

# REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

CASE NUMBER: 2015SI000423 |

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY







# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

### REPORT OF INVESTIGATION



#### INVESTIGATIVE RECORD REVIEW

This Report contains information involving an official investigation by the Office of Inspector General and is subject to provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 522a). It is the property of the Inspector General and is loaned to you for official purposes only.

The material must be safeguarded from unauthorized disclosure. It should not be left unattended or discussed with unauthorized persons and must be retained in an approved security container when not in use. Those individuals who review this material are required to complete the Review Log below.

Reproduction of this report, or any portions thereof, must be accounted for on the Copy Log below.

REVIEW LOG				
DATE	OFFICE/DIVISION	TITLE	SIGNATURE	
	<u> </u>			

PY# RECIPIENT DATE RETURN DATE DESTROY	
	DATE RETURN DATE DESTROY



# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

# REPORT OF INVESTIGATION



CASE NUMBER; 2015SI000423 [	REGION/OFFICE: SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION
TITLE: ERIC LIPPOLD	
NARRATIVE:	
SUBJECT IDENTIFICATION:	6
(b) (7)(C)	
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban D Office of Inspector General (OIG)	Development (HUD)
(b) (7)(C)	9 W
Washington, DC	
BASIS FOR INVESTIGATION:	
This investigation was initiated based on a	a referral from I
United States De	epartment of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Office of
the inspector General (OIG), (	(b) $(7)(C)$ Washington,
DC, who alleged that had be that was in fear for personal sa	en harassing wia work email and personal email" and
portonarsa	recy. (Exhibit 1)
(1.) (7) (0)	(1)
(b)(7)(C)	(b) $(7)(C)$ AATE: 3/31/15
	3/31/15

This report is the property of the Office of Investigation. It contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the Office of Inspector General, It and its contents may not be reproduced without written permission. The report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY AND ITS DISCLOSURL TO UNAUTHORIZED PERSONS IS PROHIBITED. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. § 552 & 552a.

# REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

CASE NUMBER: 2015SI000423 I

#### POTENTIAL VIOLATIONS:

#### Potential Criminal Violations -

- Title 18 U.S. Code § 1001 False Statement.
- Title 18 U.S. Code § 641 Theft of Public money, property or records

### Potential Administrative Violations -

(b) (7)(C)

- Misuse/theft/unauthorized possession of Government Property or funds
- Unprofessional conduct on duty.

#### SYNOPSIS:

This investigation established that (b) (7)(C) did not threaten or harass [10](C)	through the use of his
	e numerous requests, to
through HUD-OIG official email as well as to	stop contacting I
n general.	

#### **DETAILS OF INVESTIGATION:**

On I (b) (7)(C) Washington, DC (Exhibit 2). I	was interviewed by HUD, OIG, Special Investor	stigations Division (SID),
washington, DC (Exhibit 2).	(b) (7)(C)	
October 20, 2014, and that I	nas not seen or s	spoken to (b) (7)(C) since dulent allegations by
(b) (7)(C 20, 2014 and that That only resissued equipment, but That not	have only communicate sponded to email and text messages, from (b)	ted through email since October  7)(C), utilizing his HUD-OIG initiated
Informed SID that I that since [10,000]	(b) (7)(C)	but was informed
On I (b) (7)(C) would find out that	was interviewed by SID (Exhibit 3). (b) (7)(C)	

This report is the property of the Office of Investigation. It contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the Office of Inspector General. It and its contents may not be reproduced without written permission. The report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY AND ITS DISCLOSURE TO UNAUTHORIZED PERSONS IS PROHIBITED. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. § 552 & 552a.

CASE NUMBER: 2015S1000423 I

### REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

HUD-OIG would "escalate" the retribution ! (b) (7)(C)SID that (b) (7)(C) (b) (7)(C)has been harassing in through email and that woods concerned about was safety. SID that the emails are a "small part" and that some is concerned about "issues from the past." has verbally and physically abused in the past. (b) (7)(C) was asked about threats/harassment that  $\mathbb{P}^{(0)(0)}$ made toward l (b) (7)(C) did not "actually" threaten I (b) (7)(C) was verbally abusive by "name calling" through emails and text messages. added that solve have emails from (b) (7)(C) HUD-OIG account but that it would take time for length of locate them When I(b)(7)(C) was asked if s (b) (7)(C)stated "No, it (b) (7)(C) Without a verbal threat...They It hasn't been physical since before 2010...Just verbal (abuse)...Ass chewed out. (b) (7)(C) that since the divorce paperwork was filed, "(I) haven't heard the sound of voice since October. I'd be surprised if I was the initiator in email. I hasn't texted me in a month. I don't think would use the HUD phone... (b) (700) usually real careful about that. b) told me not to contact (b) (700) at work or HUD email." has not been o said that 🖁 (b) (7)(C)(b) (7)(C)is "Always diligent about staying off work resources." "] HUD, OIG, Washington, DC) doesn't like him is watching (b)(7)(C)(b) (7)(C)On 1 (b) (7)(C) was contacted by SID (Exhibit 4). 1 (b) (7)(C) that on 1(b) (7)(C) to provide awareness of alleged harassment and previous domestic violence against l (b) (7)(C)also stated that he had no evidence of or knowledge of any incidents/contact of a concerning nature involving I (b) (7)(C).

This report is the property of the Office of Investigation. It contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the Office of Inspector General. It and its contents may not be reproduced without written permission. The report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY AND ITS DISCLOSURE TO UNAUTHORIZED PERSONS IS PROHIBITED. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. § 552 & 552a.

## REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

On 1 in order to ascertain if there were (b) (7)(C)(b) (7)(C) showed 1 (b) (7)(C) SID conducted an email review of [10](C) official HUD-OIG email account for the period of October 1, 2015-March 9, 2015 (Exhibit 6). This review showed no evidence that utilized possibilities of harass I (b) (7)(C) Both parties communicated back and forth throughout the dates of this email review. In addition, numerous occasions to stop emailing I HUD-OIG email and to stop contacting I further advised (b) (7)(C) to contact via la attorney. **DISPOSITION:** SID is administratively closing this matter to file and contemplates taking no further action regarding this matter (b) (7)(C), (b) (5)

CASE NUMBER: 2015SI000423 I

#### **EXHIBITS:**

- 1. Advice of Investigation, dated March 9, 2015.
- 2. Memorandum of Interview, 1 (b) (7)(C) lated March 10, 2015.
- 3. Memorandum of Interview, (b) (7)(C) dated March 10, 2015.
- 4. Memorandum of Activity, (b) (7)(C) dated March 11, 2015.
- 5. Memorandum of Activity, 1 (b) (7)(C) dated March 11, 2015.
- 6. Memorandum of Activity, 1 (b) (7)(C) official HUD-OIG email review, dated March 19 &20, 2015.

This report is the property of the Office of Investigation. It contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the Office of Inspector General. It and its contents may not be reproduced without written permission. The report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY AND ITS DISCLOSURE TO UNAUTHORIZED PERSONS IS PROHIBITED. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. § 552 & 552a.