles concerning appointee.

ENCLOSURES

One copy of a biographical sketch concerning Dr. VANNEVAR BUSH, Exhibit A.

One copy of a news article captioned "Astronaut Plan Termed a 'Stunt'", Exhibit B.

One copy of an article from "The New York Times Magazine," Exhibit C.
NY: 161-1923

DETAILS:

Honorary Degrees:

Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn
Bridge Street
Brooklyn, New York

On November 3, 1965, Dr. JOHN G. TRUXAL, Dean of Engineering, advised that this school awarded the appointee the honorary degree of Doctor of Engineering in 1951. He stated that he was introduced to the appointee but is not personally acquainted with the appointee and knows him only by reputation as an outstanding representative in his field. On the basis of this reputation, he would recommend the appointee for a position of trust.

On November 3, 1965, the President, advised she is not personally acquainted with the appointee. She added that the appointee is known to but is currently attending a conference in Boston, then will go to Indianapolis, Indiana, and is then scheduled to go to Washington, D.C. for a Defense Science Board Meeting. Because of these commitments, he will not be available for contact. She could not suggest anyone else at this school who is personally acquainted with the appointee.
NY 161-1923

Organization Memberships and Awards

Carnegie Corporation of New York
589 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York

On November 5, 1965, [redacted] advised that the appointee was last listed as a member of the Board of Trustees in the report for 1955-1956. She stated the only one currently available who would be acquainted with the appointee is [redacted].

On November 5, 1965, [redacted] Corporation, advised that the appointee was a member of the Board of Trustees from 1939 to 1955. He had no subsequent affiliation with this organization.

[redacted] added that she was vaguely acquainted with the appointee from attendance at Board meetings and knew of no reason why he should not be considered for a position of trust. She could not suggest anyone else presently available who would be acquainted with the appointee.

American Telephone and Telegraph Company
195 Broadway
New York, New York

On November 4, 1965, [redacted] advised that the appointee was a member of the Board of Directors of the above captioned firm from January 15, 1947 to February 1, 1962 when he terminated his affiliation because of the company mandatory 72 year retirement rule. She was not personally acquainted with the appointee.
NY 161-1923

On November 4, 1965, [redacted] advised that he has known the appointee since [redacted] from [redacted]. He knew the appointee from attendance at Board meetings and professional lunches and dinners. From [redacted] he only saw the appointee occasionally. His most recent association with the appointee has been only through telephone conversations. He added that the appointee is very highly respected in his field and has never acted in any way as to cause doubt concerning his character, reputation, associates or loyalty. He would recommend the appointee for a position of trust.
NY 161-1923

Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Incorporated
345 East 47th Street
New York, New York

On November 4, 1965, Membership Department, advised that the appointee was elected to membership on October 8, 1915, was transferred to Senior Member on March 14, 1919, was transferred to Fellow on May 16, 1924, and was elected Honorary Member on June 23, 1949. She added that there have been only nine individuals ever elected to Honorary Membership which attests to the reputation of the appointee. She added that the appointee was the Lamme Medalist in 1935, the Edison Medalist in 1943, received the Washington Award in 1946, was the Hoover Medalist in 1946 and was the John Fritz Medalist in 1951.

She added that she is not personally acquainted with the appointee and knows of him only by reputation. She stated that there is no one currently available who is personally acquainted with the appointee.

On November 5, 1965, Board of Awards, advised she could locate no additional information concerning the appointee other than that set forth above.
On November 4, 1965, [name redacted] advised that the appointee is not a member of this organization. She added that he was given the 1953 Award of Merit by this organization because of his contribution to society as an engineer. She stated that he has been invited to the annual dinner but has never been able to appear. She has met him at professional functions but has had no personal association with the appointee. She added that his reputation is of the highest and she would recommend him for a position of trust and confidence. She added that there is no one currently available who is acquainted with the appointee.
NY 161-1923

Credit

On November 2, 1965, the Credit Bureau of Greater New York, advised that she could locate no record concerning the appointee.

Arrest

On October 29 and November 1, 1965, SA AUGUST J. MICEK caused the records of the New York City Police Department to be checked by the Bureau of Criminal Identification; Information Unit; Old Record Room (all of the above of the New York City Police Department); and Fingerprint Bureau, Criminal Court of the City of New York. No record could be located concerning the appointee.
NY 161-1923

Miscellaneous

The files of the Information Bureau, 229 West 43rd Street, New York, New York, as reviewed by IC, contained a biographical sketch of the appointee as of December, 1959. A copy of this biographical sketch is attached, designated Exhibit A.

These records also contain an article from the Thursday, April 7, 1960, issue of "The New York Times" captioned "Astronaut Plan Termed A 'Stunt'," subcaptioned "Bush Says Project Has Little Value - Sees 'Confusion' in the Missile Program".

A copy of this article is attached, designated Exhibit B.

The New York Times Magazine, March 20, 1955, contained an article captioned, "To Make Our Security System Secure" by VANNEVAR BUSH. This article bears a subcaption, "Loyalty clearance procedures require revision 'from the ground up,' says Bush. We need a program that is alive to subversion and equally alive to the rights of citizens."

A copy of the above article is attached, designated Exhibit C.

A clipping, dated March 7, 1956, reveals that VANNEVAR BUSH was elected a trustee of the George Putnam Fund of Boston.
NY 161-1923

A clipping dated January 14, 1964 reveals that Dr. VANNEVAR BUSH, Honorary Chairman of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, was awarded the National Medal of Science on January 13, 1964 by President JOHNSON.

These files contain numerous other clippings concerning BUSH, his background and accomplishments.

These files were reviewed on November 1, 1965.
Enclosed for the Bureau are the following:

One copy of a biographical sketch concerning Dr. VANNEVAR BUSH, Exhibit A.

One copy of a news article captioned "Astronaut Plan Termed a 'Stunt'," Exhibit B.

One copy of an article from "The New York Times Magazine," Exhibit C.

NY 161-1923
To Make Our Security System Secure

Loyalty clearance procedures require revision 'from the ground up,' says Bush. We need a program that is alive to subversion and equally alive to the rights of citizens.

BY VANNEVAR BUSH

WASHINGTON.

AFTER a spell of fever, the country has now returned to its almost normal temperature so it regards the problem of loyalty on the part of its citizens in high or sensitive places. Daily papers have disappeared from the front page, the last of these being so patently absurd that it was ludicrous. Opportunities are being offered and constructive life, contributing to our philosophy, if not to our defense. Condon is teaching physics rather than making new kinds of guns, and we shall be fighting well. Launcelot is back at work on his specialty.

But one looks back on the recent imbroglio over loyalty procedures with any pride or satisfaction. For widely different reasons the feeling prevails that the system of loyalty clearance under which we have operated has been defective. We need a new system, not a bit of tampering with the old, to make this effective device to be attached to a Model T.

The new system should be built from the ground up by a competent body of men with an uncontradicted assignment. That body should include members who are vigorously alive to the dangers of subversion and others who are equally alive to the rights of citizens. It should not be just a collection of scientists. It must, by all means, be divorced from politics. It must not be hurried at first. For our present situation is apt to continue a long time. But it should be thorough enough that it will bring the whole problem of revolutionary generation and achievement under control, without again making it a political football and without wrecking the careers of humble public servants.

First, the body should visualize the world conditions under which the revised system is likely to operate. Second, it should analyze the problems and methods of our enemy in the field of propaganda and subversion; third, it should write down the objectives which the new system is to accomplish; fourth, it should establish a group of principles to which the system should conform; and fifth, it should create a system that is to attain our objectives in accordance with the established principles. Here we can touch only the high spots of this program.

As nearly as we can now see, we are entering a period of technical stalemate, in which great wars are unlikely to occur, though secondary wars fought with limited means may be commonplace. This seems, at least, to be the best that we can hope for at present. For no great war can ever again be won. It can only end with the partial or complete annihilation of both contestants.

Our enemy relies chiefly on the weapons of penetration and subversion to weaken us so that later he can destroy us. We need to know our secrets, to penetrate our organizations and influence our actions, and above all, to spread confusion and mutual distrust among us. In this last purpose he has been extremely successful—so successful, in fact, that he needs hardly try further, for we are carrying on the process without his aid.

The enemy's success in this respect has had an especially severe impact on the scientific community. For scientists occupy a key position with respect to those secrets that should be most effectually kept. They are the individualistic lot, other.

(Continued on page 38)
Securing Our Security System

(Continued from Page 40)

There is a strong doctrine; that the greatest menace to freedom is an ignitement of public discussion is a political duty; and that, therefore, an essential principle of the American Government.

We have accepted the statement by the President that "We should be consistently vigilant against attempts to check the expression of opinions, but we should not believe that we are justified, or do it, unless they are imminently threatened immediate interference with the lawful and peaceful purposes of the law that an immediate check is required to save the country."

We hesitate, however, to go as far as the President did when he said, "This country and its institutions belong to the people who inhabit it. Whenever they shall grow weary of the existing government, they can exercise their constitutional right of amending it, or their revolutionary right to dismember or overthrow it."

But there is a vast difference between the honest citizen who would change our system of government because he thinks it is a better system — even when he acts within the law — and the person who is part of a foreign conspiracy to overthrow the nation. Regarding the latter we have no doubts and no hesitation. If he in a citizen, he is a traitor. If he is a guest in the land, he is a spy. In either case he must be found out and dealt with according to his desert.

This alone, however, is not a sufficient objective. We want to make it possible for a humble citizen to serve his government without fear or powerful men, we want decency in our national life. More than that we want to establish an atmosphere of trust and mutual confidence among our citizens. Our security system needs to have two objectives; one to get rid of our enemies and their adherents, and the other to encourage, support and protect honest, loyal citizens. We must work on matters that are important for our safety and our prosperity.

If a man was once a fool, does we condemn him for life, or do we let him know that he has outgrown his folly? If we excluded from government and from other employment all who have sometimes been foolish, we would not have enough men to man our gun or run our factories. But we are concerned here more particularly with the man who once subscribed to or flirted with the Communist philosophy and later, realizing his error, became a loyal citizen.

There are all degrees of involvement, of course. We certainly don't want to welcome with open arms and introduce into sensitive spots, the man who professes sudden reform.
There is no question of privilege involved. When a citizen serves the Government he is paid a salary and presumably gives capable, honest service in return. He has a duty to serve well and loyally. But the Government also has a responsibility to avoid imposing hardship on any man who has served it faithfully, except on proof of disloyalty or for reasons apart from those we are considering here, such as incompetence.

If disloyalty is not proved but loyalty is doubted, we need not cut off a man's support and place a stigma on him that will make his employment elsewhere almost impossible. He can be shifted to an insensitive job with little difficulty and no danger. We have already damned for life too many humble employees of the Government merely because there was doubt or because our security system was too clumsy to resolve it.

In our courts we have a complex system of rules of evidence to protect a citizen against unwarranted prosecution, to expose liars, and to arrive at facts as far as is possible in the light of human frailties. Undoubtedly we have at times carried this system to extremes and hobbed our procedure unnecessarily. But the system has evolved as a result of a thousand years of experience, and we should not abandon it lightly.

We cannot carry it all over into loyalty procedures without rendering them cumbersome. Still, such procedures place a man in jeopardy—not, to be sure, of life or limb, but of his good name, which may be more precious; and therefore, the best advice of men learned in the law should be obtained if we are to have a sound and fair system embodying the safeguards that have been found essential for wise judgments.

We have had, recently, some very striking and disharmonizing examples of how far an unscrupulous liar can go and the harm he can do when proceedings are loose and informal, and he does not have to run the gauntlet of vigorous cross-examination.

The Bill of Rights says that a man shall not be twice placed in jeopardy for the same offense. In our loyalty system there is no finality. Important men who could contribute much to the nation's real security have found it necessary time after time to go through the same rigmarole, citing out forms, appearing before loyalty boards, reassuring their neighbors that constant inquiry does not necessarily mean they are subver- sive.

Some have also had to spend...

(Continued on Page 44)
no uniform system, time of life, merely defending the country against disloyal attacks. This has not generally been the result of new evidence presented. It has been because we have clung to, inadequate system adopted in conformity. When a man is cleared he ought to stay cleared unless there be new and persistent evidence that he should not be.

Do we still subscribe to the doctrines of the Bill of Rights? Do we think it is good policy when a man may be imprisoned, his reputation and livelihood suspended by acts of his Governor?

His most solemn act is our system to date has been that it has been used for false and for thought control. Wholly unsupported charges lodged mainly for quite have been entertained seriously and made the basis for action when a proper court would have completely disregarded them. The matter of thought control has been more subtle and more disastrous. Apparently the argument goes something like this: A man expresses opinions contrary to those which have been accepted as Government policy. Perhaps he did so in the interests of Russia. We shall therefore examine whether his opinions were sound. What a tragic point on the ideal of free speech!

And I am not writing merely of cases that have been in the headlines. I have in mind cases of a number of persons that received no publicity. My information about them is fragmentary, and I could prove nothing, yet it has been surrounded with all the paraphernalia of secrecy; they have been the subject of state examining proceedings.

But I believe there has been altogether too much thought control of this kind. For it has been practiced in the full light of day, as it has, we may assume, it has been practiced far more in secret—sometimes on no better ground than that the man's arguments regarding policy have been found inconvenient.

Now I do not intend to prescribe an ideal system. I hope very much that a fully competent board will be given the task of doing so before more harm is done. But I have three suggestions in that direction.

In the practice of criminal law we have grand juries. They are part of our heritage; it is the long struggle of the past against arbitrary power. Their power is to protect the individual against capricious acts on the part of government officials. Before a District Attorney can jail a man and force him to defend himself in court against serious charges, he must have him indicted. And no indictment will be returned unless the District Attorney can convince a grand jury, made up of the many and democratic way of sharing that burden. No man should more than there are serious dangers supported by adequate evidence in the opinion of such a group of men. If this had been a part of our system in recent years a large number of cases that have caused real suffering would never have got started.

The loyalty of a Government to its employees is a different matter than its relations to citizens at large. It is, in some ways, comparable to its relation to men in uniform. There are a dependence and an accountability which both the men in the Government have delegations and responsibilities.

When a soldier is subjected to court-martial, the Government furnishes him with counsel. Under the present loyalty system, a man who is accused must defend himself at his own expense. When our system is revised this burden should be lifted. The accused should be furnished with vigorous and capable counsel and should have wide latitude in its selection. He can then add to his own counsel if he sees fit.

Under such a provision would accomplish a number of things. It would protect the man who is without resources and to whom his job may be highly important. It would put an end to the absurdity of preventing an accused man or his counsel from seeing some of the evidence because it is "classified." This would be no

(Continued on Page 57)
A grand jury can report to a court, and often does, if it believes prosecuting officers are violating the law. An equivalent of the grand jury should be a corresponding opportunity in connection with loitering in office. And it should report to the court upon the inferior of the offending official as many times removed as the circumstances and the gravity of the offense warrant, in its opinion. The public would then have some assurance that the practice under the system is clean.

As I have said has to do with the security system employed in the Executive branch of government. What committees of Congress do is report to Congress and, in the last analysis, to the public opinion in which, as with Courts, public opinion turns from entering into questions of how Congress conduct its business.

But if the Executive branch has a really effective system in operation and has cleaned its house, there will be few whisperings in which Congress can usefully direct its attention. We may then arrive at a situation in which Congress examines only the manner in which the Executive branch is operating, instead of attempting, in an exceedingly cumbersome and defective manner, the examination of individual cases, which should be prompt and effectively done by a system designed specifically for that purpose.

It is too bad that the subject has apparently arisen. We are much more sane and less hysterical than a year ago. We are gradually becoming more reasonable in our approach to the problem of subversives. But there is a danger here. Public opinion in this country sometimes passes to extremes; witness the great Prohibition experiment.

As the tide turns, we should be on the alert to see that it does not swing too far and leave us ensnared. We still, no doubt, have some Communists in our midst; and we still need to be vigilant in finding and removing them from places of trust in government and industry.

It is time for a new system—one that strikes a proper balance, seeking out and removing disloyal sympathizers who are drifting away, but offering reasonable protection to those who wish to serve the best interests of one that will be clean and just and that will not itself subvert those unalienable rights of man which are the essence of his liberty.
ASTRONAUT PLAN TERMINED A 'STUNT'

Bush Says Project Has Little Value—Sees 'Confusion' in the Missiles Program

Special to The New York Times
WASHINGTON, April 6—Dr. Vannevar Bush has criticized the current effort to place man in space as 'a stunt' with little scientific or military importance.

The charge was made in a broad indictment of the nation's space program that the noted scientist made last month in a statement to the House Committee on Science and Aeronautics. The statement was made public today by the committee.

Dr. Bush, now chairman of the Board of Governors of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, headed the Office of Scientific Research and Development during World War II. He had sharp words of criticism for the space program, which he contended was being overemphasized and the military missile program, which he said had been 'full of confusion, in the past ten years.' But at the same time he presented reassuring views on the Soviet missile strength.

Belittles Missile Gap

While emphasizing that the military aspects of space were "of supreme importance," Dr. Bush said, he was "not one who is terrified by the so-called missile gap."

"The risk of enemy attack is not greatly influenced by whether we are slightly ahead or behind in the race," he declared. "It becomes appalling only if we are so foolish as to be trailing behind to such an extent that we might be overwhelmed before we could retaliate."

He suggested that a concentrated effort be made to improve the precision and reliability of one-range, ballistic missiles as well as to develop a defensive system against these missiles. The solution of this latter problem, he said, "may look to be impossible in the face of it," he said, "but we need to remember that, soon after the war, the attainment of precision in an intercontinental ballistic missile appeared equally difficult."

Organization Stressed

If the United States has fallen behind the Soviet Union in development of missiles, he said, it is not because Soviet scientists and engineers are more brilliant, but because "we are not organized as well as we might be for military effort during a period of relative peace."

Dr. Bush said there was too much "hullabaloo" over the propaganda aspects of the space program. He said Soviet achievements in space had "hurt our pride," and had "done some good in shaking us out of our smugness, but I do not think it has done us a great deal of injury in our relations with the hesitant nations of the world."

Doubts Scientific Value

He also charged that space research and exploration was being "overemphasized" at the sacrifice of other fields of scientific research on earth that were more important.

As an example, he said: "Putting man in space is a stunt: the man can do no more than an instrument, in fact can do less. The days when men would be in space for long periods and for varied purposes are far off, that we need not look to as one aspect of their possible reactions and potential operations."

The 70-year-old scientist said that some of the futuristic proposals for space projects were "simple unadulterated absurdity," which "blithely ignore some of the simple laws of physics."

"Let us by all means look forward in every way and not neglect the fact that man may some day even cease to be entirely dependent for his continued existence upon this earth of ours," he said. "But let us not go crazy about it."
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The files of G-2, U. S. Army Counterintelligence Records Facility, Fort Holabird, made available by a representative of that agency were reviewed on November 5, 1965. These files reflect that the appointee was granted a "Secret" clearance on November 23, 1953 based on his appointed position as Director of Merck and Company, Inc., Rahway, New Jersey. No investigation was conducted by the Army during this period concerning the appointee.

G-2 files further reflect that the appointee was the subject of a background investigation conducted by the Department of Defense based on the appointee's position as the Consultant with the Office, Chief of Ordnance, The Pentagon, Washington, D. C. This investigation was completed on May 39, 1957, during which no unfavorable information was developed concerning the appointee.

