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Today, Friday, March 27, 1964, I met at CIA headquarters with Mr. Rocco to review the CIA file on Lee Harvey Oswald. The file contains those materials furnished to us previously by CIA. In addition, it contains the following materials:

a. Cable reports from the CIA station in Mexico on November 22 and 23, 1963 relating to photographs of a person who had visited the Cuban and Soviet Embassies in Mexico City during October and November 1963; and reports on these cables furnished on November 23 by CIA to the Secret Service. These messages are accurately paraphrased in the attachments to the CIA memorandum of March 24, 1964 (Commission Document 574).

b. Cable of October 10 from CIA Mexico City station to CIA headquarters reporting on Oswald contact at Soviet Embassy, and October 10, 1963 message from CIA headquarters to Mexico City station of background information on Oswald. These messages are accurately reported in the CIA memorandum of January 31, 1964.

I did not review any materials later than November 23, 1963.

Mr. Rocco also showed me the “printout” of the references to Oswald documents in the CIA electronic data storage system. There was no item listed which we have not been given either in full text or paraphrased.

When I evidenced an interest in the CIA electronic data processing system, Mr. Rocco said that he would arrange a detailed explanation at a future visit.
MEMORANDUM FOR:  Mr. J. Lee Rankin

SUBJECT:  Information Developed by CIA on the Activity of Lee Harvey Oswald in Mexico City, September 23 to October 5, 1963

1. This refers to our letter of February 10, 1964 relating to information received by this Agency regarding the activity of Lee Harvey Oswald in Mexico City during the period September 23 to October 5, 1963.

2. The second paragraph of your letter raises a question with regard to reports on current aspects of investigation in Mexico, or concerning Mexican leads in the Oswald case. Specifically, that paragraph seems to refer to speculative information provided the White House by Jose I. Lasaie, which originated with Salvador Diaz Verson, CIA has nothing to add to the detailed investigation of this matter conducted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. We assume that the Commission is already in possession, or will be in the near future, of the details of the outcome of that investigation.

3. Our investigation is closely with the FBI in the development of another aspect involved, as is probably already known to the Commission. In the course of this cooperation, CIA developed reports on other material, it is our intention...
to report the details to the Commission concurrently with whatever is made available to the Commission by others.

4. The following replies are keyed to the annotation of your letter of February 10:

1. We are not in a position to supply copies of telegrams sent between the Soviet Embassy in Washington and Mexico City.

2. Translations of the two police interrogation reports of Silvia DURAN are being forwarded to the Commission under separate cover.

3. From all the evidence on hand, we deduce that OSWALD visited the Cuban Consulate in Mexico City on the afternoon of 27 September 1963 and again some time on 28 September 1963. There is no evidence that he visited it again after the two days mentioned, but we cannot exclude such an event. The wording in paragraph 11 of our report, on pages four and five, is based on the statements of Silvia DURAN and means that OSWALD was at the Consulate at least once after his initial visit, and that this later visit was on the afternoon of a day on which the Cuban Consul telephoned the Soviet Consulate about OSWALD's visa problem. This may well have been 28 September, but we cannot be certain of this conclusion.

4. We do not know whether Cuban Consulate archives contained information on OSWALD dating his September-October 1963 visit. From the statements of Silvia DURAN, one could conclude that she had never heard of OSWALD until he visited the Consulate in late September 1963. The file OSWALD which Silvia DURAN mentions is the one she opened on him at that time and which she looked on again when OSWALD's name was published after the shooting of President Kennedy.
5. We do not think it would be unusual for Silvia DURAN to tell OSWALD he could not have a Soviet
transit visa unless he first got a Soviet visa. Such
frank statements are quite common in consular
practice, as far as we know.

6. We believe that Silvia DURAN's statement that
OSWALD knew no one in Cuba meant literally that, and
this would mean that no one there could sponsor him,
vouch for him, or insure that he would not become a
public charge. On the other hand, the remark of the
Soviet official would seem to imply that the Soviets had
no previous record of OSWALD, at least in Mexico, if
indeed the Soviet official was telling the truth.

7. We believe that OSWALD both telephoned to the
Soviet Embassy or Consulate, and was interviewed by
officials there.

8. We assume that OSWALD meant by his
allusions to his address, he went to Mexico while he
was moving back from New Orleans to Texas, and
he may have given an incorrect forwarding address
in the process which he gave first to the Cuban Consulate,
then mislaid or forgot, and finally recovered from Cuban
Consulate files so he could pass it to the Soviet Consulate.
It could also be that he was talking about his Mexico City
hotel address which he might have momentarily forgotten
while at the Soviet Consulate.

9. The Cuban Consulate and Cuban Embassy in
Mexico City are separate offices located in separate
buildings that stand on adjacent property by a
Cuban official parking lot. The Consulate is at a corner at Marques and Zamora Streets and the
Embassy is at 160 Marques Street. The Soviet Embassy and
Consulate are both located in a large building
connected outbuildings.

10. We surmise that the references in OSWALD's
9 November letter to a man who had since been replaced
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must refer to Cuban Consul Eusebio AZCUE, who left Mexico for Cuba on permanent transfer on 15 November 1963, four days before the assassination. AZCUE had been in Mexico for eighteen years and it was known to us as early as September 1963 that AZCUE was to be replaced. His replacement did arrive in September. AZCUE was scheduled to leave in October but did not leave until 16 November.

We do not know who might have told OSWALD that AZCUE or any other Cuban had been or was to be replaced, but we speculate that Silvia DURAN or some Soviet official might have mentioned it if OSWALD complained about AZCUE's discrimination with him.

11. We have no additional information on OSWALD's activities in Mexico City. This part of the investigation was handled by the Central Bureau of Investigation through its own channels. All of our sources have been queried for further information but none has been developed. Neither pro- nor anti-CASTRO persons or groups have produced what we regard as hard information.

12. We can only guess why Silvia DURAN described OSWALD as a blonde. Perhaps his complexion seemed light to her, compared with that of most Latin Americans. When she was arrested, she had not seen OSWALD for about two months, but apparently she identified him as a blonde in her Consular record.

Richard Helms
Deputy Director for Plans
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