

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION CASE NUMBER: 2015S10001371

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY







U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION



INVESTIGATIVE RECORD REVIEW

This Report contains information involving an official investigation by the Office of Inspector General and is subject to provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 522a). It is the property of the Inspector General and is loaned to you for official purposes only.

The material must be safeguarded from unauthorized disclosure. It should not be left unattended or discussed with unauthorized persons and must be retained in an approved security container when not in use. Those individuals who review this material are required to complete the Review Log below.

Reproduction of this report, or any portions thereof, must be accounted for on the Copy Log below.

REVIEW LOG				
DATE	OFFICE/DIVISION	TITLE	SIGNATURE	
	<u> </u>			

		C	OPY LOG	
OPY# RECIPI	ENT	DATE	RETURN DATE	DESTROYED
				DESTROTED



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION



CASE NUMBER, 2015S10004274	的一种自然的200m以及它型200m的200m以下,100m以下	AND STREET, SALES AND STREET,
CASE NUMBER: 2015S1000137 I	REGION/OFFICE: SPECIAL INVESTIG	ATIONS DIVISON
TITLE: (b) (7)(C)		
NARRATIVE:		
SUBJECT:		
(b) (7)(C) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban De Office of Inspector General (OIG) (b) (7)(C)	evelopment (HUD)	
This investigation was initiated based U.S. Departmen General (OIG),	t of Housing and Urban Developmen	7)(C) It (HUD), Office of Inspector
alleged that (b) (7)(C) committed time and attendance (T&A) frau	HUD, OIG, (HUD, OIG, ((b) (7)(C) had had elaborated for knowledge, had not
(b) ('	7)(C)	DATE: 3/30/15

This report is the property of the Office of Investigation. It contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the Office of Inspector General, It and its contents may not be reproduced without written permission. The report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY AND ITS DISCLOSURE TO UNAUTHORIZED PERSONS IS PROHIBITED. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. § 552 & 552a.

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

CASE	NUMBE	R:	2015SI000137	١
------	-------	----	--------------	---

POTENTIAL VIOLATIONS:

Potential Criminal Violations -

- Title 18 U.S. Code § 1001 False Statement.
- Title 18 U.S. Code § 641 Theft of Public money, property or records

Potential Administrative Violations -

SYNOPSIS:

The investigation established that (b) (7)(C) conducted the same level of "official HUD-OIG work" according to email activity (review and response) on telework designated days as add did while working directly at the HUD-OIG headquarters.

Additionally, according to WebTA, the web-based time and attendance system developed to interface with the Department's payroll/personnel service provider, the National Finance Center (NFC), (b) (7)(C) to properly utilize annual leave as directed on two occasions (July 9 & 10, 2014) when (b) (7)(C) denied (b) (7)(C) telework request. Furthermore, (b) (7)(C) failed to designate telework days through the HUD WebTA system as required.

DETAILS OF INVESTIGATION:

On (b) (7)(C) HUD-OIG, Special Investigations Desurveillance (b) (7)(C) SID's surveillance the vicinity (b) (7)(C) throughout the surveillance period	ivision (SID), Washington, DC, conducted took place on a day designated as a telework day in was d.
On (b) (/)(C) was interviewed by SID (Exhibit 3). (b) (7)(C) reiterated the OIG network activity reports and Web TA documents related	HUD, OIG, (b) (7)(C) and provided HUD and provided HUD

This report is the property of the Office of Investigation. It contains aeither recommendations nor conclusions of the Office of Inspector General, It and its contents may not be reproduced without written permission. The report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY AND ITS DISCLOSURE TO UNAUTHORIZED PERSONS IS PROHIBITED. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. § 552 & 552a.

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

CASE NUMBER: 2015S1000137 | On] SID conducted an email review ((b) (7)(C) official HUD-OIG email account for (b) (7)(C) in an attempt to identify the amount of email activity, conducted (b) (7)(C), on reported 'telework' days versus various 'regular work days' (nontelework) days. Based on the email review, it appeared (b) (7)(C) accessed and responded to emails on every reported 'telework' day with the exception of September 4, 2014, on which per opened 18 emails but failed to respond to any. SID conducted a review of a sample of regular work days regarding (b) (7)(C) Subsequent to this review, SID determined (b) (7)(C) telework activity appeared to be consistent with the amount of activity l conducted during regular work days while at his HUD-OIG office at HUD Headquarters. On l SID conducted an additional email review ((b) (7)(C) official HUD-OIG email (b) (7)(C)account for in an attempt to identify the amount of email activity, conducted (b)(7)(c) on reported "telework" days versus various "regular work days" (non-telework) days. This additional review also indicated that (b) (7)(C) accessed and responded to emails during each reported 'telework' day in a consistent manner with the amount of email activity conducted by during a sampling of, in office, "regular work" days. **DISPOSITION:** (b) (7)(C), (b) (5) (b) (7)(C) **EXHIBITS:** 1. Advice of Investigation, dated November 6, 2014. 2. Memorandum of Activity, 3. Memorandum of Interview, 1

This report is the property of the Office of Investigation. It contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the Office of Inspector General, It and its contents may not be reproduced without written permission. The report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY AND ITS DISCLOSURE TO UNAUTHORIZED PERSONS IS PROHIBITED. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. § 552 & 552a.

(b) (7)(C)

4. Memorandum of Activity, Email Review, dated November 12, 2014. 5. Memorandum of Activity, Email Review, dated February 2 & 3, 2015