Based on the results of the above investigation, the appointee was granted a "Secret" clearance on February 2, 1959.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
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These files further reflect that the appointee was the subject of a favorable National Agency Check completed on January 21, 1958, based on appointee's proposed appointment to the Civilian Advisory Group at the Army War College, National Strategy Seminar.

G-2 files contain no additional pertinent information concerning the appointee.
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U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 16-78224-1
VANNEVAR BUSH

SYNOPSIS: BUSH received honorary LLD at commencement exercises Yale University, New Haven, Conn., 6/8/42; also received honorary degree Doctor of Science Trinity College 1946.

DETAILS:

Honorary Degrees

On November 3, 1965

Woodbridge Hall, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, advised that VANNEVAR BUSH was awarded an honorary LLD degree from Yale University at commencement exercises on June 8, 1942.

She stated it was presented by the late President CHARLES SEYMOUR who advised at the exercises that BUSH was awarded the degree as outstanding among scientific leaders of the nation, devoting knowledge and administrative genius to the services at a moment when victory depends upon the imaginative use of scientific skill, Yale University confers the degree of Doctor of Laws and Letters and admitted him to all its rights and privileges.
The following investigation was conducted by SA EDWARD R. WHALEN:

Dr. ALBERT C. JACOBS, President, Trinity College, Hartford, Connecticut, advised on November 4, 1965, that the honorary degree of Doctor of Science was conferred by Trinity College on Dr. VANNEVAR BUSH of 1304 Marsh, Belmont, Massachusetts, at the commencement exercises of the College in June, 1946.

Dr. JACOBS stated that he was not associated with Trinity College at the above time but has conferred with Dr. BUSH on several occasions and highly regards him as a man of exemplary character in all respects. He stated that he is widely regarded as one of the leading scientists of this period.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, ST. LOUIS (161-2081) RUC
SUBJECT: VANNEVAR BUSH

Date: 11/5/65

Transmit the following in
(Type in plaintext or code)
Via AIRTEL  AIRMAIL
(Priority)

Re Bureau airtel, 10/25/65; WFO airtel to St. Louis 11/1/65; St. Louis airtel to WFO, 11/2/65; New York airtel to WFO, 11/2/65; Bureau teletype to WFO, 11/3/65; St. Louis report of IC dated 11/4/65; and Bureau teletype to St. Louis, 11/5/65.

Enclosed herewith to the Bureau are copies of following documents as obtained from appointee's personnel records at the Federal Records Center, St. Louis, Mo.:

- Standard Form 61 (Appointment Affidavits), dated 11/20/53;
- Appointee's letter to Dr. ALAN T. WATERMAN, dated 11/20/53;
- Standard Form 64 (Office Memorandum) from to ALAN T. WATERMAN, dated 12/9/53; and
- ALAN T. WATERMAN's letter to appointee, dated 12/11/53.

No further investigation being conducted at St. Louis, Mo., no additional report being submitted, UACB.

ENCLOSURE ATTACHED 16-65-22
The citation disclosed in part that VANNEVAR BUSH was being awarded this Honorary Degree because of his attributes as an educator and scientist, as one who through the development of weapons contributed to the defense of the nation, and as one who through the proper use of his talents had improved the lot of mankind.
TO:     DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM:  SAC, ST. LOUIS (161-2081) RUC
SUBJ:  VANNEVAR BUSH
       SPI
       Buled: 11/8/65

Enclosed are copies of records obtained from
subject's personnel file at Federal Records Center, SLMO.
Dr. Vannevar Bush  
President  
Carnegie Institution of Washington  
16th and P Streets, S.W.  
Washington, D.C.  

Dear Van:  

I appreciate the comments in your letter dated November 20, 1953 regarding the formalities of your appointment to the Advisory Committee on the Influence of Government-Financed Research and Development on Colleges and Universities.  

In accordance with your wishes, your appointment to the advisory committee is made on a without compensation basis.  

Sincerely yours,  

Alan T. Waterman  
Director  

Enclosure  

LPE:vh  
11-24-53  

WJH  
WFH  
CBS  

DISPATCHED  
DEC 11 1953  
NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION 
Mail Room
An Official Record of the U.S. Department of the Interior
Part of a record group in the custody of the Federal Records Center, G. S. A., St. Louis, Missouri — Certified a true copy.
Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO: Alan T. Waterman, Director
FROM:

DATE: December 9, 1953

SUBJECT: APPOINTMENT OF DR. VANNEVAR BUSH TO ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON THE INFLUENCE OF GOVERNMENT-FINANCED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ON COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES

This is in reply to your request that I comment on Dr. Bush's statement that he would prefer to serve on the Advisory Committee without a formal appointment.

As you know, the conflicts of interest statutes are designed to prevent the problems which arise in connection with persons working for or advising the government who have conflicting interests because of continued relations with private organizations of various kinds. There are numerous exceptions from the conflict of interest statutes necessitated by the fact that it is often in the interest of the government to employ or receive advice from persons who do maintain some such affiliations. However, in order that the existence of these conflicts of interest can be recognized and evaluated, and in order not to give the appearance of avoiding the application of the conflicts of interest statutes, which are drafted in terms of "persons employed by the government", it is important to formalize relations with the government which might conceivably involve a conflict of interest situation. While there can be no question of Dr. Bush's integrity or of his ability to deal with any conflicting interests he may have, as a matter of general policy it would be undesirable for the government to permit informal WOC arrangements of the type that he suggests in his letter.

In the case of the Advisory Committee, there is another reason for a formal appointment. As we have discussed, it may be desirable to request security clearance for the members of the committee, in which case a formalized relationship would appear to be a necessary condition precedent.
Dr. Alan T. Waterman,
National Science Foundation,
Washington 25, D.C.

Dear Alan:

I am sorry to find that membership on a committee involves a formal appointment, for I have taken some satisfaction in the statement that I have no official connection with government at the present time. However I judge it is unavoidable, and certainly I have no objection to taking an oath, since I have taken oaths of allegiance many times. I do object to the other statements on the oath, but this is not the time to go into the basis for my objection. Hence I have signed the oath and I return it.

On the other hand, I do not wish to be paid, and will accept no remuneration. Neither do I wish to be reimbursed for travel expenses if any occur. If the appointment could be made without the formalities in the light of the fact that I do not wish to have reimbursement, I would much prefer the informal arrangement which I have had in other connections. This would enable me to retain my complete independence. However I realize you have to handle this in accordance with the rules.

Cordially yours,

V. Bush.
APPOINTMENT AFFIDAVITS

IMPORTANT.—Before swearing to these appointment affidavits, you should read and understand the attached information for appointee.

National Science Foundation Washington, D.C.

Departments or agency) (Bureau or division) (Place of employment)

I, Vannevar Bush, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that—

A. OATH OF OFFICE

I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter, SO HELP ME GOD.

B. AFFIDAVIT AS TO SUBVERSIVE ACTIVITY AND AFFILIATION

I am not a Communist or Fascist. I do not advocate nor am I a member of any organization that advocates the overthrow of the Government of the United States by force or violence or other unconstitutional means or seeking by force or violence to deny other persons their rights under the Constitution of the United States. I do further swear (or affirm) I will not so advocate, nor will I become a member of such organization during the period that I am an employee of the Federal Government.

C. AFFIDAVIT AS TO STRIKING AGAINST THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

I am not engaged in any strike against the Government of the United States and that I will not so engage while an employee of the Government of the United States; that I am not a member of an organization of Government employees, that asserts the right to strike against the Government of the United States, and that I will not, while a Government employee, become a member of such an organization.

D. AFFIDAVIT AS TO PURCHASE AND SALE OF OFFICE

I have not paid, or offered or promised to pay, any money or other thing of value to any person, firm or corporation for the use of influence to procure my appointment.

E. AFFIDAVIT AS TO DECLARATION OF APPOINTEE

The answers given in the Declaration of Appointee on the reverse of this form are true and correct.

November 20, 1953.

(Signature of appointee)

Subscribed and sworn before me this 20th day of November, A. D. 1953.

Washington

(City)

[SEAL]

(Signature of officer)

My commission expires March 31, 1954.

NOTE.—If the oath is taken before a Notary Public the date of expiration of his commission should be shown.
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VANNEVAR BUSH received Honorary Doctor of Laws Degree from the University of Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, on October 4, 1946.

- RUC -

AT BUFFALO, NEW YORK

On November 4, 1965, Office of the Chancellor, State University of New York at Buffalo, furnished the following information:

According to University records, VANNEVAR BUSH, on October 4, 1946, was conferred with an Honorary Doctor of Laws Degree from Dr. SAMUEL P. CAPEN, Chancellor of the University of Buffalo which was the name of this institution before it became the State University of New York at Buffalo.
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Report of: JOHN J. MURRAY
Date: NOVEMBER 6, 1965
Field Office File #: 161-915
Title: VANNEVAR BUSH
Character: SPECIAL INQUIRY
Synopsis: Dr. BUSH awarded honorary Doctor of Laws degree by University of Pennsylvania in 1942.
Details: AT PHILADELPHIA, PA.

University of Pennsylvania, advised on November 5, 1965, that University records no longer contain the name of the individual who recommended Dr. VANNEVAR BUSH for the honorary Doctor of Laws degree that was awarded him by the University of Pennsylvania in 1942 with the accompanying citation:

"VANNEVAR BUSH - Electrical Engineer, now President of the Carnegie Institution of Washington, you were previously professor, Vice-President and Dean for many years at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. As chairman of the Joint Committee on New Weapons and Equipment of the General Staff of the Army and Navy, you are responsible for higher strategy in the offensive war effort of the United States. As chairman of the National Defense Research Commission, you organized the civilian resources in chemistry, physics and engineering, and when the Office of Scientific Research and Development was formed to take over these fields and others, notably medicine,"
PH 161-915

"you became its first director, an office which you still hold. Your life has been devoted to research and administration, and the fruits of your wisdom and experience are now aiding your country in a dark hour."

said he had made a thorough and complete search of the records of the Archivist, Secretary of the Corporation, and the Office of the President of this University and had found nothing additional concerning Dr. BUSH and that no one with them now would remember anything at all concerning the circumstances that surrounded his being awarded the honorary degree on June 2, 1942.
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VANNEVAR BUSH, SPI, BUDED NOV. EIGHT NEXT.
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ACQUAINTED WITH APPLICANT AT CARNEGIE INSTITUTION,
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HAS HIGHEST ADMIRATION FOR APPLICANT AND CONSIDERS APPLICANT

EXCELLENT AND FIRST CLASS PERSON IN ALL RESPECTS. CHARACTER,

LOYALTY AND ASSOCIATES OF APPLICANT UNQUESTIONABLE.

REPORT FOLLOWS.
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Report of: SA ROBERT C. SMITH
Office: Tampa
Date: November 6, 1965
Field Office File #: 161-208
Bureau File #: 
Title: VANNEVAR BUSH

Character: SPECIAL INQUIRY

Synopsis: Applicant at Carnegie Institution, Washington, D.C. from about has highest admiration for applicant and considers applicant excellent and first-class person in all respects. Character, loyalty and associates unquestionable.

- RUC -

DETAILS:

advised on November 6, 1965, that he has been acquainted with applicant at Carnegie Institution, Washington, D.C., from about and has the highest admiration for the applicant. He considers the applicant excellent and a first-class person in all respects, and his character, loyalty and associates to be unquestionable.

He advised that anything the applicant does, he will do it exceptionally well and that he would not hesitate to recommend the applicant for employment with the U. S. Government in a position of trust and confidence.

- 1* -
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On November 5, 1965, CIW, advised the personnel records of the Institution verified that the appointee served as President of the Institution from November 1, 1939, until December 31, 1955, when he retired. He added that the appointee has served as a trustee of the Institution since 1958, but the exact date that he became a trustee was not indicated in the records. He stated that he was not employed at the Institution during the period the appointee served as President, but he has since met him on a number of occasions in Washington, D.C., and knows that he enjoys an excellent personal and professional reputation. He remarked that the appointee has contributed a great deal to the progress of the United States in the scientific field and he considers him to be a person of excellent character and a loyal and patriotic American. He added that nothing has ever come to his attention that would cause him to question the appointee's associates or personal habits and he highly recommended him for a position of trust with the Government.

On November 5, 1965, CIW, advised he first met the appointee in and has seen him four or five times a year since that time and has also had social contact with him. He remarked that Dr. BUSH has had an outstanding career and enjoys an excellent personal and professional reputation. He advised the appointee is a very, outspoken person and never hesitates
to question other scientists when he feels they are mistaken on a particular theory or judgement. He said the appointee has been cleared for access to sensitive material through the years on many occasions, had worked on the development of the atomic bomb, and also had been Head of the Office of Scientific Research and Development for a number of years. **stated that in his opinion, Dr. BUSH has done more for his country than 98% of the citizens, and he has demonstrated his loyalty and patriotism many times. He added that the appointee has a wonderful ability to foresee important things of the future and had developed an analytic machine many years ago which was the forerunner of the present analogue computers. According to the appointee's morals, character, and associates are above reproach, and he highly recommended him for a position of trust with the Government. **stated that the present CIW, Dr. CARYL P. HASKINS, had worked with the appointee in the Office of Scientific Research and Development, and has been closely acquainted with him for many years. 

**On November 5, 1965, CIW, advised she came to the Institution in and was acquainted closely with the appointee from that time until he retired in December, 1955. She recalled that a number of his speeches and drafts for his book, "Modern Arms and Free Men". She stated that she considers Dr. BUSH to be a very fine person and knows that he has enjoyed an outstanding reputation in his field and as an individual. She remarked that she feels the appointee is devoted to the best interests of the United States and has demonstrated this devotion through his service to his country on numerous occasions. She highly recommended Dr. BUSH for a position of trust with the Government.
stated that she could suggest no one else presently employed with the Institution who was acquainted with the appointee. She stated that served when Dr. BUSH was President of the Institution and he presently stated that Dr. HASKINS was away from the city on business and was not scheduled to return until November 11, 1965.

The Smithsonian Institution

On November 5, 1965, the Smithsonian Institution, advised the records of her office verified that Dr. VANNEVAR BUSH served as a Regent of the Institution from April 5, 1940 until January 1, 1956. She stated that Dr. BUSH was not actually an employee of the Institution and no personnel file as such was maintained in connection with his services. She stated her records contained no additional pertinent information concerning the appointee. could suggest no one presently located with the Institution who would be able to comment concerning the appointee.

Department of State

On November 5, 1965, SA JOSEPH W. SPEICHER reviewed a Service Record Card regarding the appointee at the Department of State which verified the following employment:

4/28/52 Exempted Appointment (not to exceed three months) as Consultant, without compensation, Office of the Assistant Secretary for United Nations Affairs, Department of State, Washington, D.C.
Above appointment extended not to exceed April 27, 1953.
Appointment terminated, reason not indicated.

No additional pertinent information was contained in the file.

On November 8, 1965, Office of the Executive Director, Bureau of International Organization Affairs, Department of State, advised SA SPEICHER that the Bureau of International Organization Affairs is the successor of the former Bureau of United Nations Affairs. He stated that no records going back to the 1952-1953 period were still maintained in his office and he was unable to furnish any information as to the extent of the services performed by the appointee or the names of any people employed by that Bureau who might have worked with him.

National Bureau of Standards (NBS)
Department of Commerce

On November 5, 1965, Operations and Procedures Section, Personnel Division, NBS, advised SA [ ] that individuals are appointed as members of the visiting committee of the NBS for a five-year period. She stated that no personnel records as such are maintained on members of the visiting committee as they are not employees of the NBS. [ ] attempted to locate a record or publication which would verify the appointee's services but advised she was unable to locate any record concerning Dr. BUSH.
On November 5, 1965, Office of Investigations and Security, Department of Commerce, advised SA MAURICE F. DONEGAN, Jr. that the files of her office contained no record regarding the appointee.

National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics (NACA)

It is to be noted the NACA terminated during July, 1958, and its functions were transferred to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

On November 2, 1965, Personnel Division, Headquarters, NASA, and Security Division, NASA, advised SA that they could locate no record regarding the appointee.
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

On November 5, 1965, SA MAURICE F. DONEGAN, JR. reviewed the service record card regarding the appointee at the Personnel Office, National Park Service, Department of the Interior, which revealed he received an Excepted Appointment on April 28, 1948, as a collaborator (without compensation), Office of the Director, National Park Service, Washington, D.C. The card indicated this appointment terminated on June 30, 1962.

On November 5, 1965, Security Office, National Park Service, advised SA DONEGAN that she could locate no record regarding the appointee.

On November 5, 1965, Office of the Director, National Park Service, advised SA DONEGAN that she knew of no one presently with the service who would have known the appointee.

UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

On November 5, 1965, SA DONEGAN reviewed the records of the Office of the Commissioner, United States Patent Office, Department of Commerce, which contained a report to the Secretary of Commerce by the Advisory Committee on Application of Machines to Patent Office Operations dated December 22, 1954. The report signed by V. BUSH, Chairman, related that Secretary of Commerce, SINCLAIR WEEKS, appointed the committee, made certain recommendations, and requested that the committee be discharged since its assigned tasks had been completed. The committee was appointed earlier in 1954, but the date of appointment did not appear in the records.
On November 5, 1965, Personnel Office, United States Patent Office, advised SA DONEGAN that she could locate no record regarding the appointee.

On November 8, 1965, ROBERT C. WATSON, Patent Attorney, 815 15th Street, N.W., and former Commissioner of the United States Patent Office, from 1953 until 1961, advised SA DONEGAN that he had considerable contact with the appointee in connection with the Advisory Committee on the Application of Machines to Patent Office Operations in 1954, and had seen him occasionally since that time. WATSON remarked that the appointee is a very prominent and respected scientist and an outstanding citizen. He added that he has no reason to question the appointee's character, associates, reputation, or loyalty, and he highly recommended him for a position of trust with the government.

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION (NSF)

On November 5, 1965, SA DONEGAN reviewed the service record card regarding the appointee at the Office of Personnel, NSF, and verified the following employments:

November 19, 1953 Excepted Appointment (not to exceed November 18, 1954) as consultant, without compensation, with the NSF Advisory Committee on the Influence of Government Financed Research and Development on Colleges and Universities.

November 19, 1954 Above appointment extended not to exceed November 18, 1955.

November 18, 1955 Appointment terminated on expiration.
No additional pertinent information was contained on the card.

On November 5, 1965, Office of the Director, NSF, advised that he knew of no one presently with the NSF, who would have known the appointee from his membership on the above committee.

On November 8, 1965, Dr. ALAN WATERMAN, Special Consultant, to the President, National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council, advised SA DONEGAN that he was Director of the National Science Foundation from 1951 until 1963, and has known the appointee for over 30 years and worked with him in the Office of Scientific Research and Development during World War II. He stated that after the war Dr. BUSH was very instrumental in getting the NSF founded through his recommendations to the President. He said that he had a great deal of contact with the appointee in connection with his work as a consultant to the NSF during 1953 and 1954. He remarked that he still sees the appointee and they are also acquainted on a social basis. He stated that Dr. BUSH is a very prominent man in the field of science, is a brilliant engineer and a most capable administrator and is still very keen and energetic in spite of his age. He highly recommended the appointee for a position of trust commenting that he is certain there is no question concerning the appointee's character, associates, reputation or loyalty.
The following investigation was conducted by SA GEORGE E. SAUNDERS on November 1, 1965:

Office of Science and Technology (OST), Executive Office of the President, advised the appointee is presently being considered for a position on a panel under a joint operation of the OST and the President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board. She stated the appointee has not entered on duty, and the panel has not met to date. She added that her files contained no additional pertinent information concerning Dr. BUSH.

