

NR_key_name: D9DFF6541C6D6B13862563640022779B
SendTo: Eileen_Sullivan @ jfk-arrrb.gov (Eileen Sullivan) @ Internet
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB
From: garb @ ix.netcom.com (Garby Leon)
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 07/10/1996
DisplayDate_Time: 11:13:30 PM
ComposedDate:
ComposedDate_Time:
Subject: Re: Fwd: Judge Sues Over JFK Information: He wants CIA to answer questions on mystery man (fwd)

Dear Ms. Sullivan --Thanks for your acknowledgment - and I'm pleased to acknowledge that I received it. Actually, you've sent two now, which I doubly appreciate. The material I send you is not from any of my 'serious' research (because I am not a researcher), but it does come from people whom I've known, and for whom I have the highest respect after reading their writings and looking at their work intensively for the past year. The Minier information was originally forwarded to me by a private investigator who lives in Judge Minier's part of California. A former law officer, this investigator thought the case was worth looking into. He also told me that once, as a young man, he served on a security detail for President Kennedy. Another researcher brought the Minier / Capehart matter to my attention again this year -- a woman who's expertise in the medical evidence is astonishing. So the article had two very credible adherents, as well as Lisa Pease. Hence, I forwarded it to you. I make no claim for myself as any kind of 'researcher', but I know a few. It's really their work that the article I sent you represents. Just relating this because I wouldn't want my own credibility to be an issue in the disposition of the material I've forwarded. Thank you for consideration, and I look forward to hearing news about the Minier / Capehart documentation, when that information becomes available to you. Please keep me informed on that issue. Best regards, Garby Leon

You wrote: >>Dear Mr. Leon:>>The Capehart matter is being carefully reviewed consistent with the JFK Act, as >is all information we receive. At this time, I cannot give you a time frame on >when decisions will be made relative to the matter.>>I am unaware of any instances when you have forwarded information to the Board >and not received an acknowledgement.>>As stated above, the information received by the Board is being carefully >reviewed. Many communications with serious researchers have resulted in a >professional dialogue and led to additional assassination records.>

Body:
recstat:
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: