This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

## The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

**Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com** 

TET ?;

14 July 1961

MEHOFARDON FOR: Chief, MI/A

SURJECT

: Comments on NRY Plan for Auti-Castro Operations

1. The Poviniento Revolucionario del Pueblo (MT) has submitted a plan for the conduct of anti-Castro operations. The original was received from the MTP Chief, Panuel Astonio Ray y Rivero, in Mami on 17 June 1961 and a copy of it handcarried to Scalquarters on 19 June 1961. It is our understanding that certain (to us unspecified) elements in the United States Covernment view the Ray plan with force, feeling that the Tabe in the way of information and the maintenance of a resistance organization in Cuba would be worth the price

> Robert 3. Noore Chief, WH/4/PK

Distribution: Original & 1 - Addresses

## SECRET

## CONFINE ON THE MED GREENAL PROGRAM

- 1. The MFP plan presented by Manolo Ray proposes, in brief, that the MFP be provided with funds in the amount of \$2,343,000 for a six-month period, with which to mount anti-Castro operations. It is assured that additional financing would be provided, at a rate exceeding \$2,000,000 per year, until the objectives were achieved.
- 2. No where in the plan is there any statement as to what return, if any, the providers of the funds would receive for their support, other than the knowledge that the money had been provided for furthermose of the desirable goal of bringing shout the demise of the Castro regime.
- 3. It is noteworthy that the plan insists that the FRP retain complete and sole control of all the operations contemplated. Thus, it states, on page 6, that:

"Contributions will be received without compromising the responsibility for decisions and organization of the plans. This does not rule out our search for commelling and coordination with other factors present, but without ever surrandering the responsibility and absolute control of the operations." (Underlining added)

It also appears to be the MEP view that its position should be enalogous to that of a government-in-exile which has been recognised as the rightful regise of its country. Thus the plan states that:

- ".....vith the governments willing to support us we should establish high-level contact which vill more it possible to discuss questions of basic policy of events and plans opportunely, on a mitual footing." (Underlining added)
- 4. The operations contemplated by the FPP are not too dissimilar from those proposed by the Mi/4/PN Section for FY 1962. They include the operation of maritime assets capable of reaching all tuban coasts, the operation of aerial supply craft (from two bases), the training and infiltration of W/T operators and intelligence agents, support of internal guerrillas, and the training of eight or ten 190-man groups for introduction into Cuba at the right time. (There is also considerable stress given to the mounting of extensive psychological varians). The HP plan would pose many of the problems that any PP program does the when, where, how, and how such questions involved in procuring and operating air and maritime bases and the policy questions relating to actions which include sabotage and active resistance.

- 5. It is our view that the FEP plan should not be supported in its present form for these reasons:
- a. The MV seeks exclusive control of the anti-Castro resistance. The plan itself states that the best hope for the Cuban people is offered by the set of ideas and aims of the desocratic left. Aside from the truth or falsity of that statement, the MV is in no position to claim effective leadership of the anti-Castro resistance. There have been, and are many groups in that field. The MV has no record of action of which we have reliable evidence which would argue for giving them preferential status. In specific instances their claim to certain capabilities have been tested and found wanting. It is our view that reliance upon groups as such, with no control, is detrimental to operational achievement.
- b. The NTO seeks "blank-check" financial support while retaining complete control of operations. So for us we are neare there is no provision in either Agency or U. S. Sovernment policies and regulations which would permit the handing over or the substantial sums envisaged by the NTO plan without retention by the dome of the same measure of control over the use of the finds. The NTO plan, e.g., almost certainly would involve operations mounted from bases within U. S. territorial jurisdiction, yet those operations would resain under NTO absolute control". We doubt that the F.B.I., Oustons and Imalgration Service, Coust Guard and other concerned agencies would ever excee to any such arrangement where U. S. territory is concerned, and we would certainly be in no position to wouch for the ITP operations. Anti-Castro operations mounted from mysters estable of Cuba are going to be attributed to the United States. Since that is the case, the U. S. Government must control those operations as effectively as it can.
- of finds. While governments, including in some instances governments-in-exile, can be treated as equals by the U.S. Covernment, there is no precedent for extending such treatment to a private organization. To do so in this case would result in the arousing of the bitter emity of the anti-Fay resistance groups, of which there are many, without any corresponding benefit.
- d. The this from MT operations would not justify the cost anvisaged. The MT this, to date, whether in intelligence or in resistance activity, has been negligible. There are many news stories which refer to the MTP as the largest and best organized of the resistance groups. The MTP plan claims organization down to the township level and states that:

The MRP has an extensive communication net and is competent in obtaining indispensible elements or intelligence.

This may be so, but we are not more of any extensive valid information from the MTP of any important resistance activity conducted by them. The entire history of Leaney operations involving groups suggest the inedvisebility of handing over substantial sums in the hope of a future

"take". Aside from certain tested limison arrangements, our "take" is taken by agents serving under our direction, regardless of the groups to which they do or do not belong.

- 6. It should be pointed out that from a political standpoint the MRP organization and plan have elements worthy of consideration. The most important is that the MTP program is one that is attractive to the Cuban masses because, of all the exile programs, that of the ICP is the meanest to the originally stated but belrayed platform of the Castro revolution. For the same reason the 1937 is accorptable to many liberal Latin American governments which do not consider supporting other exile groups. The MP offers the people of Cuba a positive program of social and economic reform. (Whether such a program would be compatible with U. S. interests is, of course, a matter of controversy.) However, in the political sphere the HPP program appears to break away strongly from the 1990 constitution. In other vords it may be "Fluelismo sin Fidel". There are other Cuban exile groups who propose social and economic reforms similar to the MAP in which they attempt to base those reforms on some legal continuity of government; that is, a return to the 1949 constitution as a basis for a democratic government in Cuba.
- 7. In short, we believe that the ITP plan is unrealistic and unworthy of further consideration in its present form. Developments within internal resistance in Cuba indicate that the HTP is becaming part of a unified resistence and the MTP personnel inside Cuba have denied Panolo Ray as an external leader. Our review of the PPP position inside Cuba does not indicate that the ITP has the resources for as extensive a plan as presented nor can the internal ITP hope to direct such a plan in confunction with other resistance elements. Even if such a plan were feasible, we do not see any possibility of securing a reasonable return for the transmissions amount of money required for this plan unless some real control is agreed to by all and made a part of the plan. Taking into account the consolidation of resistance elements presently taking place in Cuba, it is the Agency's conception that we can best accomplish action operations against the Castro regime by dealing directly with this unified group or its representatives in other groups. Lateries and financial support would be furnished these groups as they reveal they have the assets to accomplish specific operations and Agency-trained agents and communication equipment voted by introduced to the various groups in an effort to have some control and direction of all efforts undertaken. It is presently planned that the ARP, as one of these groups, would receive assistance and direction in the future as specified above. However, it is full that the present situation among the resistance closents within Cuba rate any support of this grandices plan subsitted by the MP impractical at this time.