The White House Office, advised her files contained no record regarding the appointee.

The White House Office, advised his files contained the following information concerning VANNEVAR BUSH:

6/28/41 Appointed by the President as Director, Office of Scientific Research and Development, Office of Emergency Management, Executive Office of the President.

No date recorded Appointed chairman, Joint Research and Development Board (established by Secretaries of War and Navy under Charter, 7/11/46)

11/29/46 Appointed Member, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics (term of five years from December 1, 1946).
WFO 161-3359

9/25/47 Appointed Chairman, Research and Development Board.

6/25/48 Commissioned as Chairman, Research and Development Board, and as Member, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics.

advised his files contained no additional pertinent information concerning the appointee.

CREDIT AND POLICE AGENCIES

caused a search to be made of the files of The Credit Bureau, Inc., Washington, D.C., and was advised on October 28, 1965, that the files contained no record regarding the appointee or his wife, PHOEBE DAVIS BUSH.

On October 28, 1965, determined that the files of the Metropolitan Police Department contained no record regarding the appointee or his wife. It is to be noted at all times an indefinite number of unidentified records are out of file and not available for review.

searched the files of the U.S. Park Police and no record regarding the appointee or his wife was disclosed.

MISCELLANEOUS

reviewed the appointee's file at the Passport Office, Department of State, which listed his birth as March 11, 1890, at Everett, Massachusetts.
VANNEVAR BUSH was issued Boston Special Series passport number 1789 on November 14, 1927, for a two months' travel to Great Britain, France, Belgium, Holland, Germany, Switzerland, and Russia on business.

He was issued passport number 111014 on June 1, 1934, for proposed travel of two-months duration to Great Britain to lecture at Cambridge University. He requested that the passport include his wife, PHOEBE DAVIS BUSH, who was born at Chelsea, Massachusetts, on September 29, 1891, and to whom he was married on September 5, 1916.

The appointee was issued special passport number 7642 on December 11, 1939, for travel to Guatemala, Honduras, and Mexico on business for Carnegie Institution of Washington for the purpose of inspecting research activities of the Institution, particularly relating to archaeological and volcanological studies, which are being carried on in Central American countries. The passport included his wife PHOEBE DAVIS BUSH. Passport number 7642 was marked "This passport is not valid for travel in any country in Europe".

He was issued special passport number 30412 on June 26, 1942, for travel to England in connection with his duties as Director of the Office of Scientific Research and Development. On July 26, 1943, at the American Embassy, London, England, he had passport number 30412 validated until December 26, 1943, for the British Isles - official business and for return to the United States direct or via Eire, Newfoundland and Canada.

His file contains a letter from the Office of Scientific Research and Development, Office for Emergency Management, dated November 17, 1944, requesting the immediate reissue of his passport number 30412, which was issued June 26, 1943, valid for England, France, and the European Theater of Operations as the Director of the Joint New Weapons Committee.
A letter from the Department of the Air Force dated April 19, 1948, authorized the appointee to proceed to the United Kingdom for 20 days on an official mission for the Air Force in his capacity as Chairman of the Research and Development Board.

The appointee received passport number 8758 (Special) at Washington, D.C., on April 20, 1948, to proceed to England and possibly France for the Research and Development Board.

He received passport 294075 at Washington, D.C., on December 21, 1948, to depart via ship to the Honduras, Guatemala, and vicinity on vacation for one months' duration. His wife, PHOEBE DAVIS BUSH, was included in his passport.

He was issued passport 597394 at Washington, D.C. on April 2, 1952, which included his wife, to depart via ship for a six-week business and pleasure trip to France and Switzerland. He indicated his mailing address as Carnegie Institution of Washington, 1530 P Street, N.W., Washington 5, D.C.

He was issued passport 1926884 at Boston on March 9, 1960, which included his wife, to depart via ship on a six-week business trip to France, Holland, England, Sweden, and Denmark. He indicated his legal residence at Jaffrey, New Hampshire, and an additional residence at 304 Marsh Street, Belmont, Massachusetts.

He received passport number F 297807 at Boston on May 4, 1965, which included his wife, to depart for two weeks via ship to England for consultations. He gave a Dr. RICHARD D. BUSH, 11 Locust Street, Belmont, Massachusetts, as the person to be notified in the event of death or accident.
The appointee indicated he was married on September 5, 1916, to PHOEBE DAVIS BUSH, who was born at Chelsea, Massachusetts, on September 29, 1891, and the marriage has not been terminated. He listed his parents as RICHARD V. BUSH, born Provincetown, Massachusetts, in 1854, deceased, and EMMA L. BUSH, born Provincetown, Massachusetts, in 1859, deceased.

No additional pertinent information was contained in the file.

On November 2, 1965, the records of the House Committee on Un-American Activities (HCUA), which contained the following information regarding VANNEVAR BUSH:

On April 23, 1954, VANNEVAR BUSH testified as a witness in a hearing before the Atomic Energy Commission (AEC), Personnel Security Board, in the matter of J. ROBERT OPPENHEIMER. On Pages 560 to 568, Dr. BUSH testified he had known OPPENHEIMER for several years and had worked with him on several occasions. He said that he considered OPPENHEIMER to have been of great value in making the atomic bomb. He stated that he thought OPPENHEIMER was being tried because he had stated strong opinions about how the matter of the atom bomb was handled.

On pages 909 to 915 of Dr. BUSH's testimony, he stated that at the time of Dr. OPPENHEIMER's appointment, neither President TRUMAN nor anyone had informed him that President TRUMAN had any doubt or distrust of Dr. OPPENHEIMER. Throughout his testimony, Dr. BUSH upheld the loyalty, integrity, and judgement of Dr. OPPENHEIMER.

The files of the HCUA contained no additional pertinent information concerning the appointee.
On November 4, 1965, S. A. J. RICHARD NICHOLS reviewed the file regarding the appointee at the Division of Security, AEC, Germantown, Maryland, which disclosed he was granted a "Q" Clearance by the AEC on June 27, 1947, in connection with his service as a Member of the Joint Research and Development Board. The file revealed the appointee's clearance terminated on May 2, 1957. No additional pertinent information was contained in the file.

A review of the file regarding the appointee at the Office of Naval Intelligence (ONI) on November 4, 1965, revealed that the Office of Naval Research, Department of the Navy, Boston, Massachusetts, in 1957, desired that a "Top Secret" Facility Clearance by obtained for the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and incidental to the granting of the clearance it was necessary that the members of the Executive Committee, as the official governing body of the Institute, be cleared for a "Top Secret" level. A letter from the Commanding Officer, Office of Naval Research, Boston, Massachusetts, to the Department of Defense, dated May 3, 1957, advised that one of the recent appointees to the Executive Committee who would require "Top Secret" Clearance was Dr. VANNEVAR BUSH. The letter stated, however, that "Dr. BUSH has voiced strenuous objections to completing additional security questionnaires on the basis of having previously executed numerous security forms and already having been the subject of various investigations." The letter pointed out that in view of the appointee's past achievements and services rendered to the country, the Office of Naval Research, rather than create embarrassing or otherwise undesirable situations, agreed to exhaust all other sources of obtaining security clearance for Dr. BUSH.

The letter pointed out that Dr. BUSH was granted a "Top Secret" Clearance on January 23, 1953, with the Office of Secretary of Defense based upon a previous "Q" Clearance
by the AEC. It was stated he was granted a "Secret" Clearance on September 20, 1956, by the Department of the Army as a Director of the Merck and Company, Inc., Rahway, New Jersey, and this clearance was based upon a waiver of investigation requirements authorized by the Office of the Secretary of Defense. The letter requested that the requirements for obtaining security questionnaires and conducting further investigation concerning Dr. BUSH be waived and that the Office of Naval Research be authorized to issue to the Massachusetts Institute of Technology a "Top Secret" Security Clearance for Dr. BUSH.

The file contained a memorandum to the Chief of Naval Material from the Chief of Naval Operations dated June 17, 1957, in which it was pointed out that the Secretary of Defense on May 29, 1957, had issued a "Top Secret" Security Clearance to Dr. BUSH in connection with his duties as a Consultant. It also stated in view of the fact that a decision had already been made by the Office of the Secretary of Defense that a Letter of Consent, based upon the recent clearance action by the Office of the Secretary of Defense, may be issued to the Massachusetts Institute of Technology on behalf of Dr. BUSH.

The file contained no additional pertinent information.

IC [ ] caused a search to be made of the files of the Bureau of Personnel Investigations, Civil Service Commission, and was advised on October 29, 1965, that the files contained no additional pertinent information concerning the appointee.

On November 4, 1965, SA [ ] reviewed the Security File regarding the appointee at the which contained no additional pertinent information.
WFO 161-3359

SA caused a search to be made of the files of the and was advised on November 4, 1965, that the files contained no pertinent information regarding the appointee's wife, PHOEBE DAVIS BUSH.

IC caused a search to be made of the files of the Headquarters, Office of Special Investigations, Department of the Air Force, and was advised on November 5, 1965, that the files contained no record regarding the appointee.

IC caused a search to be made of the files of the United States Secret Service, Department of the Treasury, and was advised on November 2, 1965, that no record was located concerning the appointee.
FBI BOSTON
10:07 AM

URGENT 11-8-65 PED

TO DIRECTOR AND CINCINNATI
FROM BOSTON (161-1452) 3P

VANNEVAR BUSH, SPI.

RE WFO TELETYPING ELEVEN FIVE SIXTYFIVE AND BUREAU
AIRTTEL TEN TWENTYFIVE SIXTYFIVE.

THE FOLLOWING TELETYPING WAS RECEIVED FROM WFO.

"ONI FILE RE APPOINTEE REVEALED APPOINTEE HAS BEEN
ISSUED VARIOUS CLEARANCES BY DEFENSE AGENCIES INCLUDING
SECRET CLEARANCE BY ARMY IN NINETEEN FIFTYSIX, AS DIRECTOR
OF MERCK AND COMPANY AND CLEARANCE BY OFFICE OF NAVAL
RESEARCH, BOSTON, AS OFFICIAL OF MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTO OF
TECHNOLOGY IN NINETEEN FIFTY SEVEN.

"Baltimore Review G-TWO FILE.

"Boston ascertain status of clearances in connection with
MIT."

END PAGE ONE
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[Handwritten note: destroyed]
BUREAU AIRETLE TEN TWENTY SIXTYFIVE ADVISED WHITE
HOUSE HAS REQUESTED INVESTIGATION OF DR. BUSH WHO IS BEING
CONSIDERED FOR WHITE HOUSE STAFF POSITION ON "WHITE HOUSE
PANEL IN CONNECTION WITH FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE ADVISORY
BOARD." BORN MARCH ELEVEN, EIGHTEEN NINETY, EVERETT,
MASS., RESIDES CAMBRIDGE, MASS., WHERE HE IS AN HONORARY
CHAIRMAN OF CORPORATION MIT. SEE "WHO'S WHO" FOR FURTHER
BACKGROUND DATA. BUREAU AIRETLE ADVISED ASSIGN CASE TO
EXPERIENCED AGENTS.

ON ELEVEN EIGHT SIXTYFIVE, OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH, BOSTON, MASS.,
ADVISRED ALL PERSONNEL CLEARANCES HAD BEEN FORWARDED TO
DEFENSE INDUSTRIAL SECURITY CLEARANCE OFFICE (DISCO).
DEFENSE CONSTRUCTION SUPPLY CENTER, COLUMBUS, OHIO.
END PAGE TWO
CINCINNATI ASCERTAIN STATUS OF CLEARANCE AS REQUESTED
IN WFO TELETYPe OF ELEVEN FIVE. DEADLINE COB ELEVEN EIGHT
SIXTYFIVE.
END AND ACK PLS
WA ELR
FBI WASH DC
CI KXH
FBI CINCINTI
TU CLR
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Synopsis: Receipt of honorary degree of Doctor of Science from Columbia University verified. Acquaintance recommends.

- RUC -
NY 161-1923

DETAILS:

Honorary Degree

Columbia University
116th Street and Broadway
New York, New York

On November 8, 1965, University, advised
that the appointee was awarded
an honorary degree of Doctor of Science on March 6, 1947.
could furnish no additional background
information concerning the appointee and on the basis
of information available to him, could not suggest
anyone who is acquainted with the appointee.

Acquaintance

On November 8, 1965, Dr. CARYL P. HASKINS,
President, Carnegie Institute of Washington, Washington,
D. C., who resides at 22 Green Acre Lane, Westport,
Connecticut, and who maintains a residence at 1545
18th Street, N.W., Washington, D. C., was contacted
at the Coffee House, 54 West 45th Street, New York,
New York. He advised that he worked closely with the
appointee from 1940 to 1945 in the Office of Scientific
Research and Development. He also served on the
Board of the Carnegie Institute of Washington with the
appointee from 1945 to 1955, and succeeded the
appointee as President of the Carnegie Institute of
Washington in 1956. He stated he has the highest
opinion of the appointee's integrity, brilliance, ability,
character, reputation, associates and loyalty. He
added that the appointee is forcible and very decisive
and is experienced in panel discussions and the work
of members of a Board of Directors. He would recommend
the appointee for a position of trust.

He added that the appointee has two sisters,
one of whom is retired and was Dean of Women at
Tufts College, Medford, Massachusetts. He believes one of the appointee's sisters is named REBA. One sister is married, the other is single.

He added that the appointee RICHARD, a surgeon in the Boston area.

HASKINS stated that there is no question of the reputation of the appointee's wife or family.
FBI NEW YORK
9-18 PM URGENT 11-8-65 JVD
TO DIRECTOR
FROM NEW YORK (161-1923) (P) ---5---

VANNEVAR BUSH, SPI, BÜRED ELEVEN EIGHT INSTANT.

RENY REPORT ELEVEN FIVE LAST, AND WFO TEL TO MY ELEVEN FIVE LAST.

ON ELEVEN EIGHT INSTANT,

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, ADVISED APPOINTEE RECEIVED HONORARY DOCTOR OF SCIENCE DEGREE FROM THIS SCHOOL ON MARCH SIX, NINETEEN FORTYSEVEN.

ON ELEVEN EIGHT INSTANT, DR. CARYL P. HASKINS, PRESIDENT, CARNEGIE INSTITUTE OF WASHINGTON, WASHINGTON, D.C., WHO WORKED CLOSELY WITH APPOINTEE AT OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT FROM NINETEEN FORTY TO NINETEEN FORTY FIVE, WAS ON THE BOARD OF THE CARNEGIE INSTITUTE OF WASHINGTON FROM NINETEEN FORTY FIVE TO NINETEEN FIFTYFIVE, AND WHO SUCCEEDED APPOINTEE AS PRESIDENT OF CARNEGIE INSTITUTE OF WASHINGTON IN NINETEEN FIFTYSIX, HIGHLY RECOMMENDED APPOINTEE AS TO CHARACTER, REPUTATION, ASSOCIATES, ABILITY AND LOYALTY.

REPORT FOLLOWS.

END

2-WA PLS ACK FOR NR --3-- 161-4/165-30 AND --5-- NOT RECORDED
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END
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URGENT 8-11-65 3:14 PM
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CINCINNATI 081921

VANNEVAR BUSH, SPIN.

RE BOSTON TEL TO BUREAU NOVEMBER 8 INSTANT.
RECORDS DISCO COLUMBUS, OHIO REVEAL APPOINTEE HAS
FOLLOWING ACTIVE CLEARANCES ON FILE:

TOP SECRET CLEARANCE DATED MAY 21, 1957 CLEARED BY
OFFICE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICES DIVISION BASED
ON BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED BY DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
AND DATED MAY 29, 1957. EMPLOYER MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF
TECHNOLOGY CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS. POSITION NOT GIVEN.

SECRET CLEARANCE DATED FEBRUARY 1, 1958 BASED ON BACK-
GROUND INVESTIGATION DATED MAY 29, 1957 CONDUCTED BY DOD
LETTER OF CONSENT ISSUED BASED ON PRESENTLY ACTIVE TOP SECRET
CLEARANCE DATED MAY 29, 1957. EMPLOYER SHOWN AS METALS AND
CONTROLS CORPORATION 34 FOREST STREET ATTLEBORO, MASSACHUSETTS

53 JAN 6 1966

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.
POSITION-DIRECTOR.

SECRET CLEARANCE DATED APRIL 10, 1958 GRANTED ON BASIS OF TOP SECRET CLEARANCE ISSUED BY OFFICE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICES DIVISION. EMPLOYER MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS POSITION-MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE.

TOP SECRET CLEARANCE DATED FEBRUARY 8, 1960 BY OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH 495 SUMMER STREET BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS.

BASIS FOR CLEARANCE: BY AUTHORITY OF CHIEF OF NAVAL MATERIALS WASHINGTON, D. C. LETTER OF CONSENT ISSUED BASED ON WAIVER OF INVESTIGATIVE REQUIREMENTS AUTHORIZED BY THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE DEPARTMENT OF ARMY.

REPORT FOLLOWS.

RECEIVED: 3:39 PM JG
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, WFO (161-3359) (RUC) VANNEVAR BUSH SPI

Rereport of SA _______ dated 11/8/65, b6 b7C

at Washington, D.C.

On 11/9/65, SA _______ reviewed the file regarding the appointee at the Security Services Division Office of the Secretary of Defense, which contained the following pertinent information:


1/22/53: Granted "Top Secret" clearance by Research and Development Board, Office of the Secretary of Defense, based on the above "Q" clearance by AEC.

9/20/56: Granted "Secret" clearance by Department of Army as a Director of Merck and Company, Incorporated, Rahway, New Jersey.

5/28/57: Interim "Top Secret" clearance issued by Office of Secretary of Defense, to serve as a member of the Defense Science Board, Office of the Secretary of Defense.}

(*Bureau (Enc. 2)*)

1 - WFO
ELN: gma
J
Approved 2
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Sent 1C NOV. 11 1965
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Agent in Charge

7/1/57: Above clearance terminated as BUSH declined appointment to the Defense Science Board.

5/7/59: Granted access to Institute for Defense Analyses, Draper reports.

The above file contained no additional pertinent information.

On 11/9/65, Personnel Records, Office of the Secretary of Defense, advised SA that the only records she had concerning the appointee was a card indicating the appointee served as a Consultant to the Research and Development Board, without compensation and was terminated on 6/3/49, due to the completion of his duties. She stated the card failed to indicate the date of his entrance on duty or any additional information.
Office of the Director of Defense, Research and Engineering, Department of Defense. On 11/9/65, advised SA that she is familiar with Mr. VANNAWIR BUSH when he was the first Chairman of the Joint Research Development Board (chartered by the War and Navy Department), and subsequently while he was the first Chairman of the Research and Development Board of the National Defense Establishment as well as the first director of the Office of Security, Research and Development of the Department of Defense. Since leaving the chairmanship of the last named position, he has been utilized as an official or unofficial consultant by each of his successors. In 1953, he was active on the staff of the Rockefeller Reorganization Project, the committee for the reorganization of the Department of Defense, which resulted in the establishment of the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering. He said that since he left the chairmanship in 1949, he has rarely come to Washington. She considers him to be an outstanding American citizen of the utmost loyalty and patriotism. His character and reputation are above reproach. He was associated with and directed many of the top scientific military offices and had responsibility in research, political appointments in the Department of Defense and other Government officials. While she has met Mrs. BUSH, she does not know her well. She stated she would certainly recommend him for a position of trust and confidence. She said there is no one left in the Department of Defense who would have worked with him during the periods mentioned above.
On 11/9/65, Personnel Office, and Institute for Development Analyses, 400 Army-Navy Drive, Arlington, Virginia, advised SA LAWRENCE E. BUSCHER that their files contained no record regarding the appointee.

On 11/10/65, SA reviewed the file regarding the appointee at the Office of Security, Department of State, which revealed that the Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs (CU), Department of State, on 2/4/64, requested the Office of Security to conduct a name check regarding BUSH as a candidate for an American Specialist Grant under Public Law 87-256. On 2/26/64, the Office of Security furnished the results of the name check to CU and on 3/5/64, CU advised the Office of Security that it was believed the furnished information of BUSH would not preclude a grant by CU.

The file contained two newspaper clipings from the "Washington Post" Newspaper dated 10/19/54, and 10/20/54, regarding the appointee's testimony before a House of Representatives, Government Operations Subcommittee looking into the organization and administration of military Research and Development. The articles revealed the testimony of Dr. BUSH on 6/18/54. Copies of the newspaper articles are attached.

caused a search to be made of the records at the National Archives in an attempt to locate a personnel file concerning the appointee and was advised on 11/10/65, that no record could be located.
CU, Department of State, advised SA that she could locate no record of BUSH having received an American Specialist Grant under Public Law 87-256 during 1964. Advised the fact he did not get a grant was not significant since many names are checked by CU and these people are never approached to make the trip.

On 11/10/65, Division For Americans Abroad, Office of U. S. Programs and Services, CU, advised SA that her records indicated BUSH did not receive a grant under Public Law 87-256. Her records contained a copy of a letter written to BUSH on 1/13/65, explaining to him the objectives of the American Specialist Program, and asking him if he would be interested in such a grant. BUSCH replied by letter dated 1/19/65, that he was not interested.

A review of the hearing before a Subcommittee of the Committee on Government Operations, House of Representatives, 83rd Congress, Second Session on the "Organization and Administration of the Military Research and Development Programs" revealed the following information:

Dr. VANNEVAR BUSH, President of the Carnegie Institution of Washington, D.C., testified on 6/18/65, and his testimony was set forth on pages 451 - 474. In his testimony the appointee stated that the development of weapons was very closely interlinked with their use and it was impossible to separate the discussion of their development completely from the way in which they are used in practice. He stated that he felt there were two serious weaknesses today that impede our program of research and development.

"First, the security clearance system as now practiced is, in my opinion doing great damage to the relations between science and Government, and particularly to the relations between scientists and weapons development."

"Second, it seems to me that we have lost our effectiveness in getting new weapons tested, tried out, produced on an experimental basis, and finally introduced to us."

He pointed out that approximately one year ago he had appeared before a committee examining the organization of the Army and he had told them that we had just fought the
Korean War and used no new weapons, no new improvements over World War II except the helicopter, and that was evidence that something was wrong.

BUSH stated, "Now, let me turn to the other weakness for a moment, and that is the security system. I do not need to bore you, certainly, with a detailed recital. I feel that the way in which our security system is working at the present time is driving a wedge between the military and the scientific people of the country, and is doing great harm."

"During the War there was developed a partnership between military men and scientific men. It was not brought about automatically; it is not a thing that occurs readily. These men some from different backgrounds, and it is hard for each group to understand the other; but nevertheless, by the end of the War an excellent situation had developed in which there was mutual respect between the two groups, and they operated together well. That partnership, which was a healthy one at the end of the war, is, in my opinion, now almost destroyed, and one of the primary reasons is the security system."

BUSH testified, "The scientists, generally, that served well, loyally, and vigorously now find that they are being queried, and people are going about asking questions about them. The whole air of suspicion is just not such as to produce good collaboration, the kind of really wholehearted collaboration between military men and the scientific community that we very much need to have for the problems that are ahead of us."

In his testimony he stated, "Scientists today are discouraged and downhearted, and feel that they are being pushed out, and they are."

He mentioned that a week ago a man who was a member of a Scientific Advisory Committee to the Security Council had said to him, "Will we have practically stopped working. It is quite impossible to accomplish anything in this atmosphere."
BUSH stated that this was the sort of reaction he would get out of three out of four scientists today. He stated, "They go on working, but they feel that they are not welcome, that they are regarded with suspicion, that some of the men who led them during the War are now being questioned and their security and loyalty are in doubt. They are like any Army without trust in their top leadership or in the political leadership of the country."

BUSH stated that he felt the President's executive order and the way it has been implemented have been very unfortunate and have increased the seriousness of the situation.

He stated "There is no question in my mind that we must have a very effective type of security to prevent leaks of technical matters, diplomatic matters, or any other matters that should be held under secrecy; that we should be very alert that we have no subversives in our midst, no traitors about; that we must have a system that is thoroughly enforced in every laboratory and every military center to preserve the essential secrets."

"The system should be an intelligent one; it should be a system that produces the desired effect, that secures results without disrupting the whole operation, without throwing us in a complete turmoil, and without reducing morale to the extent that it has been reduced recently."

BUSH mentioned that they had a workable security system during World War II, and that he was proud of the fact that although he had 4,000 or 2,000 scientists and 30,000 including contractors, no case of disloyalty developed. He said he believed it was possible to have a workable security system, which will produce good results without the sort of scandalous affairs that we have had continually before us in recent years, and without driving the scientists of this country into a position where they think that Government does not want them and is suspicious of them and where morale is so low that we cease our accomplishments."
Bush Assails Security as Harming U.S.

System Drives Wedge Between Military and Scientists, He Says.

Associated Press

Vannevar Bush says the government's security system is "driving a wedge between the military and scientific people of the country, and is doing great harm."

Bush, a noted scientist, testified before the House Government Operations Subcommittee last June 18 and his testimony was made public last night.

The subcommittee held hearings on the organization and administration of the military research and development programs.

Bush said that during World War II there developed a partnership between military men and scientific men.

"That partnership, which was a healthy one at the end of the war, is, in my opinion, now almost destroyed," Bush declared, "and one of the primary reasons is the security system."

Bush pointed to the delay in security clearances and lack of official statements on the security investigation itself.

"The scientists, generally, that served well, loyally, and vigorously now find that they are being queried, and people are going about asking questions about them," Bush continued.

"The whole air of suspicion is just not such as to produce good collaboration between military men and the scientific community that we very much need to have for the problems that are ahead of us."

"Bush said the nation hears a great deal about A-bombs, and H-bombs. "We do not hear as much about biological warfare, but I can assure you gentlemen that I am as deeply concerned about that as a possibility as I am about fission bombs and fusion bombs."

"I can also say to you that the morale of the scientists today as I meet them is low, so low that while they will not refuse to serve, they will serve without enthusiasm and without fruitful inspiration," Bush said.
**About Security**

**Bush Sees Hysteria Lessening**

Associated Press

Dr. Vannevar Bush, one of the Nation's top wartime scientists, said yesterday that he thinks the "general atmosphere with regard to security clearance has improved" but the system still needs overhauling.

Bush's remarks were made to a newsman following release of his testimony before a House subcommittee last June when he said "the way in which our security system is working at the present time is driving a wedge between the military and scientific people of the country."

In commenting on his current views, Bush said "the security itself is bad . . . It's absurd the way it works."

The scientist noted "things have improved quite a bit since June . . . We're getting over our hysteria, and I feel a little more encouraged."

In his testimony, made public Monday, Bush said the reaction of three out of four scientists working with the Government both in Washington and in the field was summed up by the reaction of an unnamed friend, once in top wartime councils. He quoted the friend:

"Well, we have practically stopped working. It is quite impossible to accomplish anything in this atmosphere."

Bush, president of the Carnegie Institution and wartime chairman of the Research and Development Board, joined other scientists in testifying before a House Government Operations Subcommittee investigating the status of military research programs. The subcommittee later expressed its concern over a lag in new weapons and urged the Pentagon to improve relations with the Nation's scientists.
REFERENCES:

Boston teletype to Bureau dated 11/8/65.
Cincinnati radiogram to Bureau dated 11/8/65.

- RUC -
Records, DISCO, Columbus, Ohio, reveal appointee has four active clearances on file.

- RUC -

DETAILS:

AT COLUMBUS, OHIO

On November 8, 1965, Central Index Files, Defense Industrial Security Clearance Office, Defense Construction Supply Center, advised that VANNEVAR BUSH, date of birth, March 11, 1890, possesses the following clearance record:

Clearance #1
Clearance #2
"Secret" issued February 1, 1958, cleared by Department of Defense, and based on background investigation dated May 29, 1957, which investigation was conducted by Department of Defense. Department of Defense letter of consent issued based on presently active "top secret" clearance dated May 29, 1957. Employer listed as Metals and Controls Corporation, 34 Forest Street, Attleboro, Massachusetts. Position listed as Director.

Clearance #3
"Secret" clearance issued April 10, 1958; clearance granted on basis of "Top Secret" clearance, granted by Office Secretary of Defense, Security Services Division. Based on background investigation dated May 29, 1957, conducted by Office of Department of Defense. Employer listed as Massachusetts Institute, Cambridge, Massachusetts; position - Member of Executive Committee.

Clearance #4
"Top Secret" issued February 8, 1960; issued by Office of Naval Research, Branch Office, Boston, Massachusetts, clearance granted per authority Chief of Naval Material, Washington, D.C. Letter of consent issued based upon a waiver of investigative requirements authorized by Secretary of Defense, Department of Army. Employer given as Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts. Position given as Chairman of Corporation.
REBUTEL CALL TO BOSTON NOVEMBER TWELVE INSTANT.

ON NOVEMBER TWELVE INSTANT HORACE FORD, ONE HUNDRED MEMORIAL DRIVE, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS, RETIRED, FORMER TREASURER OF MIT, ADVISED HE HAS KNOWN DR. BUSH SINCE THE EARLY NINETEEN TWENTIES WHEN HE FIRST CAME TO MIT. HE SAID THE APPOINTEE'S FATHER MARRIED HIM, FORD, AT ABOUT THAT TIME. MR. FORD STATED THE APPOINTEE HAD TWO SISTERS, EDITH, RETIRED FROM TUFTS UNIVERSITY, RESIDING AT NINETY-SIX COMMERCIAL STREET, PROVINCETOWN MASSACHUSETTS, AND MRS. RALPH LAWRENCE, RESIDING SIXTY-SIX STONE ROAD, BELMONT, MASSACHUSETTS. HE SAID THE APPOINTEE HAD NO BROTHERS AND HIS PARENTS DIED YEARS AGO.

HE SAID THE APPOINTEE IS AN OUTSTANDING SCIENTIST, A CITIZEN WHO HAS SERVED HIS COUNTRY WELL, A MAN OF OUTSTANDING CHARACTER, REPUTATION, AND ASSOCIATES, AND A LOYAL CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES. HE RECOMMENDED HIM WITHOUT RESERVATION FOR A HIGH POSITION OF TRUST AND RESPONSIBILITY.
On November twelve, instant, Horace W. Frost, Senior Partner, Tucker, Anthony and R.L. Day, Bankers, Seventy-Four State Street, Boston Massachusetts, advised he has known the appointee since both were small boys in Chelsea, Massachusetts. He said he knew the appointee's parents who died some years ago. He said the appointee had no brothers. He had two sisters, older than he, Edith, who never married, presently about eighty years of age residing in Provincetown, Massachusetts, and Reba, now Mrs. Ralph Lawrence, about seventy-seven years of age, residing in Belmont, Massachusetts. Mr. Frost stated that in spite of his great attainments in the field of science and his frequent contact with people in high places, including presidents of the United States, he has remained a simple and humble man.

Mr. Frost said he had no reservation whatsoever in recommending Dr. Bush for a high position of responsibility based on ability, character, reputation, associates and loyalty to the United States.

End page two
ON NOVEMBER TWELVE, SIXTY-FIVE

LOUIS J. HUNTER ASSOCIATES, A MANAGEMENT COUNSELLOR AND
TRUSTEE, SEVENTY-FIVE FEDERAL STREET, BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS,
ADvised HE HAS KNOWN DR. BUSH SINCE HE
Said DR. BUSH WAS THE COUNTRY'S TOP SCIENTIST DURING WORLD WAR
TWO AND HAS SERVED WITH EXTRAORDINARY DISTINCTION AS A SCIENTIST,
EDUCATOR AND LEADER IN MANY VIRIED FIELDS. HE SAID HE KNOWS THE
APPOINTEE'S TWO SONS WHO ARE ALSO SUCCESSFUL IN THEIR RESPECTIVE
FIELD.

HE RECOMMENDED THE APPOINEE WITHOUT QUALIFICATION FOR
A POSITION OF TRUST AND CONFIDENCE BASED UPON ABILITY, GOOD
CHARACTER, REPUTATION, ASSOCIATES AND LOYALTY TO THE UNITED STATES.
END PAGE THREE
ON NOVEMBER TWELVE, SIXTY-FIVE, C. ROGERS BURGIN, RETIRED CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, NEW ENGLAND MERCHANTS NATIONAL BANK, PRUDENTIAL CENTER, BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS, ADVISED HE HAS KNOWN DR. BUSH FOR MORE THAN TWENTY YEARS. HE SAID DR. BUSH HAS DONE BUSINESS WITH THIS BANK OVER THE YEARS. HE SAID THE APPOINTEE DURING WORLD WAR TWO WAS THE LEADING ADVISOR TO PRESIDENT FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT ON SCIENCE AND THE ATOM BOMB. HE SAID HE HAS SERVED IN VARIOUS ADVISORY CAPACITIES OVER THE YEARS AND IS A VERY ABLE AND COMPLETELY RELIABLE INDIVIDUAL. HE RECOMMENDED HIM UNQUALIFIEDLY FOR A POSITION OF TRUST AND RESPONSIBILITY.

ON NOVEMBER TWELVE, SIXTY-FIVE, NEW ENGLAND MERCHANTS NATIONAL BANK, ADVISED HE HAS KNOWN DR. BUSH FOR ABOUT DURING WHICH TIME THE BANK HAS HANDLED MANY BUSINESS MATTERS FOR THE APPOINTEE. HE SAID THE APPOINTEE IS A NOTED SCIENTIST AND ONE WHO HAS SERVED THIS END PAGE FOUR
COUNTRY AND ITS PRESIDENTS SINCE WORLD WAR TWO. HE RECOMMENDED HIM WITHOUT RESERVATION FOR A HIGH POSITION OF TRUST AND RESPONSIBILITY BASED UPON ABILITY, GOOD CHARACTER, REPUTATION, ASSOCIATES AND LOYALTY TO THE UNITED STATES.

ON NOVEMBER TWELVE, INSTANT, MIT, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS, ADVISED HE HAS KNOWN DR. BUSH FOR APPROXIMATELY THAT DR. BUSH WAS WHEN HE ATTENDED GRADUATE SCHOOL AT MIT. STATED HE WOULD GIVE DR. BUSH A CLEAR BILL OF HEALTH AS TO CHARACTER, REPUTATION, LOYALTY AND ASSOCIATES AS HE IS THE MOST OUTSTANDING MAN IN THE COUNTRY TODAY.

ON NOVEMBER TWELVE INSTANT MIT, STATED THAT DR. BUSH IS THE MOST OUTSTANDING SCIENTIST IN THE COUNTRY TODAY. HE WAS PAST PRESIDENT OF THE MIT CORPORATION AND PRESENTLY HONORARY CHAIRMAN OF THE CORPORATION.

END PAGE FIVE
STATED THAT DR. BUSH'S MIND IS JUST AS KEEN TODAY AS IT EVER WAS AND THAT HE WOULD NOT HESITATE TO RECOMMEND DR. BUSH FOR ANY WORK THE GOVERNMENT MAY CALL UPON HIM TODAY AS HIS CHARACTER, LOYALTY, REPUTATION AND ASSOCIATES ARE BEYOND QUESTION.

ON NOVEMBER TWELVE, SIXTYFIVE, MR. J. B. WIESNER, DEAN OF THE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, MIT, ADVISED HE HAS KNOWN DR. BUSH FOR MANY YEARS AND CONSIDERS HIM THE MOST OUTSTANDING SCIENTIST IN THE COUNTRY. HE STATED HE ONNOT BEGIN TO ENUMERATE THE ACHIEVEMENTS OF DR. BUSH. HE STATED HE WOULD RECOMMEND WITHOUT RESERVATION DR. BUSH FOR ANY POSITION OF CONFIDENCE AND TRUST WITH THE GOVERNMENT. HE STATED HE CANNOT THINK OF ANY OTHER PERSON WHO HAS CONTRIBUTED MORE TO THE UNITED STATES THAN DR. BUSH.

ON NOVEMBER TWELVE, SIXTYFIVE, ADVISED HE HAS KNOWN DR. BUSH SINCE NINETEEN TWENTYTHREE AND THAT DR. BUSH WAS...
HE STATED HE CANNOT PRAISE DR. BUSH HIGHLY ENOUGH AND THAT HE WOULD FULLY ENDORSE DR. BUSH FOR ANY POSITION THE GOVERNMENT MAY CALL UPON HIM TO DO. HE STATED DR. BUSH'S CHARACTER, REPUTATION, LOYALTY AND ASSOCIATES ARE BEYOND QUESTION.

ON NOVEMBER TWELVE, INSTANT, DR. JAMES R. KILLIAN, JR., CHAIRMAN OF THE CORPORATION, MIT, STATED DR. BUSH IS JUST AS VIGOROUS AND ACTIVE TODAY AS HE EVER WAS; THAT HIS AGE HAS NOT SLOWED HIM UP ONE BIT. HE STATED DR. BUSH WAS CHAIRMAN OF THE CORPORATION AND PRESENTLY HONORARY CHAIRMAN. DR. KILLIAN STATED THAT HE, KILLIAN, SUCCEEDED DR. BUSH AS CHAIRMAN OF THE CORPORATION.

DR. KILLIAN STATED HE WOULD ENDORSE DR. BUSH WITHOUT RESERVATION FOR ANY WORK THE GOVERNMENT MAY CALL UPON HIM TO PERFORM, AS HE IS COMPLETELY DEPENDABLE AND LOYAL.

END PAGE SEVEN
ON NOVEMBER TWELVE, INSTANT MASSACHUSETTS POLICE DEPARTMENT, VERIFIED THE RESIDENCE OF MR. AND MRS. RALPH LAWRENCE, SIXTY-SIX STONE ROAD, BELMONT AND STATED THE FILES OF THIS DEPARTMENT CONTAINED NO ARREST RECORD IN THEIR NAMES.

ON NOVEMBER TWELVE, INSTANT FRANCIS H. MARSHALL, CHIEF PROVINCETOWN, MASSACHUSETTS POLICE DEPARTMENT, VERIFIED THE RESIDENCE OF EDITH L. BUSH AT NINETY-SIX COMMERCIAL STREET, PROVINCETOWN, AND STATED SHE HAS NO ARREST RECORDS WITH THIS DEPARTMENT.

ON NOVEMBER TWELVE, INSTANT, PRESONNEL, OFFICE OF THE MASSACHUSETTS COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION, SUFFOK COUNTY COURTHOUSE BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS, CENTRAL REPOSITORY FOR ALL CRIMINAL CONVICTION RECORDS IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASS., ADVISED THE FILES OF THIS OFFICE CONTAINED NO RECORD IDENTIFIABLE WITH APPOINTEE'S SISTERS AND BROTHER-IN-LAW, AS ABOVE NAMED.

REPORT FOLLOWS

GEND AND ACK PLS
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REFERENCE: Bureau telephone call to Boston 11-12-65.

-RUC-
Synopsis: Results of additional interviews of friends and associates of appointee set out. All interviews favorable and all recommend appointee highly.

- RUC -

DETAILS:

Investigation at Massachusetts Institute of Technology was conducted by Special Agent [Name Redacted]

On November 12, 1965, HORACE FORD, 100 Memorial Drive, Cambridge, Massachusetts, retired, former Treasurer of Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), advised he has known Dr. BUSH since the early 1920's when he first came to MIT. He said the appointee's father married him, FORD, at about that time. Mr. FORD stated the appointee had two sisters, EDITH, retired from Tufts University, residing at 96 Commercial Street, Provincetown, Massachusetts, and Mrs. RALPH LAWRENCE, residing 66 Stone Road, Belmont, Massachusetts. He said the appointee had no brothers and his parents died years ago.

He said that the appointee is an outstanding scientist, a citizen who has served his country well, a man of outstanding ability, character, reputation, and associates, and a loyal citizen of the United States. He recommended him without reservation for a high position of trust and responsibility.
On November 12, 1965, HORIZCE W. FROST, senior partner, Tucker, Anthony and R. L. Day, Bankers, 74 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts, advised he has known the appointee since both were small boys in Chelsea, Massachusetts. He said he knew the appointee's parents who died some years ago. He said the appointee had no brothers. He had two sisters, older than he, EDITH, who never married, presently about 80 years of age residing in Provincetown, Massachusetts, and REBA, now Mrs. RALPH LAWRENCE, about 77 years of age, residing in Belmont, Massachusetts. Mr. FROST stated that in spite of his great attainments in the field of science and his frequent contact with people in high places, including presidents of the United States, he has remained a simple and humble man.

Mr. FROST said he had no reservation whatsoever in recommending Dr. BUSH for a high position of responsibility based on ability, character, reputation, associates and loyalty to the United States.

On November 12, 1965, Louis J. Hunter Associates, a management counsellor and trustee, 75 Federal Street, Boston, Massachusetts, advised he has known Dr. BUSH since He said Dr. BUSH was the country's top scientist during World War II and has served with extraordinary distinction as a scientist, educator and leader in many varied fields. He said he knows the appointee's two sons who are also successful in their respective field.

He recommended the appointee without qualification for a position of trust and confidence based upon ability, good character, reputation, associates and loyalty to the United States.

On November 12, 1965, C. ROGERS BURGIN, retired Chairman of the Board of Directors, New England Merchants
National Bank, Prudential Center, Boston, Massachusetts, advised he has known Dr. BUSH for more than twenty years. He said Dr. BUSH has done business with this bank over the years. He said the appointee during World War II was the leading advisor to President FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT on science and the atom bomb. He said he has served in various advisory capacities over the years and is a very able and completely reliable individual. He recommended him unqualifiedly for a position of trust and responsibility.

On November 12, 1965, the Trust Department, New England Merchants National Bank, advised he has known Dr. BUSH for about during which time the bank has handled many business matters for the appointee. He said the appointee is a noted scientist and one who has served this country and its presidents since World War II. He recommended him without reservation for a high position of trust and responsibility based upon ability, good character, reputation, associates and loyalty to the United States.

On November 12, 1965, the MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts, advised he has known Dr. BUSH for approximately that Dr. BUSH was stated he would give Dr. BUSH a clear bill of health as to character, reputation, loyalty and associates as he is the most outstanding man in the country today.

On November 12, 1965, the MIT, stated that Dr. BUSH is the most outstanding scientist in the country today. He was past president of the MIT Corporation and presently honorary chairman of the corporation. stated that Dr. BUSH's mind is just as keen today is it ever was and that he would not hesitate to recommend Dr. BUSH for any work the Government may call upon him today as his character, loyalty, reputation and associates are beyond question.
On November 12, 1965, J. B. WIESNER, Dean of The School of Science, MIT, advised he has known Dr. BUSH for many years and considers him the most outstanding scientist in the country. He stated he cannot begin to enumerate the achievements of Dr. BUSH. He stated he would recommend without reservation Dr. BUSH for any position of confidence and trust with the Government. He stated he cannot think of any other person who has contributed more to the United States than Dr. BUSH.

On November 12, 1965, Dr. JAMES R. KILLIAN, JR., Chairman of the Corporation, MIT, stated Dr. BUSH is just as vigorous and active today as he ever was; that his age has not slowed him up one bit. He stated Dr. BUSH was chairman of the corporation and presently honorary chairman. Dr. KILLIAN stated that he, KILLIAN, succeeded Dr. BUSH as Chairman of the Corporation. Dr. KILLIAN stated he would endorse Dr. BUSH without reservation for any work the Government may call upon him to do. He stated Dr. BUSH’s character, reputation, loyalty and associates are beyond question.

On November 12, 1965, FRANCIS H. MARSHALL, Chief, Provincetown, Massachusetts Police Department, verified the residence of Mr. and Mrs. RALPH LAWRENCE, 66 Stone Road, Belmont and stated the files of this department contained no arrest record in their names.
residence of EDITH L. BUSH at 96 Commercial Street, Provincetown, and stated she has no arrest records with this department.

On November 12, 1965, personnel, Office of the Massachusetts Commissioner of Probation, Suffolk County Courthouse, Boston, Massachusetts, a central repository for all criminal conviction records in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, advised the files of this office contained no record identifiable with appointee's sisters and brother-in-law, as above named.
TO: Mr. Gale

FROM: W. V. Cleveland

DATE: 11/15/65

SUBJECT: VANNEVAR BUSH

SPECIAL INQUIRY - WHITE HOUSE

Request received 10/25/65 from White House for investigation of Bush, who is being considered for a White House staff position regarding a White House panel in connection with the Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board. Investigation now complete.

Bush is the prominent atomic scientist who has been associated with the Massachusetts Institute of Technology for many years. During World War II he directed the scientific effort of the United States in the development of the atomic bomb and other weapons. Investigation indicates Bush has been critical of Government security programs in the past. He was interviewed by us concerning Robert Oppenheimer in 1947, at which time he stated that prior to World War II Oppenheimer was procommunist, but he did not believe he was then disloyal, having divorced himself from his questionable associations. Bush testified at hearings concerning Oppenheimer in 1954. Investigation otherwise highly favorable and persons interviewed described him as one of our foremost scientists who has given much of his time to the service of the United States Government.

Since June, 1954, Bush has been on the list of persons not to be contacted without prior Bureau approval. The files indicate that prior to that time Dr. Bush had been very cooperative with the Bureau going back to 1936. When interviewed during an applicant case in 1954 Bush asked the investigating Agent if the Agent knew all about him (Bush) and when informed the Agent did not know all about him, Bush said the Agent should know about him before interviewing him and he did not have time to answer the questions.

ACTION:

Enclosed is a letter to Mr. Watson at the transmitting the results of our investigation concerning Bush.

Enclosures

1 - Mr. Belmont
1 - Mr. DeLoach
1 - Mr. Gale
1 - Mr. Cleveland
1 - Mr. Young

DHY: Jan 6 (6)

5 3 Jan 6 1966
November 15, 1965

BY LIAISON

Honorable Marvin Watson
Special Assistant to the President
The White House
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Watson:

Transmitted herewith is a summary memorandum containing the results of investigation conducted concerning Dr. Vannevar Bush, which was requested by Mrs. Mildred Stegall on October 25, 1965.

The investigation of Dr. Bush covered inquiries as to his character, loyalty, general standing and ability, but no inquiries were made as to the sources of his income.

Sincerely yours,

Enclosures (3)

See memo Cleveland to Gale re Vannevar Bush, SPI-White House, DHY: jm, 11/15/65.
November 15, 1965

I. BIOGRAPHICAL DATA

Birth

Dr. Bush was born on March 11, 1890, in Everett, Massachusetts.

Education

Dr. Bush attended Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts, from September, 1909, to June, 1913, receiving a B.S. degree and an M.S. degree, both in electrical engineering and both summa cum laude.

Dr. Bush attended Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, from September, 1915, to June, 1916, receiving Doctor of Electrical Engineering degrees from both Harvard University and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) under an agreement which existed between those two educational institutions at that time.

Dr. Bush has received numerous honorary degrees from colleges and universities throughout the United States in recognition of his work in the field of electrical engineering and his service to the United States Government.

Employment

Dr. Bush has indicated that during 1913 he was employed by the General Electric Company, Schenectady, New York. No records could be located concerning that employment and it was not verified.
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From September, 1914, to June, 1919, Dr. Bush was employed as an instructor and an assistant professor by Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts.

During the Summer of 1915 Dr. Bush was employed as a subinspector by the Brooklyn Navy Yard, United States Navy, Brooklyn, New York.

Since 1919, except for various leaves of absence, Dr. Bush has been employed in various capacities by the MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts. He is presently Honorary Chairman of the Corporation and life member of the Corporation.

From November, 1939, to December, 1955, Dr. Bush was President of the Carnegie Institution of Washington, Washington, D. C.

From 1938 to 1951 Dr. Bush served for various periods of time as Director, Office of Scientific Research and Development, Office of Emergency Management, Executive Office of the President; member, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics; Chairman, Research and Development Board, National Military Establishment; Chairman, Research and Development Board, Department of Defense; and Chairman, Joint Research and Development Board; all in Washington, D. C.

Dr. Bush is a trustee of the Carnegie Institution of Washington, Washington, D. C.; Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts; Carnegie Institute of Technology, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; and the George Putnam Fund of Boston.

From 1940 to 1956 he was a member of the Board of Regents of the Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D. C.

In the past, Dr. Bush has served as a consultant to the National Science Foundation; National Bureau of Standards, Department of Commerce; Secretary of War; Department of State; National Park Service, Department of the Interior; and the Patent Office, Department of Commerce; all in Washington, D. C. Since April, 1962, he has served as a consultant to Merck and Company, Incorporated, Rahway, New Jersey.
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From January, 1947, to February, 1962, Dr. Bush was a member of the Board of Directors of the American Telephone and Telegraph Company, New York, New York. From November, 1949, to April, 1962, he was a member of the Board of Directors of Merck and Company, Incorporated, Rahway, New Jersey.

Dr. Bush has received numerous awards, medals and honors in recognition of his work as an engineer, educator and administrator. In January, 1964, he was awarded the National Medal of Science by President Lyndon B. Johnson. Dr. Bush is a member of numerous scientific and professional organizations and is the author of several books dealing with the field of electrical engineering.

Marital Status

Dr. Bush is married to the former Phoebe Davis and resides with her at 304 Marsh Street, Belmont, Massachusetts.

Military Service

Dr. Bush was appointed a lieutenant commander in the United States Naval Reserve on September 30, 1924. He was honorably discharged on June 2, 1933, as a lieutenant commander by reason of resignation. His record is clear.

II. RESULTS OF INVESTIGATION

Interviews

Julius A. Stratton, President, MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts, advised he has known Dr. Bush for many years both socially and professionally and considers him a dedicated public servant who has devoted much of his time to the service of his country. Dr. Stratton stated that Dr. Bush led the scientific effort of the United States during World War II and directed the assembly of the atomic bomb. He stated that, even though Dr. Bush is aged 75, his mind is clear and he is the same person who "took this country" through World War II and the soundness of his judgment has never changed. Dr. Stratton advised "there is no person alive today who has borne the
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responsible s which Dr. Bush has borne." Dr. Stratton st ated that Dr. Bush is a loyal American whose character, reputation and associates are beyond reproach and he highly rec ommended him for any position of trust and responsibility.

Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts, advised he has been acquainted with Dr. Bush for many years and

he considers Dr. Bush one of the most remarkable and outstanding gentlemen he has ever known. He stated Dr. Bush is an extremely learned scientist and teacher and a dedicated American citizen who has served in many capacities for the United States Government. He advised that Dr. Bush's character, reputation and associates are above question and he highly recommended him for any position of trust and responsibility.

Alan Waterman, National Academy of Sciences and National Research Council, Washington, D. C., advised he has known Dr. Bush for over 30 years and worked with him in the Office of Scientific Research and Development during World War II. Dr. Waterman stated that after World War II Dr. Bush was very instrumental in founding the National Science Foundation through his recommendations to the President of the United States. He advised his association with Dr. Bush has been social as well as professional. He said Dr. Bush is a very brilliant engineer and a most capable administrator who is highly regarded both personally and professionally. He stated that Dr. Bush is very keen and energetic in spite of his age. Dr. Waterman advised there can be no question concerning Dr. Bush's character, reputation, loyalty and associates, and he highly recommended him for any position of trust and responsibility.

Caryl P. Haskins, President, Carnegie Institution of Washington, Washington, D. C., advised he worked closely with Dr. Bush during World War II in the Office of Scientific Research and Development and has been closely associated with him both socially and professionally since that time. He said that Dr. Bush is forcible, decisive and brilliant, and is an experienced executive and administrator. Dr. Haskins stated
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he is acquainted with the other members of Dr. Bush's family and considers them all reputable persons. He further advised that Dr. Bush is a loyal American whose character, reputation, associates and integrity are above reproach. He highly recommended Dr. Bush for any position of trust and responsibility.

George Putnam, Jr., President, Putnam Management Company, Boston, Massachusetts, advised he has served with Dr. Bush as a trustee of the George Putnam Fund of Boston and considers him one of the most outstanding men he has ever met. He stated that Dr. Bush is very alert and active for his age and he is certain Dr. Bush would not permit himself to serve in any capacity if he felt his faculties were failing in any way. He said Dr. Bush is a dedicated public servant of rare ability who is a hard worker and who is highly regarded by all who know him. Mr. Putnam commented very favorably concerning Dr. Bush's character, reputation, loyalty and associates, and he highly recommended him for a position of trust and responsibility.

Carnegie Institution of Washington, Washington, D. C., advised he has known Dr. Bush both socially and professionally since 1956. He stated that Dr. Bush has had an outstanding career and enjoys an excellent personal and professional reputation. He said that Dr. Bush is very outspoken and never hesitates to question others when he feels they are mistaken on a particular theory. He stated that Dr. Bush has been cleared for access to sensitive material on many occasions and worked on the development of the atomic bomb during World War II. Advised that, in his opinion, Dr. Bush has done more for his country "than 98 per cent of the citizens" and has demonstrated his loyalty and patriotism many times. He stated that Dr. Bush has a "wonderful ability" to foresee "things of the future" and had developed an analytic machine many years ago which was the forerunner of the present electronic computers. Advised that Dr. Bush's morals, character and associates are above reproach and he highly recommended him for a position of trust with the Federal Government.
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Max Tishler, President, Merck, Sharp and Dohme Research Laboratories Division, Merck and Company, Incorporated, Rahway, New Jersey, advised he has known Dr. Bush both socially and professionally for over 20 years. Dr. Tishler stated that Dr. Bush is one of the outstanding men of our age who is of impeccable character and who has unusual qualities of intelligence and ability. He said that Dr. Bush associates with persons of good character and his loyalty and reputation are above question. He highly recommended Dr. Bush for employment in a position of trust and responsibility.

Thirty-two other associates and acquaintances of Dr. Bush's were interviewed and advised he is a loyal American citizen whose character, reputation and associates are above question. Those acquainted with the other members of his family advised they are all reputable persons. He was described as an outstanding scholar, teacher and administrator who has served the United States Government on many occasions. It was stated he is a person of the highest integrity who is intelligent, energetic and dedicated to his work. It was further stated his contributions to the United States in the field of science and scientific research of the most secret nature are unequaled. They highly recommended Dr. Bush for any position of trust and responsibility.

Clearances

Dr. Bush has been granted numerous security clearances by Government agencies and the following are presently active:

Top secret clearance granted by the Office of the Secretary of Defense on May 21, 1957; secret clearance granted by the Office of the Secretary of Defense on April 10, 1958; and top secret clearance granted by the Office of Naval Research, Department of the Navy, on February 8, 1960.
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Passport Record

The records of the Passport Office, Department of State, indicate Dr. Bush has been issued nine passports since November 14, 1927, for travel to numerous countries throughout the world on business and pleasure. Those files contain no derogatory information concerning him.

Miscellaneous

The March 20, 1955, issue of "The New York Times Magazine" contains an article by Dr. Bush entitled, "To Make Our Security System Secure." In that article Dr. Bush indicates that loyalty clearance procedures require revision "from the ground up" as a program is needed that is alive to subversion and equally alive to the rights of citizens. A copy of that article is enclosed.

A review of hearings before the Subcommittee of the Committee on Government Operations, House of Representatives, on the "Organization and Administration of the Military Research and Development Programs" indicates Dr. Bush, then President of the Carnegie Institution of Washington, Washington, D. C., testified on June 18, 1954. In his testimony Dr. Bush stated that the development of weapons was very closely interlinked with their use and it was impossible to separate a discussion of their development completely from the manner in which they were used in practice. He said he felt there were two serious weaknesses which impede the program of research and development. He stated, "First, the security clearance system as now practiced is, in my opinion, doing great damage to the relations between science and Government and particularly to the relations between scientists and weapons development." He further stated, "Second, it seems to me we have lost our effectiveness in getting new weapons tested, tried out, produced on an experimental basis and finally introduced in use."

Dr. Bush stated, "Now, let me turn to the other weakness for a moment, and that is the security system. I do not need to bore you, certainly, with a detailed recital. I feel that the way in which our security system is working at the
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present time is driving a wedge between the military and the scientific people of the country, and is doing great harm.

"During the War there was developed a partnership between military men and scientific men. It was not brought about automatically; it is not a thing that occurs readily. These men come from different backgrounds, and it is hard for each group to understand the other; but nevertheless, by the end of the War an excellent situation had developed in which there was mutual respect between the two groups, and they operated together well....That partnership, which was a healthy one at the end of the War, is, in my opinion, now almost destroyed, and one of the primary reasons is the security system."

Dr. Bush testified, "The scientists, generally, that served well, loyally, and vigorously now find that they are being queried, and people are going about asking questions about them. The whole air of suspicion is just not such as to produce good collaboration, the kind of really wholehearted collaboration between military men and the scientific community that we very much need to have for the problems that are ahead of us."

In his testimony Dr. Bush stated, "Scientists today are discouraged and downhearted, and feel that they are being pushed out, and they are." He stated, "They go on working, but they feel they are not welcome, that they are regarded with suspicion, that some of the men who led them during the War are now being questioned and their security and loyalty are in doubt. They are like any Army without trust in their top leadership or in the political leadership of the country."

Dr. Bush said that he felt the President's executive order and the way it has been implemented have been very unfortunate and have increased the seriousness of the situation.

He stated, "There is no question in my mind that we must have a very effective type of security to prevent leaks of technical matters, diplomatic matters, or any other matters
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that should be held under secrecy; that we should be very alert that we have no subversives in our midst, no traitors about; that we must have a system that is thoroughly enforced in every laboratory and every military center to preserve the essential secrets.

"The system should be an intelligent one; it should be a system that produces the desired effect, that secures results without disrupting the whole operation, without throwing us in a complete turmoil, and without reducing morale to the extent that it has been reduced recently."

Dr. Bush mentioned there was a workable security system during World War II and he believed it was possible to have a workable security system "which will produce good results without the sort of scandalous affairs that we have had continually before us in recent years, and without driving the scientists of this country into a position where they think their Government does not want them and is suspicious of them and where morale is so low that we cease our accomplishments."

During the course of another investigation conducted in March, 1947, Dr. Bush was interviewed concerning J. Robert Oppenheimer. Dr. Bush stated that, in his opinion, Dr. Oppenheimer was definitely procommunist and pro-Russian prior to the beginning of World War II and had many friends and associates who had procommunist inclinations. Dr. Bush said, however, that, at the time of the interview, Dr. Oppenheimer was definitely not pro-Russian although he still could be described as a "liberal." He advised that Dr. Oppenheimer's loyalty was above question and he did not favor the sharing of atomic information with Russia or any other foreign power and was an advocate of strong international control of atomic information. Dr. Bush said he believed Dr. Oppenheimer had broken off his associations with questionable friends of communist leanings.

During 1954 the Personnel Security Board of the Atomic Energy Commission held hearings in Washington, D. C., concerning Dr. J. Robert Oppenheimer. Dr. Bush testified
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before the Board on April 23, 1954. Enclosed herewith is
a transcript of his testimony before that Board.

Concerning Dr. J. Robert Oppenheimer,
mentioned hereinbefore, he is the atomic
scientist whose clearance for access to
classified data was denied by the Atomic
Energy Commission on June 29, 1954,
because of his disregard for the require­
ments of a security system and because
his conduct in connection with the hydrogen
bomb project was such as to raise a doubt
as to whether his future participation
would be clearly consistent with the
interests of security. During the Personnel
Security Board hearing pertaining to
Dr. Oppenheimer, allegations were made to
the effect that in the past he had been
closely associated with members of the
Communist Party and had contributed to
Communist Party front organizations.

Close Relatives

Father, Richard Perry Bush, deceased

Mother, Emma Linwood Bush, deceased

Sister, Edith L. Bush
Provincetown, Massachusetts

Sister, Reba Bush Lawrence
Belmont, Massachusetts

Son, Richard D. Bush
Belmont, Massachusetts
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Credit Checks

Information has been received from the appropriate credit reporting agencies indicating their files contain either no record or no additional pertinent information concerning Dr. Bush.

Arrest Checks

Information has been received from the appropriate law enforcement agencies indicating their files contain no information concerning Dr. Bush or his close relatives, with the exception of the following:

The records of the Massachusetts Commissioner of Probation, Boston, Massachusetts, a central repository for all traffic and criminal conviction records in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts indicate Dr. Bush's

Agency Checks

Information has been received from the following governmental agencies indicating their files contain either no record or no additional pertinent information concerning Dr. Bush:

G-2, United States Army Counterintelligence Records Facility, Fort Holabird, Maryland; House Committee on Un-American Activities; Atomic Energy Commission; Security Services Division, Office of the Secretary of Defense; Office of Security, Department of State; Office of Naval Intelligence; Bureau of Personnel Investigations, Civil Service Commission; Central Intelligence Agency; Office of Special Investigations, Department of the Air Force; and the United States Secret Service.
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The central files of the FBI, including the files of the Identification Division, contain no additional pertinent information concerning Dr. Bush.

Enclosures (2)
To Make Our Security System Secure

Loyalty clearance procedures require revision 'from the ground up,' says Bush. We need a program that is alive to subversion and equally alive to the rights of citizens.

BY VANNEVAR BUSH

WASHINGTON

AFTER a spell of fever the country has now returned to an almost normal temperature as it regards the problem of loyalty on the part of its citizens in high or sensitive places. Key cases have disappeared from the front page, the last of these being so patently absurd that it was ludicrous. Oppenheimer is living a happy and constructive life, contributing to our philosophy if not to our defense. Condon is teaching physics rather than making new kinds of glass, and no doubt teaching well. Ladejinsky is back at work on his specialty.

But no one looks back on the recent imbroglio over loyalty procedures with any pride or satisfaction. For widely different reasons the feeling prevails that the system of loyalty clearance under which we have operated has been defective. We need a new system, not a bit of tampering with the old; we need a 1935 model, not an anti-rattling device to be attached to a Model T.

The new system should be built from the ground up by a competent body of men with an unrestricted assignment. That body should include members who are vigorously alive to the dangers of subversion and others who are equally alive to the rights of citizens. It should not be just a collection of scientists. It must, by all means, be divorced from politics. It need not be hurried at its job, for our present situation is not to continue a long time. But it should be so thorough that it will bring the whole problem of enemy penetration and disloyalty under control, without again making it a political football and without wrecking the careers of humble public servants.

First, the body should visualize the world conditions under which the revised system is likely to operate; second, it should analyze the objectives and methods of our enemy in the field of propaganda and subversion; third, it should write down the objectives which the new system is to accomplish; fourth, it should establish a group of principles to which the system should conform; and, fifth, it should create a system that is to attain our objectives in accordance with the established principles. Here we can touch only the high spots of this program.

As nearly as we can now see, we are entering a period of technical stalemate, in which great wars are unlikely to occur, though secondary wars fought with limited means may be a common occurrence. This seems, at least, to be the best that we can hope for at present. For no great war can ever again be won; it can only end with the partial or complete annihilation of both contestants.

Our enemy relies chiefly on the weapons of penetration and subversion to weaken us so that later he can destroy us. He tries to steal our secrets, to penetrate our organizations and influence our actions; and, above all, to spread confusion and mutual distrust among us. In this last purpose he has been extremely successful—so successful, in fact, that he need hardly try further; for we are carrying on the process without his aid.

The enemy's success in this respect has had an especially severe impact on the scientific community. For scientists occupy a key position with respect to those secrets that should be most strictly kept. They are an individualistic lot; otherwise they would be of little value to scientists.

While they concentrate in their special fields, they are often extremely naive in others. When we dreamed of world understanding as a means of ending all war, there was a greater portion of gullible men among them than among most other intellectual groups. And there were a few—an exceedingly few—traitors among them.

Much of the recent witch-hunt, therefore, seemed to concentrate on their profession. Yet in no other place could its effects be more disastrous to our national interest. Young men hesitate to enter the scientific professions, and in particular to participate in the scientific programs of the military arms because of the danger to their reputations and success. We must change this situation if we are to compete on even terms with the enemy.

But let it be perfectly clear that scientists ask no special privilege. To restore the principles of the Bill of Rights in all the processes of government is not to grant special privilege. To urge a revision of our present faulty security system is not to seek special privilege.

The great question now is whether our recent public behavior is a passing phase, or whether we propose to change our part of the free world until it becomes a replica of the captive world which opposes us. If we choose the latter, the struggle will be over, for it will then no longer matter which tyranny prevails.
What then, should be our objectives as we construct and operate a system for examining into the loyalty of Government servants and those who serve voluntarily on boards or committees? In the first place, we want to throw the Communists out. If there is a man, of any rank in the Government, who is taking his orders from the Kremlin, we want to get rid of him promptly. If there is a teacher who is impinging on the young principles which are not his own but are dictated to him by the machinery of the Communist party, we want to see to it that he stops teaching.

There is a vast difference between the man citizen who would change our system of government, because he thinks he has a better system—even when his acts border on the violent—and the person who is part of a foreign conspiracy to wreck the nation. Regarding the latter we have no doubts and no hesitancy. If he is a citizen, he is a traitor. If he is a guest in the land, he is a spy. In either case he must be found out and dealt with according to his deserts.

This alone, however, is not a sufficient objective. We want to make it possible for a humble citizen to serve his government without fear and men, we want decency in our national life. More than that, we want to establish an atmosphere of trust and mutual confidence among our citizens. Our security system needs to have two objectives, one to get rid of our enemies and their adherents, and the other to encourage, support and protect honest, loyal citizens as they work on matters that are important for our safety and our prosperity.

If a man was once a fool, do we condemn him for life, or do we let him prove that he has outgrown his folly? If we excluded from government and from other employment all who have sometimes been foolish, we would not have enough left to man our guns or run our factories. But we are concerned here more particularly with the man who once subscribed to or flirted with the Communist philosophy and later, realizing his error, became a loyal citizen. There are all degrees of involvement, of course. We certainly don't want to welcome with open arms, and introduce into sensitive spots, the man who professes sudden reformation. But neither do we want to exclude permanently from the practice of his trade or profession the man who did no more than attend a meeting of Communists out of curiosity when he was an adolescent.

The best proof of a change of heart is loyal service, and there are plenty of places in the Government where one can serve loyally without having access to military secrets. In the struggle with Russia we shall need all the capable men we can muster.

Quite apart from the question of decent humanity, we cannot afford to waste our most precious resource— the talents of our trained citizens. So, one object of our system should be to give the individual about whom there is doubt a chance—a safe one, certainly, but a path up instead of down, and an opportunity to prove whatever doubt exists.

If a new system is to be built, there are several principles to be kept in mind. A considerable catalogue of them is contained in the Bill of Rights.

There seems to be a feeling about that the Government does not impose a penalty on a person unless it finds him or puts him in jail, and two facades have emerged from the confusion in this regard. It is said that to work for the Government is a privilege and, therefore, that the Government has a right to terminate one's employment with it arbitrarily.

There is no question of privilege involved. When a citizen serves the Government he is paid a salary, and presumably gives capable, honest service in return. He has a duty to serve well and loyally. But the Government also has a responsibility to avoid imposing hardship on any man who has served it faithfully, except on proof of disloyalty or for reasons apart from those we are considering here, such as incompetence.

If disloyalty is not proved but loyalty is doubted, we need not cut off a man's support and place a stigma on him that will make his employment elsewhere almost impossible. He can be shifted to an insensitive job with little difficulty and no danger. We have already done this for life too many humble employees of the Government merely because there was doubt or because our security system was too clumsy to resolve it.

In our courts we have a complex system of rules of evidence to protect a citizen against unwarranted prosecution to expose lies, and to arrive at facts as free as possible in the light of human frailties. Undoubtedly we have at times carried this system to extremes and hobbled our procedure unnecessarily. But the system has evolved as a result of a thousand years of experience, and we should not abandon it lightly.

We cannot carry it all over into loyalty procedures without rendering them cumbersome. Still, such procedures place a man in jeopardy—not, to be sure, of life or limb, but of his good name, which may be more precious; and therefore, the best advice of men learned in the law should be obtained if we are to have a sound and fair system embodying the safeguards that have been found essential for wise judgments.

We have had, recently, some very striking and disheartening examples of how for an unscrupulous liar can go and the harm he can do when proceedings are loose and informal, and he does not have to run the gauntlet of vigorous cross-examination.

The Bill of Rights says that a man shall not be twice placed in jeopardy for the same offense. In our loyalty system there is no finality. Important men who could contribute much to the nation's real security have found it necessary time after time to go through the same rigmarole, filling out forms, appearing before loyalty boards, reassuring their neighbors that constant inquiry does not necessarily mean they are subversive.

Some have also had to spend their own money, time after time, merely defending themselves against ill-founded attacks. This has not generally been the result of new evidence presented. It has just been because we have a clumsy, inadequate system, steeped in confusion. When a man is cleared he ought to be able to stay cleared unless there is new and pertinent evidence that he should not be.
The most serious aspect of our system to date has been that it has been used for spite and for thought control. Wholly unsupported charges lodged mainly for spite have been entertained seriously and made the basis for action when a proper court would have completely disregarded them.

The matter of thought control has been more subtle and more dangerous. Apparently the argument goes something like this: A man expressed opinions contrary to those which have been accepted as a Government policy. Perhaps he did so in the interests of Russia. We shall, therefore, examine whether his opinions were sound. What a travesty on the ideals of free speech!

And I am not writing merely of cases that have been in the headlines. I have in mind cases of a number of persons that received no publicity. My information about them is fragmentary, and I could prove nothing; for they have been surrounded with all the paraphernalia of secrecy; they have been the subject of star chamber proceedings.

But I believe there has been altogether too much thought control of this kind; for if it has been practiced in the full light of day, as it has, may be sure it has been practiced far more in secret—sometimes on no better ground than that a man's arguments regarding policy have been found inconvenient.

Now I do not intend to prescribe an ideal system. I hope very much that a fully competent board will be given the task of doing so before more harm is done. But I have three suggestions in that direction.

In the practice of criminal law we have grand juries. They are part of our heritage from the long struggle of the past against arbitrary power.

Their power is to protect the individual against capricious acts on the part of government officials. Before a District Attorney can jail a man and force him to defend himself in court against serious charges, he must have him indicted. And no indictment will be returned unless the District Attorney can convince a grand jury, made up of the man's fellow citizens chosen by lot, that he has a strong enough case to warrant setting all the machinery of the court in motion.

Under our loyalty system, on the contrary, a man can be suspended and forced to defend himself at the whim of an official who may have only the slightest of evidence against him. We need the equivalent of a grand jury in our security system. Such an equivalent would be made up of men who owe no allegiance to any official who may make charges, and it should be rigorously supported and protected in its deliberations and findings.

It could be selected by lot from men in the civil service, as grand juries are selected from the general body of citizens, which would be a reason able and democratic way of sharing the burden. No machinery of trial should move unless there are serious charges supported by adequate evidence in the opinion of such a group of men. If this had been a part of our system in recent years, a large number of cases that have caused real distress would never have got started.

The relation of a Government to its employees in a different matter than its relations to citizens at large. It is, in some ways, comparable to its relation to men in uniform. There are a dependence and an agreement under which both the men and the Government have obligations and responsibilities.

When a soldier is subjected to court-martial, the Government furnish him with counsel. Under the present loyalty system, a man who is accused must defend himself at his own expense. When our system is revised this burden should be lifted. The accused should be furnished with vigorous and capable counsel and should have wide latitude in its selection. He can then add his own counsel if he sees fit.

Such a provision would accomplish a number of things. It would protect the man who, without resources and to whom his job may be highly important. It would put an end to the absurdity of preventing an accused man or his counsel from seeing some of the evidence because it is "classified." This would be no more than merely decent and fair.

In addition to positive machinery for detecting and excluding disloyal persons in our midst, there should be equally positive means for insuring that the system is not used for improper purposes—for spite, for thought control, or for political advantage.

A grand jury can report to a court, and often does, if it believes prosecuting officers are violating the law. An equivalent of the grand jury should have a corresponding opportunity in connection with loyalty procedures. And it should report to the top in such instances—to the superiors of the offending official as many steps removed as the circumstances and the gravity of the offense warrant, in its opinion. The public would then have some assurance that the practice under the system is clean.
Q. Dr. Bush, would you state for the record your present position, and after that, the principal government offices which you have held and now hold?

A. I am President of the Carnegie Institution in Washington. At the present time I hold no government post except membership on one or two committees. I don't think you need to have them.

I was Chairman of the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics for several years, about 1939.

I was Chairman of the National Defense Research Committee when it was formed in June of 1940.

I was a director of the Office of Scientific Research and Development when it was formed in June of 1941, through the war, and until after it was closed out after the war.
During the war I was Chairman of the New Weapons Committee of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

After the War I was Chairman of the Joint Research and Development Board of the Army and Navy, and then when that Board was made permanent by statute, I was Chairman of the Research and Development Board until 1949.

I think these are the principal appointments, sir.

Q About how long have you known Dr. Oppenheimer?

A I have known him well since the early days of the war. I undoubtedly met him in gatherings of physicists before that time, but have no specific recollection of the first date that I met him.

Q What was your connection with his appointment to the Manhattan District?

A There were appointments before then. At that time General Groves, who was in charge of the Manhattan District, reported to a body of which I was Chairman, and which I omitted to list. It is rather hard to get all of these in. It was the Military Policy Committee, of which I was Chairman. Dr. Conant was my deputy. General Groves took up all of his programs and policies with that group.

At the time that General Groves made the appointment of Dr. Oppenheimer at Los Alamos, he took that matter up with us. In my memory he took it up informally, not in a formal meeting, and discussed it with Dr. Conant and with me.
Q: What recommendation did you make?
A: General Groves said he had in mind appointing Dr. Oppenheimer. He reviewed for us orally what he knew of Dr. Oppenheimer's prewar record. I don't remember that we looked at any file or any written records. He recited some of the previous history. Then he asked the opinion of me and Dr. Conant in regard to the appointment, and I told him I thought it was a good appointment.

Q: Did you have any discussion about any prior left wing associations that he had?
A: Yes, we did. He recited previous associations.
Q: When you say "he", you mean whom?
A: General Groves.
Q: About when was this?
A: I noted down a few dates. I can't say, gentlemen, that my memory for dates and the like is good. In fact, it is a little bad. I have that date here somewhere. Oppenheimer was chosen in November of 1942.

Q: Did you have opportunity to observe his work at Los Alamos?
A: In a sense which I was responsible for it. The structure at that time, you remember, was this: OSID started this work and continued it for a considerable period. It continued parts of it in fact after that date. I originally carried the full responsibility for it, reporting to the
President. On my recommendation when the matter came to the construction of large facilities, the matter was transferred to the War Department. Secretary Stimson and I conferred, and the Manhattan District was set up. Groves was made head of it.

After that the Military Policy Committee reviewed his recommendations on which I was Chairman, and there was also a policy committee appointed by the President which consisted of the Vice President, Secretary Stimson, General Marshall, Dr. Conant and myself, I believe. That was appointed by Mr. Roosevelt at my request. When I was carrying the full responsibility, I told him I would prefer to have some group of that sort, and that committee was appointed. It never was formally dissolved.

Q Would you say a word as to your view of his achievement at Los Alamos?

A He did a magnificent piece of work. More than any other scientist that I know of he was responsible for our having an atomic bomb on time.

Q When was your next governmental connection with him, do you recall?

A There have been so many I am not sure which one.

Q Let me go back a minute and ask you another question about the Los Alamos work.

What significance would you attach to the delivery
of the A bomb on time, or was it delivered on time?

A That bomb was delivered on time, and that means it saved hundreds of thousands of casualties on the beaches of Japan. It was also delivered on time so that there was no necessity for any concessions to Russia at the end of the war. It was on time in the sense that after the war we had the principal deterrent that prevented Russia from swooping over Europe after we demobilized. It is one of the most magnificent performances of history in any development to have that thing on time.

Q You were connected with the effort of this country to control international atomic energy before the United Nations?

A Yes. After the war, very soon after the war, you remember that there was a so-called Atlee Conference, when Mr. Atlee came over and the Prime Minister of Canada came down. At that conference was prepared a declaration. I managed that affair for Secretary Byrnes and John Anderson, and I wrote that declaration. That is where it was decided to take this matter to the United Nations.

The next step was the Secretary of State's committee of which I was a member. That committee appointed a panel of which Dr. Oppenheimer was a member. That panel prepared what later became known as the Baruch Plan. After it was prepared, it was approved by the Secretary of State's committee, and it was presented to the United Nations by Mr.
Baruch at the President's request.

Q Did you see something of Dr. Oppenheimer during that period?
A Certainly. We have a number of discussions between the main committee and the panel that was drafting the agreement.

Q Did you form any opinion as to his contribution at that time?
A His contribution was substantial in the thinking that went into that very difficult matter.

Q When you became Chairman, I think, of the Joint Research and Development Board in 1947, did you set up an Atomic Energy Committee?
A That is right. I appointed Dr. Oppenheimer as Chairman of it, as I remember.

Q What would you say as to his services in that connection?
A I think I can save time by saying that I have worked with him on this general subject in many capacities. Two have been mentioned. He was also on the panel which reviewed the evidence before Mr. Truman made the announcement of the Russian atomic explosion. He and I were both members of a panel set up by the Secretary of State which worked a year ago last summer, I believe, on general disarmament matters. I think there were probably one or two other occasions. I worked
with him on many occasions on this general subject.

Q In connection with the Secretary of State's panel, did you have occasion to visit the Secretary of State in the summer of 1952?

A I will not try to be exact on dates on that. But when the panel had gotten to a point where it was about to draft a report, we met with the full panel and the Secretary of State, and went over some of our conclusions orally, as I remember.

Q Before that time did you have occasion to talk with the Secretary of State about the question of postponing the test of the A bomb?

A I did. That had nothing to do with that panel, however. That was a personal move that was made, as a matter of fact, before the panel was in operation. The clearances on the panel were delayed. In that interim I visited the Secretary of State and gave my personal opinion in regard to that test. Before so doing I talked with a number of my friends.

Q Who did you talk to among others?

A Mr. Elihu Root. I also talked with three or four members that were waiting to go to work on the panel. John Dickey, Joseph Johnson, Allan Dulles, Robert Oppenheimer. I undoubtedly discussed it with one or two others. In every case it was discussing the matter in generalities, without
going into confidential matters. It was not necessary in order to do that.

I then visited the Secretary of State and gave him my personal opinion on that matter.

Without revealing any matters that you consider confidential, could you state what your position at the time was with respect to that test?

A Wait a minute. I gave the Secretary of State a memorandum which gave him my personal views. I made no copy of that memorandum. Nobody knows the exact content of that memorandum as far as I know except the Secretary of State and anyone he may have told about it. It has never been made public. It seems to me that it would be quite improper for me to give you the content. I will lean on the judgment of the Chairman. My inclination is that I should not reveal this before this Board.

Dr. Bush, I think you should not discuss the contents of the memorandum, but I see no reason why if you expressed your views to a number of people at that time, you can't --

THE WITNESS: Quite right. I can readily say what moved me to go at all, and what the general tenor of my thinking was, much as I discussed it then.

There were two primary reasons why I took action at that time, and went directly to the Secretary of State:
There was scheduled a test which was evidently going to occur early in November. I felt that it was utterly improper -- and I still think so -- for that test to be put off just before election, to confront an incoming President with an accomplished test for which he would carry the full responsibility thereafter. For that test marked our entry into a very disagreeable type of world.

In the second place, I felt strongly that that test ended the possibility of the only type of agreement that I thought was possible with Russia at that time, namely, an agreement to make no more tests. For that kind of an agreement would have been self policing in the sense that if it was violated, the violation would be immediately known. I still think that we made a grave error in conducting that test at that time, and not attempting to make that type of simple agreement with Russia. I think history will show that was a turning point, that when we entered into the grim world that we are entering right now, that those who pushed that thing through to a conclusion without making that attempt have a great deal to answer for.

That is what moved me, sir. I was very much moved at the time.

Q Turning now to the matter of the controversy in the fall of 1949 over whether or not to proceed with an all-out
program for the development of the H-bomb, did you have any
official participation in the actions that were taken at that
time?

A No; I did not. I had no official connection with
the matter. I would like to make one thing clear. There
have been statements in the paper that at that time I expressed
opinions on that matter. I did not do so. In fact, I very
carefully refrained from doing so. There was some talk in
the press of a review body on that matter. I was named as a
possible chairman. I said to one or two men on Capital Hill
that I felt that would be a mistake, to establish such an
affair. In the first place, the General Advisory Committee
had been set up by law for the explicit purpose of reviewing
such matters, and second, a review panel would constitute new
men, and it would take months of work before it could under-
stand the technical matters involved and pass reasonable
judgment. Hence I declined to give any personal estimate of
the matter at the time.

Q Would you care to express a judgment about it now?

A I have never reviewed in detail all of the
considerations. No, I am not going to express an opinion on
that today. Let me say with all due respect that I don't
think this Board could arrive at the question of whether
reasonable judgment was shown at that time. There are some
exceedingly difficult things that come into such a question.
I can certainly recite things that would need to be considered.

For one thing I think it is fully evident that the hydrogen bomb was of great value to Russia -- much greater value to Russia than to us. I think I can also be sure that a test by us of a hydrogen bomb would be of advantage to Russia in the prosecution of their program. There are two considerations that might weigh very heavily indeed in such a consideration. The other one, of course, is feasibility.

Q Turning to another topic, at the time of the establishment of the Atomic Energy Commission and the General Advisory Committee, or several months after the establishment of them both, did the Chairman of the Atomic Energy Commission consult you about Dr. Oppenheimer's clearance?

A Yes, I remember that he did. Mr. Lilienthal consulted me, and I wrote him a letter about it.

Q Do you have a copy of that with you?

A What I have is this. I have no record in my files of these matters. All of my records in the Office of Scientific Research and Development were of course turned over to the Defense Department. All of my records in the Research and Development Board remain there. I have not gone back to those files.

From stenographic notebooks I have a transcript of the body of that letter.

Q Isn't that the one we have already read in the record?
A Could likely. I could not find a copy, sir. Would you want to look at it to see if it is?

Would there be any objection to reading it again?

No, there would be no objection.

No, of course not.

THE WITNESS: I could not find a copy anywhere, but my stenographer had his old notebooks and that is where I got it from. Isn’t it quicker for me to read it?

Why don’t you read it?

THE WITNESS: "At our conference yesterday you asked me to comment concerning Dr. J. Robert Oppenheimer, and I am very glad to do so. Dr. Oppenheimer is one of the great physicists of this country or of the world for that matter. Prior to the war he was on the staff of the University of California, and was regarded as the leader of theoretical aspects of atomistics and similar subjects of physics. Shortly after the Army entered into the development of atomic energy he was given a very important appointment by General Groves. This appointment made him Director of the Laboratory at Los Alamos, which was in all probability the most important post held by any civilian scientist in connection with the entire effort. General Groves undoubtedly made this appointment after a very careful study of the entire affair from all angles, as this was his custom on important appointments."
Subsequent developments made it very clear that no error had been made in this connection, for Dr. Oppenheimer proved himself to be not only a great physicist, but also a man of excellent judgment and a real leader in the entire effort. In fact, it was due to the extraordinary accomplishments of Oppenheimer and his associates that the job was completed on time. Subsequent to the end of the war Dr. Oppenheimer has had a number of important appointments. He was invited by Secretary Stimson as one of the scientists consulted by the Secretaries of War and Navy in connection with the work of the Interim Committee. He was appointed by the State Department as a member of the Board which drew up the plan on which Mr. Baruch based his program. He has recently been appointed by the President as a member of the General Advisory Committee of your organization. I have appointed him a member of the Committee on Atomic Energy of the Joint Research and Development Board. All of this has followed from his extraordinary war record in which he made a unique, and exceedingly important contribution to the success of the war effort of this country.

"I know him very well indeed and I have personally great confidence in his judgment and integrity."

I have the original now.

At the time you wrote that letter, had you been through Dr. Oppenheimer's personnel file, the FBI reports?
A. I don't think I ever went through Dr. Oppenheimer's FBI file. If I did, I certainly do not remember.

Q. Did you understand at the time that you wrote that letter that he had had left wing associations?

A. I understood that at the time of his first appointment was made at Los Alamos. I had an exposition of the entire affair from General Groves.

Q. You read the letter of General Nichols dated December 23, 1953, to Dr. Oppenheimer, containing the items of derogatory information?

A. Yes, I read that as it appeared in the press.

Q. Is there anything in that letter which would cause you to want to qualify the letter which you wrote to Mr. Lilienthal that you have just read?

A. Now, let me answer that in two parts. I had at the time of the Los Alamos appointment complete confidence in the loyalty, judgment and integrity of Dr. Oppenheimer. I have certainly no reason to change that opinion in the meantime. I have had plenty of reason to confirm it, for I worked with him on many occasions on very difficult matters. I know that his motivation was exactly the same as mine, namely, first, to make this country strong, to resist attack, and second, if possible, to fend off from the world the kind of mess we are now getting into.

On the second part of that, would I on the basis of
that document if those allegations were proved change my judgment. That is what I understand this Board is to decide. I don't think I ought to try to prejudge what they might find out.

Q I would not want to ask you to do that, and my question is not designed to do that.

A My faith has not in the slightest degree been shaken by that letter or anything else.

I think that is all, Doctor.

I have no questions, Mr. Chairman.

I have one question which relates to the development of the hydrogen bomb in general, and it is prompted by something you said in answer to a question put to you by I think.

I believe you said that you felt that that test in the fall of 1952 was of value to the Russians in their own program. Did I understand that correctly?

THE WITNESS: I am sure it was.

And this is for technical reasons?

THE WITNESS: I am sure of it for one reason because whenever we reviewed the evidence of the first Russian atomic explosion, we didn't find out merely that they had made a bomb. We obtained a considerable amount of evidence as to the type of bomb, and the way in which it was made. If they
had no other evidence than that from their own test and
the like; they would have derived information.

Since that occurred, Mr. Chairman, there has been a discussion
in the press in this country which in my opinion has been
informal speculation, guessing, prying, the reporting of
this technical feature and what, which has performed for the
Russians so much that I can't understand why they need any
network in this country. We deliver it to them on a platter.

If that didn't aid them, I would like to know what would.

Would it have been your guess that the
Soviets would have attempted to develop this kind of weapon?

THE WITNESS: Why, certainly, because it is very
valuable indeed to them. To us, with 500 KT fission bombs
we have very little need for a 10 megaton hydrogen bomb. The
Russians, on the other hand, have the great targets of New
York and Chicago, and what have you. It is of enormous
advantage to them.

So they probably would have sought to
develop this in any event unless some international control
machinery had been in effect.

THE WITNESS: That is right.

And our not proceeding, as some people
thought we should not, probably didn't have any relation to
what the Russians might do about it.

THE WITNESS: I think it has relation to what the
Russians might do about it because whether we proceeded or not determined to some extent the speed with which we could proceed. Let me interpose a word there, Mr. Chairman.

Yes, sir.

THE WITNESS: It was not a question, as I understand it, of whether we should proceed or not. It was a question of whether we should proceed in a certain manner and on a certain program. I have never expressed opinions on that. But certainly there was a great deal of opinion which seemed to me sound that the program as then presented was a somewhat fantastic one. So it was not a question of do we proceed or do we not. I think there was no disagreement of opinion as to whether we ought to be energetic in our research, whether we should be assiduously looking for ways in which such a thing could be done without unduly interfering with our regular program. The question of whether we proceeded along a certain path—may I say one more word on that, Mr. Chairman, quite frankly, and I hope you won't misunderstand me, because I have the greatest respect for this Board. Yet I think it is only right that I should give you my opinion.

I feel that this Board has made a mistake and that it is a serious one. I feel that the letter of General Nichols which I read, this bill of particulars, is quite capable of being interpreted as placing a man on trial because he held opinions, which is quite contrary to the American
system, which is a terrible thing. And as I move about I find that discussed today very energetically, that here is a man who is being pilloried because he had strong opinions, and had the temerity to express them. If this country ever gets to the point where we come that near to the Russian system, we are certainly not in any condition to attempt to lead the free world toward the benefits of democracy.

Now, if I had been on this Board, I most certainly would have refused to entertain a set of charges that could possibly be thus interpreted. As things now stand, I am just simply glad I am not in the position of the Board.

[ ] What is the mistake the Board has made?

THE WITNESS: I think you should have immediately said before we will enter into this matter, we want a bill of particulars which makes it very clear that this man is not being tried because he expressed opinions.

[ ] Are you aware, Dr. Bush, how this got in the press and was spread throughout the world?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I know how it was released.

[ ] Do you know who released it?

THE WITNESS: I believe this gentleman on my right released it.

[ ] I don't think you can blame the Board. We had quite a discussion about that.

THE WITNESS: It was bound to be released sometime
when you made your report.

It might have leaked. I don't think it was bound to be released. I assure you, and I am sure that we are all sure that whatever the outcome, this Board is going to be very severely criticized.

THE WITNESS: I am sure of that, and I regret it sincerely, sir, because I fear that this thing, when your report is released, will be misinterpreted on that very basis whatever you may do.

Dr. Bush, you don't think we sought this job, do you?

THE WITNESS: I am sure you didn't, and you have my profound sympathy and respect. I think the fact that a group of men of this sort are willing to do as tough and as difficult a job as this augurs well for the country. It is in stark contrast with some of the things that we have seen going on about us in similar circumstances. Orderly procedure and all of that is good. I merely regret that the thing can be misinterpreted as it stands on the record, and misinterpreted in a way that can do great damage. I know, of course, that the Executive Branch of the United States Government had no intention whatever of pillorying a man for his opinions. But the situation has not been helped, gentlemen, recently by statements of the Secretary of Defense. I can assure you that the Scientific community is deeply stirred today.
The National Academy of Science meets this next week, and the American Physical Society meets, and I hope sincerely that they will do nothing foolish. But they are deeply stirred. The reason they are stirred is because they feel that a professional man who rendered great service to his country, rendered service beyond almost any other man, is now being pilloried and put through an ordeal because he had the temerity to express his honest opinions.

Dr. Bush, are you familiar with the Atomic Energy Act of 1946 at all?

THE WITNESS: I have read it.

Are you familiar with the fact that the Commission has a published set of procedures which for these purposes have the effect of law?

THE WITNESS: Yes. I am not quarreling with the procedure, Mr. Chairman.

As I understand it, and I can be corrected by counsel, the writing of a letter to Dr. Oppenheimer with specifications is required under these procedures.

THE WITNESS: I have been a friend of General Nichols for many years. He wrote the letter. I quite frankly think it was a poorly written letter and should have been written in such a way that it made it absolutely clear that what was being examined here was not the question of whether a man
held opinions and whether those were right or wrong, whether history has shown it to be good judgment or poor judgment. I think that should have been made very clear.

I would also point out just in the interest of having a record here, and I don't consider myself in any argumentation with you, for whom I have a very high regard, personally and professionally, that there were items of so-called derogatory information — and that is a term of art — in this letter, setting aside the allegations about the hydrogen bomb. There were items in this letter which did not relate to the expression and holding of opinions.

THE WITNESS: Quite right, and the case should have been tried on those.

This is not a trial.

THE WITNESS: If it were a trial, I would not be saying these things to the judge, you can well imagine that. I feel a very serious situation has been created, and I think that in all fairness I ought to tell you my frank feeling that this has gotten into a very bad mess. I wish I could suggest a procedure that would resolve it.

The proceeding, of course, is taking in place in accordance with procedures, and I was glad to hear you say a few moments ago that you felt that this was a fair kind of proceeding. I am not sure I am quoting you correctly.
THE WITNESS: You can quote me to that effect.

I think some of the things we have seen have been scandalous affairs. I think in fact the Republic is in danger today because we have been slipping backward in our maintenance of the Bill of Rights.

Dr. Bush, I wish you would make clear just what mistake you think the Board made. I did not want this job when I was asked to take it. I thought I was performing a service to my country.

THE WITNESS: I think the moment you were confronted with that letter, you should have returned the letter, and asked that it be redrafted so that you would have before you a clearcut issue which would not by implication put you in the position of trying a man for his opinions.

I was not confronted with that letter, and I don't think it would have made any difference if I had been. I was simply asked if I would serve on the Board. What mistake did I make when I did that?

Mr. Chairman, might I make a remark for myself here, speaking for Dr. Oppenheimer? I have the deepest respect for Dr. Bush's forthright character, for his lifelong habit of calling a spade a spade as he sees it. I simply want to leave no misunderstanding on the record here that we share the view that this Board should not have served
when asked to serve under the letter as written.

THE WITNESS: I can assure you, Mr. Chairman, that the opinions being expressed are my own. They usually are.

I have never heard it suggested that you didn't express your own opinion, Dr. Bush.

Dr. Bush, then your idea is that suppose I was asked to serve on this Board, and I didn't know anything about it -- I had not seen any of this material -- after I had agreed to serve, and saw this material, I should have resigned?

THE WITNESS: No, I think you simply should have asked for a revision of the bill of particulars.

I am just anxious to know what you think my procedure should have been.

THE WITNESS: That is what I think. Now, I don't see how you can get out of this mess.

Doctor, on what ground would you ask for a bill of particulars if you didn't know the record?

THE WITNESS: I think that bill of particulars was obviously poorly drawn on the face of it, because it was most certainly open to the interpretation that this man is being tried because he expressed strong opinions. The fact that he expressed strong opinions stands in a single paragraph by itself. It is not directly connected. It does have in that paragraph, through improper motivations he expressed these opinions. It merely says he stated opinions, and I think
that is defective drafting and should have been corrected.

In other words, we want to prejudge the case before we know anything about it.

THE WITNESS: Not at all. But I think this Board or no board should ever sit on a question in this country of whether a man should serve his country or not because he expressed strong opinions. If you want to try that case, you can try me. I have expressed strong opinions many time, and I intend to do so. They have been very unpopular opinions at times. When a man is pilloried for doing that, this country is in a severe state.

I have no more questions.

I should like to ask one more question.

THE WITNESS: I hope it is a gentle one. Excuse me, gentlemen, if I become stirred, but I am.

Q Dr. Bush, have you had some experience in handling security questions in the past?

A Throughout the war, I was responsible for security in the Office of Scientific Research and Development. The formal situation was this. All the appointments I was responsible for clearance in the organization. On appointment on the staff of contractors, the contractor himself was responsible. Of course, you realize that to a contractor was given only the information within his field. No question was
raised in connection with contractors unless either the Army or the Navy cautioned about them. On appointments to CERD, I had advice from both the Army and the Navy, but the responsibility was mine.

I might say in passing that there were a good many appointments, and I know of no case in which an appointment on CERD was made in which disloyalty has since been proved. I am proud of that record. I think our procedure in clearance at that time was a sane and reasonable one and effective one.

That is all.

May I ask one question.

CROSS EXAMINATION

Q I am going to ask you a question which I am sure you will describe as a gentle one. Let me tell you I never saw this letter in question until two months after it was written. I am not asking this for personal reasons.

A I am sure you didn't write it.

Q I am sure you didn't mean to imply that. Would you make a distinction between the question of whether a man's opinions were right and wrong, and the question of whether a man's opinions were expressed in good faith or bad faith?

A Yes, a very great difference. If this paragraph that I referred to had said by improper motivation because this man had allegiance to another system than that of his own
country, he expressed these opinions in an attempt to block the program, then I would not have objected.

Q If the paragraph was interpreted to question the good faith of the opinion, then you would have no objection to it.
A No, if it was done explicitly enough, certainly not.
Q Thank you.
A The trouble is of course that the public will not read and will not interpret gently or sympathetically. The public is going to read this in the worst possible interpretation.

Thank you very much, Dr. Bush.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.

(Witness excused.)

That is all we have to do today.
Do you have some affidavits?
I think they could go over until Monday. It won't take very long.

We will recess for the weekend and meet again Monday morning at 9:30.

(Thereupon at 2:50 p.m., a recess was taken until Monday, April 26, 1954, at 9:30 a.m.)
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Reference: Bureau letter of 4-3-51, to Dr. Vannevar Bush

Examination requested: Document

Specimens:
Q1 Envelope addressed to "Dr. Vannevar Bush, Washington DC," postmarked "LAS CRUCES, N. MEX., MAR 26, 1951, 4:30 P.M."
Q2 Accompanying letter dated 26 Mar 51, beginning "Doctor Bush, You are a fool..." signed

Results of examination:
The handwriting on specimens Q1 and Q2 was searched through the appropriate sections of the Anonymous Letter File without effecting an identification. Photographic copies of this material are not being added to this file at this time.

Specimens Q1 and Q2 are being retained in the files of the Bureau.
April 3, 1951

Dr. Vannevar Bush
President
Carnegie Institution of Washington
1530 P Street, Northwest
Washington 5, D.C.

Dear Dr. Bush:

I have received your letter of March 20, 1951, together with the communication postmarked March 26, 1951, at Las Cruces, New Mexico, signed by

I have made arrangements so that this matter will be discussed with the appropriate U. S. Attorney to determine if a violation of the Federal Extortion Statute is involved. For the present, I am retaining your enclosure and it will be returned when it has served its purpose.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
J. Edgar Hoover

cc - Washington Field Office - with copy of incoming & replies
ATTENTION SAC: It is requested that the original communication be searched through the anonymous letter file. Upon completion of the investigation the communication will be returned to Dr. Vannevar Bush. In the meantime it is being retained in the Bureau.

cc - Albuquerque with copy of incoming & replies
ATTENTION SAC: You will take such action as it may suggest. The original letter, signed by [unsigned], is being retained in the Bureau for examination with other communications in the Anonymous Letter File.

(See next page)
cc - Washington Field Office (note continued)
Photographic copies will be sent to you. Any additional examination desired should be requested by you. After the letter has served its purpose the FBI Laboratory should be advised so that appropriate disposition can be made. The original white envelope is postmarked March 26, 1951, at Las Cruces, New Mexico; a six cent air mail stamp is affixed. In the upper right hand corner. It is addressed in handwriting in ink to Dr. Vannevar Bush, Washington, D. C.
In the upper left corner the name appears in ink and printed in red in the following:

The original letter is dated March 26, 1951; is on very light blue paper and handwritten. Your attention is directed to your file 121-6423 for background data concerning Dr. Vannevar Bush.

NOTE: File 94-41807 reflects that Dr. Vannevar Bush, President of Carnegie Institution, was suggested as a speaker at one of the graduation exercises of the FRINA. Summary on his background contained in this file. No record on files.

NO RECORD ON IN FILE.
Re: Unsub., Dr. Vannevar Bush - Violent Anonymous Letter

Examination requested by: Bureau

Date of reference communication: 12/15/47

Examination requested: Doc.

Result of Examination:

Specimens submitted for examination

Q1 Envelope addressed to "Dr. Vannevar Bush Washington DC," pm. "Las Cruces N. MEX. MAR 26 1951 4:30 PM."

Q2 Acct. letter dated "26 MAR 50," beg. "Doctor Bush You are a fool...."
Re: Unsub., Dr. VANNEVAR BUSH - \[Signature\]
Anonymous Letter

Examination requested by: Bureau

Date of reference communication: Undated routing slip

Examination requested: Doc.

Result of Examination:

Specimens submitted for examination

9 - 20437 - 3

1. Envelope addressed to "Dr. Vannevar Bush Washington DC," pm. "LEG. Clerk"
E. MEX. MAR 26 1951 4:30 PM."

March 29, 1951.

Federal Bureau of Investigation, Washington 25, D.C.

Gentlemen:

Anyone who makes public statements on matters of importance more or less expects letters of disagreement and accepts them as part of the price of the statement even when the disagreement is rather violent. The attached communication, however, seems to indicate a degree of mental abnormality which I believe to be dangerous in as sensitive a locality as that from which this letter comes. I therefore forward it to you for such consideration as you may feel is warranted.

Very truly yours,

V. Bush
Loctur Hush

You are a fool -

Your puny brain is like a hungry frantic rat imprisoned in a steel-lined room - only death can open its eyes.

If I am ever so unfortunate as to be near you, cover your face, because I will spit in it.

A prophet in a land ruled by such as you can only stand in the gate & cry woe.

A curse on you & all your marks.

ENCLOSURE
December 8, 1949

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. TOLSON

I would like to suggest that Dr. Vannevar Bush, President of Carnegie Institution, as a speaker at one of the future graduation exercises of the National Academy.

In conversation with him yesterday on another matter he seemed very affable, very much down to earth with a very sane viewpoint. He has no use for the Communists or the Russians nor does he have any use for the attitude some of the scientists have voiced on the subject of secrecy. He would, I imagine, make a very entertaining talk.

Respectfully,

V.C. Nichols

Suggest a summary of our files 1719

OK.
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THIS MEMORANDUM IS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PURPOSES
TO BE DESTROYED AFTER ACTION IS TAKEN AND NOT SENT TO FILES
Dole State No Match For Russia—Dr. Bush

CAMBRIDGE, Mass., Dec. 5 (INS).—One of the developers of the atomic bomb declared today that Russia is a controlled dictatorship, a police state, with full ultimate management of the details of the life of every citizen. It has held the standard of living down to a small fraction of ours, denying its people the simple comforts of existence in order to focus effort on guns and atomic bombs. He credited Russia with having an atomic bomb, and said:

"In time—how much time is with dictatorships and free, virile democracy can outpace any such in the long pull. But a people bent on a soft security, surrendering their birthright of individual self-reliance for favors, voting themselves into Eden from a supposedly inexhaustible public purse, supporting everyone by soaking a fast-disappearing rich, scrambling for subsidy, learning the arts of political log-rolling and forgetting the rugged virtues of the pioneer will not measure up to competition with a tough dictatorship."

"If we go all the way down the path to dependence and render ourselves a people fawning for handouts on an intriguing bureaucracy, Russia can cease building its war machine. It will conquer the world without them."

Dr. Vannevar Bush

VANNEVAR BUSH

Says U.S. can meet the threat
"If it is strong."

arguable and important— it can produce a stock of atomic bombs.

"The bomb does not stand alone. It is not an absolute weapon. It is part of a vast and intricate armament. Much of the nature of that armament was spread out for all the world to see in the last war, and is known to technicians in Russia as elsewhere. Russia can build tens of billions, jet aircraft for defense, radar networks, guided missiles.

The U.S., according to Dr. Bush, can meet the threat "if it is strong" and, by a display of power, might avert war. He explained that by strength he means moral fiber as well as the sinews of war. He added:

Dictatorships can compete
Office Memorandum  UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO:  Mr. L. B. Nicholas
FROM:  Mr. M. A. Jones
SUBJECT:  DR. VANNEVAR BUSH

SUMMARY

There is no derogatory information concerning Dr. Vannevar Bush in the files and no actual investigation of him has ever been conducted. Relations with him, characterized by cordiality, go back to 1936. He is an authorized confidential informant for atomic energy contacts.

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL:

BUSH, Vannevar, administrator, electrical engineer; born Everett, Massachusetts, March 11, 1890; son Reverend Richard Perry (Doctor of Divinity) and Emma Linwood (Paine) Bush; Bachelor of Science, Master of Science, Tufts College, 1913, Honorary Doctor of Science, 1932; Doctor of Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, and Harvard, 1916; Doctor of Laws, Brown University, Middlebury College, 1939, John Hopkins University, 1940, University of Pennsylvania, Yale University, 1942; Washington University, University of Buffalo, 1946; Doctor of Engineering Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, 1941, Rutgers College, 1942; Doctor of Science, Harvard University, Williams College, 1941; Doctor of Science, Stevens Institute of Technology, 1943; Trinity College, 1946; West Virginia University, 1947; married Phoebe Davis, September 5, 1916; Richard Davis. With test department General Electric Company, 1913; with inspection department, U. S. Navy, 1914; instructor in mathematics, Tufts College, 1914-15, assistant professor, electrical engineering, 1916-17; research on submarine detection, with special board on submarine devices, U.S. Navy, 1917-18; associate professor electrical power transmission, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1919-23, professor, 1923-32; vice-president and dean of engineering, 1932-38; president Carnegie Institution of Washington since 1939. Trustee Tufts College, Johns Hopkins University; life member Massachusetts Institute of Technology Corporation; regent, Smithsonian Institution. Levy medalist, Franklin Institute, 1923 (honorary member 1947); Lamme medalist, American Institute Electrical Engineering, 1935; Research Corporation Award, 1939; Ballou medal, Tufts College, 1941; Holley medal of American Society of Mechanical Engineers; John Scott medal, Philadelphia City Trusts, 1943; Edison medal American Institute Electrical Engineers, 1944; gold medal, National Institute Social Sciences, 1945; Marcellus Hartley award of National Academy of Sciences.

In addition to the above, Dr. Bush was active in the organization of the Committee for Defense Against Unconventional Attack and is the author of the recent book, "Modern Arms and Free Men."

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Dr. Bush has been frequently contacted for information, particularly in cases where it would have been difficult to secure information on an individual from conventional sources. He supplied data concerning scientist Helmut DeTerra. (62-59198-18)

He furnished information on certain employees of the International Telephone and Telegraph Company during an investigation of Sosthenes Behn, Chairman of I.T. and T. Dr. Bush was skeptical of the bona fides of certain representatives of a British subsidiary of I.T. and T. (62-25621-55)

Dr. Bush furnished information about Edward U. Condon during the investigation of that individual in July 1947. Bush appears in this report as confidential informant. He described the manner in which Henry Wallace, then vice-president, went over the head of the nominating committee to select a successor for Dr. Briggs, former director of the Bureau of Standards, and urged the nomination of Condon. Bush stated the nomination of Condon was not in accordance with the wishes of the committee and he (Condon) had nothing of importance to do during the work on the Atomic Development Project because Bush has no confidence in his judgment. Bush described Condon as "a bit of a damned fool." (62-58854-48 page 25)
62-58854-308 contains a report that Drew Pearson informed Dr. Condon that he had a tip that it was Bush who first went to the HCUA about Condon. Condon thought Bush was a ruthless and persistent fellow, but scarcely low enough to go to the HCUA about him.

Dr. Bush advised concerning Condon that he had no information which caused him to doubt Dr. Condon's loyalty.

(121-2673-3 page 40)

In connection with the establishment of a National Science Foundation supported by the U. S. Government, Dr. Harlow Shapley headed a group which had certain views on the National Science Foundation and government control thereof, while a number of other scientists, among whom Bush is very prominent, had slightly different ideas of the amount of control the Executive Branch of the government should have over the Foundation. Bush apparently wrote the Administration Bill after a long talk with Truman and Federal Security Administrator, Oscar Ewing.

In discussing lobbying measures of the bill, Dr. Shapley stated he did not trust anyone connected with Bush to deal with matters concerning the version of the bill which Shapley favored. It was reported that Shapley stated he would spread the word around among the most prominent scientists in the country that Dr. Bush and all people affiliated with him were to be handled most cautiously by the scientists. (100-341825-111)

According to Shapley, Dr. Bush and Dr. James Conant of Harvard are personally offensive to each other. (100-341825-42 page 15)

Dr. Bush was interviewed in connection with the investigation of Julius Robert Oppenheimer. Dr. Bush stated that Oppenheimer was definitely pro-Communist and pro-Russian prior to the beginning of World War II and that he had many friends and associates of pro-Communist leanings. Dr. Bush advised further, however, that Oppenheimer is now definitely not pro-Russian although he still may be described as a Liberal. Dr. Bush advised that Oppenheimer's brother is "definitely to the left, associates with pro-Communist groups and persons, and is believed to be a member of the Communist Party." Dr. Bush also advised that Oppenheimer's wife was formerly married to a Communist. Dr. Bush expressed his belief that Oppenheimer has broken off his associations with previous questionable friends of Communist Party leanings. (116-2717-12)

In May of 1948, a preliminary investigation was begun on Dr. Bush in connection with the loyalty of government employees project. The basis was that Bush was "scheduled" to meet with a steering committee for a forum sponsored by the American Association of Scientific Workers. The AASW is reported to be Communist infiltrated. Bush is not known to be a member.
At one time Bush was mentioned as a possible receiver of an honorary membership in the Russian Academy of Sciences. It was also reported that Bush was invited to attend a Science Jubilee in Moscow in 1945. Bush's name was in the address book of a subject of an internal security investigation in the Newark Office and Bush had been mentioned in connection with meetings attended by Dr. Harlow Shapley.

A preliminary investigation was made and no derogatory information whatever was developed. Lists of potential invitees to attend the Science Jubilee was merely one made up of prominent scientists and had no political significance. This is also true of the information about honorary membership in the Russian Academy of Sciences.

Informants were doubtful that Bush ever was a member of the American Association of Scientific Workers, but if he ever was, he certainly wasn't at the time of the investigation. (June, 1948)

The investigation did develop that Shapley and Bush were bitter enemies and that so far as Shapley was concerned, he regarded Bush as a headstrong reactionary and regretted the circumstances which made it necessary to work with him. (121-9524)

As of June 9, 1949, Dr. Bush was cleared as a confidential informant in matters relating to atomic energy. (66-2542-7 sub 53 serial 2)

RELATIONS WITH THE BUREAU

As mentioned above, relations between the Bureau and Bush go back to 1936 when Bush discussed at length problems in connection with the growing fingerprint files of the FBI. At that time Dr. Bush was with MIT. Dr. Bush advised Mr. Coffey of the Laboratory that he wanted the Director to feel that he could call upon him at any time and that he would be delighted to render his services on this or any other problem which the Bureau thought he might be qualified to handle. 62-38679-24 (94-1-740).

Dr. Bush frequently solicited help from the Bureau in connection with investigations, usually of personnel who were associated with Dr. Bush in connection with the defense effort in which, it will be recalled, Dr. Bush had an overwhelmingly important part. The Carnegie Institution of Washington, D.C. and the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics were a couple of agencies in connection with which he made requests. (64-4123-307-3) and (96-252-1).
In connection with the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, by letter dated October 29, 1940 Dr. Bush, who was chairman of the committee, requested the assignment of an agent on a confidential basis as a technician at Langley Field. This was not approved. (65-33408)

Dr. Bush was approved as a member of the faculty of the National Academy in March of 1942 (1-10-1672)

Special Agent D. K. Brown contacted Dr. Bush in June of 1942 for the purpose of ascertaining whether Dr. Bush was willing to lecture before the National Academy. Agent Brown saw Dr. Bush, only after difficulty, as Dr. Bush was exceedingly busy, and Dr. Bush informed Agent Brown that he was absolutely declining every invitation of a social or official nature because of the heavy duties imposed upon him by the Army. Agent Brown got the impression that Dr. Bush declined the Bureau's invitation to lecture before the National Academy with real regret and he offered to suggest the names of other prominent scientists if the Bureau desired. (1-10-1718).
UNION

EXECUTIVES CONFERENCE

SUBJECT: GRADUATION EXERCISES

43rd SESSION, FBI HA
MARCH 31 - GRADUATION SPEAKER

The Executives Conference on 1/10/50, with Messrs. Tolson, Callahan, Tracy, Harbo, Mohr, Ladd, Rosen, Carlson and Clegg present, recommended that in the order named two of the following be selected to be the speakers at the next Graduation Exercises of the FBI National Academy which will be held on March 31, 1950. In the event one declines, the third will be an alternate.

(1) Dr. Norman Vincent Poole
Marble Collegiate Church
New York City

(2) Congressman John J. Rooney
Brooklyn, New York
Member of the Appropriations Committee

(3) Dr. Vannover Bush
President
Carnegie Institution
Washington, D. C., concerning whom a biographical sketch and summary is attached.

Respectfully,
For the Conference

Clyde Tolson

Attachment
DIRECTORS NOTATION: "OK. M."
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1950-04-19
10:38
By memorandum dated June 16, 1954, Mr. H. L. Edwards advised Mr. Mohr of a letter dated June 11, 1954, from the Washington Field Office regarding an interview of Dr. Vannevar Bush in regard to the Atomic Energy Act Applicant Investigation of Mr. Edwards' memorandum pointed out that Dr. Bush asked the interviewing Agent whether he (the Special Agent) knew all about him (Dr. Bush). Upon receiving a negative answer, Dr. Bush reportedly inquired, "How can you conduct an investigation if you don't know all about me?" Dr. Bush reportedly further said, "I am a busy man, you ought to know all about me before you came here to interview me. I don't have time to answer your questions. You can go back and tell your boss that."

At the conclusion of Mr. Edwards' memorandum, Mr. Tolson noted, "I recommend...that Dr. Bush be listed not to be contacted without prior Bureau authority." The Director noted, "I concur."

RECOMMENDATIONS:

(1) That the attached memorandum to appropriate Bureau officials be sent, advising that Dr. Bush has been added to the "List of Persons Not To Be Contacted Without Prior Bureau Authority." 

(2) That the attached letter be sent to the Washington Field Office advising that Bush is not to be contacted unless prior Bureau approval has been obtained.
June 29, 1954

SAC, UFO

Director, FBI

Dr. Vannevar Bush
President
Carnegie Institution of Washington

Report June 11, 1954, relating to the interview of
Dr. Vannevar Bush, President, Carnegie Institution of Washington,
1530 P Street, Northwest, Washington, D. C., on June 8, 1954,
in connection with the Atomic Energy Act Applicant Investigation
of

You are instructed that Dr. Bush is not to be contacted on
any occasion in the future unless prior Bureau approval has
been obtained. For your information, the Washington telephone
directory reflects the address of Vannevar Bush to be 4901
Hillbrook Lane, Northwest, Washington, D. C.
To: SAC, Boston
From: Director, FBI (140-24167)

JAMES R. NEWMAN
DEPARTMENT OF STATE

You are instructed to have an experienced Special Agent interview Dr. Vannevar Bush concerning Newman. Newman is being considered for the position of Consultant to the Advisor to the President on Disarmament and is being investigated under the provisions of Executive Order 10450 at the request of the Department of State. See current "Who's Who in America" for information concerning Bush and Newman. Also see your radiogram of 6/28/61 concerning Newman.

NOTE: Full field investigation being conducted concerning Newman at the request of the Department of State, based on Newman's reported association with Dr. Edward U. Condon and Bernard Peters. Peters reported to have been a member of Communist Party in Germany and renounced his U.S. citizenship in 1955.

Dr. Vannevar Bush is not to be contacted without Bureau approval per instructions to field dated 6/29/54. In an interview in 1954 in an applicant case, Bush asked the interviewing Agent whether the Agent knew all about him. Agent gave negative answer to which Bush reportedly inquired, "How can you conduct an investigation if you don't know all about me?" Bush reportedly continued, "I am a busy man, you ought to know all about me before you come here to interview me. I don't have time to answer your questions. You can go back and tell your boss that."

Bureau files reveal that Bush had previously been cooperative with the Bureau going back to 1936. He was cooperative when interviewed prior to 1954 during the investigations of Dr. Edward U. Condon and Julius Robert Oppenheimer. Bush is an atomic scientist at MIT. Recommend that he be interviewed in this case.

(94-41907; 121-9524)

Note continued page 2
Airtel to SAC, Boston
RE: JAMES R. NEWMAN

(Note continued)

WFO has advised that during the investigation of Dr. Edward U. Condon, Dr. Bush stated in 1947 that an example of Condon's poor judgment was his association with James R. Newman. Boston aware of this information.

6/28/61 radiogram from Boston states Boston will not interview Dr. Bush UACB.
Congressman Udall, (D) Arizona, extended his remarks to include a statement by Dr. Vannevar Bush, president of the Carnegie Institution, which appeared in the New York Times magazine under the title "To Make Our Security System Secure." No references were made to the Bureau.